

EXHIBIT 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

KELLEY CUNNINGHAM and TAMMYE
CUNNINGHAM, JOHN PATRICK
CONFAR, MICHAEL SNYDER,
GREGORY BROUSSEAU and ROBERT
SCHWAB Individually and on
Behalf of All Other Persons
Similarly Situated,

Plaintiffs,

Vs.

ELECTRONIC DATA SYSTEMS CORP.,

Defendants.

BRIAN STEAVENS, TAMURA L.
GOLDBERF, DANIEL HEIN, BART
KUHLMAN, STEPHEN LENKNER and
ROD DELUHERY Individually and
on Behalf of All Other Persons
Similarly Situated,

Plaintiffs,

Vs.

ELECTRONIC DATA SYSTEMS
CORPORATION,

Defendants.

Case No.
1:06-cv-03530-RJH

Case No.
1:08-cv-10409-RJH

ORAL DEPOSITION OF
MICHAEL EVANS
January 26th, 2010

<p style="text-align: right;">2</p> <p>1 ORAL DEPOSITION OF MICHAEL EVANS, produced as 2 a witness at the instance of the Plaintiffs, and 3 duly sworn, was taken in the above-styled and 4 numbered cause on the 26th of January, 2010, from 5 9:13 a.m. to 4:26 p.m. before Daniel J. Skur, Notary 6 Public and Certified Shorthand Reporter in and for 7 the State of Texas, reported by stenographic means, 8 at the Hilton DFW Lakes Executive Conference Center, 9 William D. Tate Conference Room, 1800 Highway 26 10 East, Grapevine, Texas, pursuant to the Federal 11 Rules of Civil Procedure. 12 A P P E A R A N C E S 13 FOR PLAINTIFFS: 14 Ms. Fran L. Rudich 15 Klafter Olsen & Lesser LLP 16 Two International Drive 17 Suite 350 18 Rye Brook, New York 10573 19 P (914) 934-9200 20 frudich@klafterolsen.com 21 22 Ms. Maureen M. Crane 23 Pitt McGehee Palmer Rivers & Golden 24 117 W. Fourth Street 25 Suite 200 26 Royal Oak, Michigan 48067 27 P (248) 398-9800 F (248) 398-9804 28 mcrane@pittlawpc.com 29 30 Mr. Brian L. Bromberg (via teleconference) 31 Bromberg Law Office, PC 32 40 Exchange Place 33 Suite 2010 34 Manhattan, New York NY 10005 35 (212) 248-7906</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">4</p> <p>1 P R O C E E D I N G S 2 MICHAEL EVANS, 3 having been duly sworn, testified as follows: 4 (9:13 a.m.) 5 EXAMINATION 6 BY MS. RUDICH: 7 Q. Good morning, Mr. Evans. My name is 8 Fran Rudich. I'm an attorney from New York, and I'm 9 going to be asking you some questions today in 10 connection with a case that's pending in New York. 11 It's a consolidated case that's called Cunningham 12 versus EDS and Steavens versus EDS. Please make 13 sure that all your -- all your answers to my 14 questions are verbal. The court reporter who is 15 sitting to your right is taking down everything 16 that's said here today, and everybody -- it's in 17 everybody's interest that we get an accurate record, 18 so I'm sure that you'll be able to anticipate a lot 19 of my questions. I'm sure that you'll be able to -- 20 before I finish, and you'll -- it's human nature to 21 try to answer, but I just ask that you wait until I 22 finish so that it can be an accurate reflection of 23 what we said here today. 24 If you don't understand a question, 25 please let me know, and we'll try to -- I'll try to</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">3</p> <p>1 A P P E A R A N C E S 2 (continued) 3 FOR DEFENDANTS: 4 Mr. Martin T. Wymer 5 Baker Hostetler 6 3200 National City Center 7 1900 East 9th Street 8 Cleveland, Ohio 44114-3485 9 P (216) 861-6021 F (216) 696-0740 10 mwymer@bakerlaw.com 11 12 Ms. Michelle B. Anselmo 13 Baker Hostetler 14 3200 National City Center 15 1900 East 9th Street 16 Cleveland, Ohio 44114-3485 17 P (216) 861-6168 F (216) 696-0740 18 manselmo@bakerlaw.com 19 20 Ms. Lisa A. "Lee" Schreter 21 Littler Mendelson, PC 22 3348 Peachtree Road NE 23 Suite 1100 24 Atlanta, Georgia 30326-1008 25 P (404) 233-0330 F (404) 759-0758 26 lschreter@littler.com</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">5</p> <p>1 rephrase it. If you don't hear a question, also let 2 me know and the court reporter will read it back. 3 Do you understand that? 4 A. Yes, ma'am. 5 Q. Okay. Also, this isn't a forced march. 6 You're not hostage in this room. If you want to 7 take a break, stretch your legs, any time, just let 8 me know. The only caveat to that is that if a 9 question is pending, I would ask that you answer the 10 question before we take a break. 11 A. Okay. 12 Q. Okay? 13 A. Yes, okay. 14 Q. Okay. Mr. Evans, are you on any drugs 15 today that would impair your ability to testify? 16 A. No, ma'am. 17 Q. Are you suffering from any illnesses 18 that would impair your ability to testify today? 19 A. No, ma'am. 20 Q. Okay. What -- who is your employer? 21 A. Hewlett-Packard. 22 Q. Okay. When did Hewlett-Packard become 23 your employer? 24 A. Sometime in the August '09 time frame. 25 Q. And who was your employer directly prior</p>

2 (Pages 2 to 5)

<p style="text-align: right;">6</p> <p>1 to Hewlett-Packard?</p> <p>2 A. EDS.</p> <p>3 Q. Did Hewlett-Packard -- did</p> <p>4 Hewlett-Packard acquire EDS or was -- was -- or did</p> <p>5 you physically move companies?</p> <p>6 A. It was an acquisition is my</p> <p>7 understanding.</p> <p>8 Q. Is there an EDS division or department</p> <p>9 still in existence?</p> <p>10 A. The EDS organization is now part of what</p> <p>11 is called the enterprise business services division</p> <p>12 of Hewlett-Packard.</p> <p>13 Q. When did it become a part of the</p> <p>14 enterprise business services division of</p> <p>15 Hewlett-Packard?</p> <p>16 A. That was formally announced sometime in</p> <p>17 the middle of last year, I believe.</p> <p>18 Q. After the acquisition?</p> <p>19 A. Yes, ma'am.</p> <p>20 Q. And which -- which entity is on your</p> <p>21 paychecks?</p> <p>22 A. Hewlett-Packard.</p> <p>23 Q. Okay. And what is your -- what is your</p> <p>24 title at Hewlett-Packard currently?</p> <p>25 A. Human resources manager 2.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">8</p> <p>1 A. No, ma'am, it was continuous.</p> <p>2 Q. Okay. And what was your functions as a</p> <p>3 employee relations manager?</p> <p>4 A. I had responsibility for the</p> <p>5 corporation's Title VII compliance along with the</p> <p>6 Department of Labor compliance function, which</p> <p>7 Department of Labor compliance is actually the</p> <p>8 compliance with the employment standards</p> <p>9 administration of the Department of Labor which</p> <p>10 encompass both affirmative action compliance and</p> <p>11 wage-and-hour compliance.</p> <p>12 Q. And I think you testified that your</p> <p>13 functions did not change during the entire -- during</p> <p>14 the time that you held the position of employee</p> <p>15 relations manager; is that correct?</p> <p>16 A. That's correct.</p> <p>17 Q. Okay. And what -- and how about your</p> <p>18 functions as the HR manager 2; what are your</p> <p>19 functions?</p> <p>20 A. My functions today -- maybe this would</p> <p>21 be a better -- what -- in 19 -- in 1998, I became</p> <p>22 focused only on the Department of Labor compliance</p> <p>23 function, so from 1998 forward to present, my main</p> <p>24 responsibility was the Department of Labor</p> <p>25 compliance function. The Title VII compliance, EEOC</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">7</p> <p>1 Q. And how long have you held that</p> <p>2 position?</p> <p>3 A. The position, the function, or the</p> <p>4 title?</p> <p>5 Q. How long have you had that title?</p> <p>6 A. Approximately seven, eight years or so.</p> <p>7 Q. Did your title -- did the title change</p> <p>8 at the time of the Hewlett-Packard acquisition?</p> <p>9 A. No, ma'am.</p> <p>10 Q. Okay. And what position did you hold</p> <p>11 immediately before HR manager 2?</p> <p>12 A. Human resource manager -- I'm sorry,</p> <p>13 employee relations manager.</p> <p>14 Q. And what years did you hold the position</p> <p>15 of human relations -- human -- employee relations</p> <p>16 manager?</p> <p>17 A. Approximately 1988 until some time in</p> <p>18 the mid '90s. What I'm hesitating about, my</p> <p>19 function never really changed. It was just the job</p> <p>20 title.</p> <p>21 Q. Okay. How long have you -- how long</p> <p>22 have you worked for EDS/HP?</p> <p>23 A. Since 1984, a little over 25 years.</p> <p>24 Q. And was that a continuous period of</p> <p>25 time, or was there any breaks in that?</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">9</p> <p>1 compliance went to some -- another organization,</p> <p>2 so...</p> <p>3 Q. When you say "another organization," do</p> <p>4 you mean another department within HP -- within EDS</p> <p>5 or an outside organization?</p> <p>6 A. Within -- within the company.</p> <p>7 Q. And what --</p> <p>8 A. Yes.</p> <p>9 Q. -- what -- what department was that?</p> <p>10 A. Employee relations department.</p> <p>11 Q. Now, when you became focused -- when</p> <p>12 your function became focused on Department of Labor</p> <p>13 compliance, were you holding the position of HR</p> <p>14 manager 2 or employee relations manager?</p> <p>15 A. I -- I can't recall the specific dates</p> <p>16 where they changed -- changed the title over.</p> <p>17 Q. Okay. Describe for me your duties and</p> <p>18 responsibilities as -- when you became focused on</p> <p>19 DOL compliance.</p> <p>20 A. Okay. Basically is to ensure that the</p> <p>21 company's pay practices and all other related</p> <p>22 employment activities were in compliance with</p> <p>23 wage-and-hour requirements, both federal and state</p> <p>24 requirements.</p> <p>25 Q. Okay. And has that changed in any way</p>

3 (Pages 6 to 9)

<p style="text-align: right;">10</p> <p>1 during the -- during the time you performed these</p> <p>2 functions?</p> <p>3 A. It changed in any way --</p> <p>4 Q. Has it -- has your functions, as it</p> <p>5 relates to ensuring the company's pay practice and</p> <p>6 related requirements, has that changed any way</p> <p>7 during -- over the time that you were responsible</p> <p>8 for these functions?</p> <p>9 A. No. No, ma'am.</p> <p>10 Q. And have you -- has your functions</p> <p>11 regarding DOL compliance, has that been continuous</p> <p>12 from the --</p> <p>13 MR. BROMBERG: Hold all calls.</p> <p>14 Q. (BY MS. RUDICH) Has that been</p> <p>15 continuous from the time that you first acquired</p> <p>16 that responsibility to the present, or was there any</p> <p>17 breaks in that?</p> <p>18 A. There were no breaks.</p> <p>19 Q. So that's been your -- and is that your</p> <p>20 primary duty and responsibility?</p> <p>21 A. Today?</p> <p>22 Q. Yes.</p> <p>23 A. Yes, I'm still performing, yes.</p> <p>24 Q. And has it always been your primary duty</p> <p>25 and responsibility since you obtained that function?</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">12</p> <p>1 Q. Have you been -- has that always been</p> <p>2 how you've been referred to within the EDS company?</p> <p>3 A. We began with -- being referred to as</p> <p>4 Department of Labor compliance, which, again, is</p> <p>5 somewhat misleading because we really don't do</p> <p>6 things with OSHA or ERISA, and so -- so we kind of</p> <p>7 tweaked the terminology a little to -- to focus more</p> <p>8 on wage-and-hour compliance.</p> <p>9 Q. And when did -- when did the name</p> <p>10 change? When did this name change occur?</p> <p>11 A. It never really officially changed</p> <p>12 because there never really officially was a title.</p> <p>13 It just kind of evolved over the years. I would</p> <p>14 say --</p> <p>15 Q. I'm sorry, go ahead.</p> <p>16 A. I would say within the last couple of</p> <p>17 years, we have been the wage-and-hour compliance</p> <p>18 team is what we've generally been referred to.</p> <p>19 Q. Okay. Now, who -- who do you directly</p> <p>20 report to?</p> <p>21 A. Today, I report to David Deitchman.</p> <p>22 Q. Can you spell that, please?</p> <p>23 A. D-e-i-t-c-h-m-a-n.</p> <p>24 Q. Okay. And what is his title?</p> <p>25 A. He is senior counsel. I'm not sure if</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">11</p> <p>1 A. Yes, with the caveat that in the middle</p> <p>2 of last year my -- the affirmative action compliance</p> <p>3 function has been transferred into another group</p> <p>4 within Hewlett-Packard, so for -- well, again, since</p> <p>5 the last fall or so, my primary responsibilities now</p> <p>6 are solely related to wage-and-hour compliance.</p> <p>7 Q. Okay. So prior to that, besides</p> <p>8 wage-and-hour compliance, you also -- your duties</p> <p>9 also involved affirmative action compliance?</p> <p>10 A. Yes, ma'am.</p> <p>11 Q. For the Department of Labor or just in</p> <p>12 general?</p> <p>13 A. Well, the Department of Labor</p> <p>14 requirements, yes.</p> <p>15 Q. Now, when we say "Department of Labor</p> <p>16 requirements," are we talking about the United</p> <p>17 States Department of Labor requirements?</p> <p>18 A. Primarily, yes. OSCCP compliance.</p> <p>19 Q. Okay. Now does -- did EDS have a group</p> <p>20 or a department that was called wage-and-hour</p> <p>21 compliance or Department of Labor requirement?</p> <p>22 A. Yes, that's -- that's my group.</p> <p>23 Q. And what is the title of it presently?</p> <p>24 A. We're referred to as wage-and-hour</p> <p>25 compliance.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">13</p> <p>1 this is the official title, but senior counsel in</p> <p>2 the employment group in the ED -- excuse me, the</p> <p>3 Hewlett-Packard legal department.</p> <p>4 Q. How long have you reported directly to</p> <p>5 Mr. Deitchman?</p> <p>6 A. I've only reported to David for --</p> <p>7 officially, for about three weeks, two or three</p> <p>8 weeks or so.</p> <p>9 Q. And who did you report to immediately</p> <p>10 before Mr. Deitchman?</p> <p>11 A. Prior to that, it was Ashley Watson.</p> <p>12 Q. And what was Ms. -- it's a Ms., right?</p> <p>13 A. Yes.</p> <p>14 Q. What was Ms. Watson's title?</p> <p>15 A. She is -- is it associate general</p> <p>16 counsel? She's a direct report to our general</p> <p>17 counsel.</p> <p>18 Q. So she's in the general counsel's</p> <p>19 office?</p> <p>20 A. She reports to general counsel. I don't</p> <p>21 know if physically they're -- I think -- I know</p> <p>22 they're both based out of the California corporate</p> <p>23 office so --</p> <p>24 Q. Is that HP corporate office?</p> <p>25 A. Yes, ma'am.</p>

4 (Pages 10 to 13)

<p style="text-align: right;">14</p> <p>1 Q. And who -- and how long -- what were the 2 dates that you -- how long did you report to Ashley 3 Watson? 4 A. That was for about maybe six or seven 5 months prior to David. Basically the -- the move to 6 have me report to David, David was put in between 7 Ashley and I. 8 Q. Oh, I see, so Mr. Deitchman -- 9 A. Yes. 10 Q. -- reports to Ashley Watson? 11 A. Yes, ma'am. 12 Q. And for how many -- who did you report 13 to prior to Ashley Watson? 14 A. Nick Linn. 15 Q. L-y-n-n? 16 A. L-i-n-n. 17 Q. And what was Mr. -- and was this at EDP 18 or HP? 19 A. You know what, I apologize. For about 20 four months after the acquisition, I had reported up 21 through the human resource organization just while 22 they were sorting out what we did and who we should 23 report to, so, and during that interim period, I was 24 reporting to Marcella Zapata. She's part of the 25 human resource organization.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">16</p> <p>1 Q. Currently? 2 A. Yes, ma'am. 3 Q. And what are their names? 4 A. Sally Mitchell, Kathy Juilfs, it's 5 J-u-i-l-f-s, and Henry Delgado. 6 Q. Are there any other people in the 7 wage-and-hour compliance department of HP other than 8 these three people? 9 A. No, ma'am. 10 Q. And what is Ms. Mitchell's title? 11 A. It's human resource -- it's the new -- 12 new HP job code, and I can't recall whether it's 13 analyst or -- but it's in the human resource family. 14 Q. What about Ms. -- what is Ms. Juilfs' 15 title? 16 A. The same as Ms. Mitchell's. 17 Q. And what is Mr. Delgado's title? 18 A. The same. 19 Q. Okay. And how long has Sally Mitchell 20 worked within your department? 21 A. Sally has been in -- in my group for 22 approximately 20 years. 23 Q. So Ms. Mitchell worked in your group 24 before the acquisition? 25 A. Yes, ma'am.</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">15</p> <p>1 Q. Okay. And what was -- what is 2 Mr. Linn's title? 3 A. He was director over the employment and 4 labor department within the EDS legal department. 5 Q. So you reported to Mr. Linn before the 6 acquisition? 7 A. Yes, ma'am. 8 Q. And how long did you report to Mr. Linn? 9 A. It was more than ten years. I don't 10 have the exact dates, but... 11 Q. Currently, how many people work in your 12 department? 13 A. That report to me? 14 Q. Well, -- 15 A. I mean -- 16 Q. -- do they all report to you? Are 17 there -- 18 A. How are you -- 19 Q. I mean, there could be direct and 20 indirect reports, correct? 21 A. Well, that's correct. 22 Q. Okay. So my question is how many people 23 are in the entire department? 24 A. Well, I have three people reporting to 25 me.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">17</p> <p>1 Q. And how long has Kathy Juilfs been in 2 your group? 3 A. Approximately ten years. 4 Q. And how long has Mr. Delgado been in 5 your group? 6 A. Approximately 17 years. 7 Q. Between 2000 and 2006, were there any 8 other people in your group besides Ms. Mitchell, 9 Ms. Juilfs, and Mr. Delgado? 10 A. Yes, there was a gentleman named Troy 11 Jefferson, Pauleen Kelmer, and Todd, T-o-d-d, 12 Daproza, D-a-p-r-o-z-a. 13 Q. Okay. I just want, for the record, 14 Mr. -- Mr. Evans has a binder in front of him that 15 he's referring to, and Ms. -- attorneys for the EDS 16 did provide us with a copy of the binder today, but 17 I'd just like, for the record, Mr. -- 18 MS. RUDICH: Mr. Wymer, can you 19 please just state for the record what the bind -- 20 what's in the binder -- 21 MR. WYMER: Sure. 22 MS. RUDICH: -- and what it is? 23 MR. WYMER: It's a binder that 24 relates to the 30(b)(6) subjects upon which 25 Mr. Evans is appearing as a corporate representative</p>

5 (Pages 14 to 17)

<p style="text-align: right;">18</p> <p>1 today and contains documents related to those</p> <p>2 subjects, all of which have been previously provided</p> <p>3 to counsel and are Bates labeled in addition to an</p> <p>4 index with summary information so that Mr. Evans can</p> <p>5 fulfill his responsibilities -- to help him fulfill</p> <p>6 his responsibilities as corporate representative.</p> <p>7 MS. RUDICH: So the summaries have</p> <p>8 also been provided, right? The summaries are also</p> <p>9 in here, correct, in the binder?</p> <p>10 MR. WYMER: Yes. Yes. Everything</p> <p>11 in front of Mr. Evans is in the notebook we provided</p> <p>12 to you.</p> <p>13 MS. RUDICH: Okay.</p> <p>14 Q. (BY MS. RUDICH) And just on the --</p> <p>15 changing subjects here for a minute. Mr. Evans,</p> <p>16 have you reviewed any other documents to prepare for</p> <p>17 your deposition here today other than what's in the</p> <p>18 binder in front of you?</p> <p>19 A. I've reviewed a few.</p> <p>20 Q. Yes, you have?</p> <p>21 A. Yes, ma'am. I'm sorry, yes.</p> <p>22 Q. And what documents have you reviewed,</p> <p>23 additional documents have you reviewed, that are not</p> <p>24 in the binder?</p> <p>25 A. Just various emails, documents, I think,</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">20</p> <p>1 course, tell her if you met with counsel.</p> <p>2 A. Okay.</p> <p>3 Q. (BY MS. RUDICH) When you say "we met,"</p> <p>4 who are you referring -- who did you meet with to</p> <p>5 prepare for the deposition?</p> <p>6 A. Mr. Wymer and Michelle.</p> <p>7 Q. Anselmo?</p> <p>8 A. Yes.</p> <p>9 Q. Anybody else?</p> <p>10 A. No. No, ma'am.</p> <p>11 Q. When did you have these meetings?</p> <p>12 A. Yesterday.</p> <p>13 Q. Okay. And how long did this meeting</p> <p>14 last?</p> <p>15 A. Several hours.</p> <p>16 Q. And nobody else was present during this</p> <p>17 meeting, correct?</p> <p>18 A. That's correct.</p> <p>19 Q. Okay. Mr. Evans, have you -- did you</p> <p>20 attend college?</p> <p>21 A. Yes, ma'am.</p> <p>22 Q. What college did you attend?</p> <p>23 A. It was then East Texas State</p> <p>24 University -- sorry. I graduated from East Texas</p> <p>25 State University, which is now Texas A&M in</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">19</p> <p>1 that have already been presented as a course of this</p> <p>2 proceeding just to familiarize or refresh my memory,</p> <p>3 because we are going back over a period of time.</p> <p>4 Q. And why do you think that they have been</p> <p>5 produced in this proceeding?</p> <p>6 A. I -- that's -- I'm assuming that you</p> <p>7 think that they're relevant.</p> <p>8 Q. Oh. No, my question is, how do you know</p> <p>9 that the documents that you reviewed to prepare for</p> <p>10 your deposition have been presented to us in this</p> <p>11 litigation?</p> <p>12 A. Oh.</p> <p>13 MR. WYMER: Well, I'll direct you</p> <p>14 not to answer to the extent it would reveal</p> <p>15 communications with counsel.</p> <p>16 MS. RUDICH: Okay.</p> <p>17 Q. (BY MS. RUDICH) What else did you do to</p> <p>18 prepare for your deposition here today?</p> <p>19 A. Well, we -- okay. Yeah, we met and</p> <p>20 discussed the --</p> <p>21 MR. WYMER: Don't --</p> <p>22 A. I'm sorry.</p> <p>23 MR. WYMER: I direct you not to tell</p> <p>24 Ms. Rudich if you had discussions, the substance of</p> <p>25 any discussions with counseling. You can, of</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">21</p> <p>1 Commerce. I also attended Baylor University.</p> <p>2 Q. But you -- did you attend Baylor</p> <p>3 University before East Texas State University?</p> <p>4 A. Yes. Yes, ma'am.</p> <p>5 Q. So you transferred from Baylor to East</p> <p>6 Texas?</p> <p>7 A. Yes, ma'am.</p> <p>8 Q. Do you have any graduate degrees?</p> <p>9 A. No, ma'am.</p> <p>10 Q. Have you -- did you -- have you attended</p> <p>11 any postgraduate institutes of learning? Like, did</p> <p>12 you -- did you start to get a postgraduate degree</p> <p>13 but didn't finish?</p> <p>14 A. No, ma'am.</p> <p>15 Q. Okay. And what is your degree?</p> <p>16 A. It's a bachelor's in business</p> <p>17 administration.</p> <p>18 Q. So you have a BS. Any other postgrad --</p> <p>19 any other degrees post high school degree?</p> <p>20 A. Formal education?</p> <p>21 Q. Yes.</p> <p>22 A. No, ma'am.</p> <p>23 Q. Okay. Are you married, Mr. Evans?</p> <p>24 A. Yes, ma'am.</p> <p>25 Q. Does your wife work for Hewlett-Packard?</p>

6 (Pages 18 to 21)

<p style="text-align: right;">22</p> <p>1 A. No, ma'am.</p> <p>2 Q. Has your wife -- did your wife ever work</p> <p>3 for EDS?</p> <p>4 A. No.</p> <p>5 Q. Do you have any children, Mr. Evans?</p> <p>6 A. Yes.</p> <p>7 Q. How old are your children?</p> <p>8 A. 22 and 20.</p> <p>9 Q. Okay. Have either of your children ever</p> <p>10 worked for EDS?</p> <p>11 A. No.</p> <p>12 Q. Have -- do either of your children work</p> <p>13 for HP?</p> <p>14 A. No.</p> <p>15 MS. RUDICH: I'm going to ask that</p> <p>16 this next document be marked as Evans Exhibit 1.</p> <p>17 It's a multipage document called "Performance</p> <p>18 Management Program, PMP Annual Review Coaching Guide</p> <p>19 For Leaders." It has the Bates stamp numbers 000 --</p> <p>20 I'm sorry, EDS (Plaintiffs Group) 0003799. And just</p> <p>21 so the record is clear, we have the cover page and</p> <p>22 then we -- it goes to page -- page 64 through 68.</p> <p>23 (Deposition Exhibit 1 marked.)</p> <p>24 Q. (BY MS. RUDICH) Mr. Evans, please</p> <p>25 review what's been marked as Evans Exhibit 1.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">24</p> <p>1 announcement was made sometime in 2009, but I don't</p> <p>2 have the exact date on that.</p> <p>3 Q. Would there be any documents that --</p> <p>4 withdrawn.</p> <p>5 Have you seen any documents that</p> <p>6 would indicate this date?</p> <p>7 A. Yes. I mean, I was -- I was informed of</p> <p>8 it through an announcement, so I'm sure that that is</p> <p>9 available.</p> <p>10 Q. Okay. So you were -- when you say you</p> <p>11 were "informed of it through an announcement," you</p> <p>12 mean a written announcement or an email</p> <p>13 announcement?</p> <p>14 A. I believe an email announcement.</p> <p>15 Q. Okay. Now, I want to refer your</p> <p>16 attention back to what's been marked as Evans</p> <p>17 Exhibit 1. Have you ever seen this document before?</p> <p>18 And I'm not talking about the document like with</p> <p>19 just these pages. I'm talking about have you ever</p> <p>20 seen "Performance Management Program Annual Review</p> <p>21 Guide for Leaders"?</p> <p>22 A. I'm familiar with the program. I don't</p> <p>23 recall seeing this exact document, but...</p> <p>24 Q. Is this one of the documents that you</p> <p>25 reviewed in connection with your deposition here</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">23</p> <p>1 (Witness reviews document.)</p> <p>2 Q. (BY MS. RUDICH) Okay. Have you</p> <p>3 reviewed the document, Mr. Evans?</p> <p>4 A. Yes.</p> <p>5 Q. Okay. Before we start, I just have a</p> <p>6 quick question about EDS and HP's relationship. Is</p> <p>7 EDP -- I think you testified earlier that EDP is a</p> <p>8 division of --</p> <p>9 MS. CRANE: EDS.</p> <p>10 MS. RUDICH: I'm sorry.</p> <p>11 Q. (BY MS. RUDICH) EDS is a division of</p> <p>12 HP, correct?</p> <p>13 A. EDS is not. The enterprise business</p> <p>14 services is a division of Hewlett-Packard of which</p> <p>15 is comprised of the old EDS.</p> <p>16 Q. Is EDS -- is there an entity called EDS?</p> <p>17 A. No, ma'am.</p> <p>18 Q. So there's no more -- today?</p> <p>19 A. Today, that's correct.</p> <p>20 Q. So there's no more EDS in the -- there's</p> <p>21 no more corporation known as EDS.</p> <p>22 A. Referred to as EDS, that's correct.</p> <p>23 Q. Okay. Thank you. Do you know of what</p> <p>24 date that EDS stopped existing?</p> <p>25 A. I -- I don't know. I know that the</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">25</p> <p>1 today?</p> <p>2 A. No, I haven't seen this before.</p> <p>3 Q. Now, I'm going to -- I'm going to refer</p> <p>4 you to page 66. And before I do, I just want to ask</p> <p>5 you a few more questions. Do you know how this</p> <p>6 document was used within EDS, the purpose -- do you</p> <p>7 know what the purpose of this document is?</p> <p>8 A. I believe it is just a general guideline</p> <p>9 for managers to guide them through the appraisal</p> <p>10 process.</p> <p>11 Q. Okay. So the -- what is the -- what is</p> <p>12 PMP?</p> <p>13 A. It's performance management -- well,</p> <p>14 yes, it's performance management program. It's the</p> <p>15 annual performance review.</p> <p>16 Q. And who would receive this -- who</p> <p>17 received this document within EDS?</p> <p>18 A. This would have been available to</p> <p>19 managers. When it was sent out and by whom, I don't</p> <p>20 know. That's not my area.</p> <p>21 Q. Whose area is it?</p> <p>22 A. At one time, this fell under the</p> <p>23 corporate compensation organization. I could not</p> <p>24 tell you today who -- who it fell under on the time</p> <p>25 that this is dated, this document is dated.</p>

7 (Pages 22 to 25)

<p style="text-align: right;">26</p> <p>1 Q. And just for the record, according to</p> <p>2 the bottom left-hand corner, this document is dated</p> <p>3 September 14th, 2006?</p> <p>4 A. Yes.</p> <p>5 Q. Do you see that?</p> <p>6 A. Yes.</p> <p>7 Q. Okay. And is the corporate compensation</p> <p>8 organization, is that still a viable department</p> <p>9 within HP?</p> <p>10 A. There is a corporate compensation</p> <p>11 department, but they do not have this</p> <p>12 responsibility.</p> <p>13 Q. Who does?</p> <p>14 A. It falls under the human resources</p> <p>15 department, and I'm trying to remember who HP refers</p> <p>16 to them. I think it's a group called talent</p> <p>17 management.</p> <p>18 Q. Okay.</p> <p>19 A. That --</p> <p>20 Q. Now, I'm going to show you -- I'm going</p> <p>21 to refer you to page 66.</p> <p>22 A. Okay.</p> <p>23 Q. Is this -- let me just -- one more</p> <p>24 question, I'm sorry. Is this document still being</p> <p>25 used by HP? I'm talking about the PMP annual</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">28</p> <p>1 A. What is a job code?</p> <p>2 Q. Yeah.</p> <p>3 A. It's a numerical value of a job title.</p> <p>4 Q. All right. Can you just -- is it used</p> <p>5 for all jobs that have similar duties and</p> <p>6 responsibilities? So for instance, does all -- all</p> <p>7 the jobs that have the same code, do they have the</p> <p>8 similar duties and responsibilities?</p> <p>9 A. They are intended to. The reality is</p> <p>10 they -- they do not frequently.</p> <p>11 Q. Okay. So can you read what -- just read</p> <p>12 me what's next to the job code?</p> <p>13 A. An EDS-specific numeric code associated</p> <p>14 with a job title and description. It is used to</p> <p>15 identify a given set of duties and responsibilities</p> <p>16 assigned to individuals whose work is of the same</p> <p>17 nature and level in order to establish market value</p> <p>18 for the job.</p> <p>19 Q. Okay. So you're telling me that this</p> <p>20 definition is not correct?</p> <p>21 A. I'm telling you in reality that's not</p> <p>22 correct.</p> <p>23 Q. My question is, though, is this -- I</p> <p>24 understand reality, but I'm asking, is this</p> <p>25 definition correct as --</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">27</p> <p>1 review --</p> <p>2 A. No. This was specific to EDS, so no, it</p> <p>3 is not being used today.</p> <p>4 Q. And do you know when it -- for lack of a</p> <p>5 better way, do you know when it was stopped, when it</p> <p>6 was stopped being used?</p> <p>7 A. I don't recall officially, but I would</p> <p>8 assume sometime when HP acquired us in August, and</p> <p>9 that would have made our processes invalid at that</p> <p>10 time.</p> <p>11 Q. Now, does -- have you seen a document</p> <p>12 that's similar to this after HP has taken over, a</p> <p>13 performance management program coaching guide, have</p> <p>14 you ever seen any document that's comparable or the</p> <p>15 same as this after HP took over?</p> <p>16 A. Not a document per se. HP uses websites</p> <p>17 to communicate much more than EDS did, so I have --</p> <p>18 I have reviewed the performance management website</p> <p>19 with HP, has this relevant information.</p> <p>20 Q. Okay. Thank you. Do you see where it</p> <p>21 says job code in kind of the -- the second paragraph</p> <p>22 down on page 66?</p> <p>23 A. Yes, ma'am.</p> <p>24 Q. What -- what is -- at the time this</p> <p>25 document was in effect, what was a job code?</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">29</p> <p>1 MR. WYMER: Objection, asked and</p> <p>2 answered.</p> <p>3 MS. RUDICH: No, he didn't answer</p> <p>4 it. He said in reality. I'm asking him if the</p> <p>5 definition set forth on this document is correct.</p> <p>6 MR. WYMER: Are you asking him if</p> <p>7 he -- he says in reality, it's not correct.</p> <p>8 Q. (BY MS. RUDICH) Under the EDS -- so EDS</p> <p>9 was giving its employees information that wasn't</p> <p>10 correct?</p> <p>11 A. No. The job code isn't intended to --</p> <p>12 in a perfect world, the job code would be a...</p> <p>13 Q. You can continue.</p> <p>14 A. Yes. The job code is intended to cover</p> <p>15 that.</p> <p>16 Q. Okay. So you're saying that EDS -- it</p> <p>17 was EDS's policy and practice that the -- a job code</p> <p>18 would -- would cover all jobs --</p> <p>19 A. What I'm saying is --</p> <p>20 Q. -- that have -- that -- a given set of</p> <p>21 duties and responsibilities assigned to individuals</p> <p>22 whose work is of the same nature and level in order</p> <p>23 to establish a market value for the job. That was</p> <p>24 EDS's stated corporate policy, correct?</p> <p>25 MR. WYMER: Objection as to form.</p>

8 (Pages 26 to 29)

<p style="text-align: right;">30</p> <p>1 Please answer.</p> <p>2 A. I'm sorry.</p> <p>3 Q. (BY MS. RUDICH) You can answer.</p> <p>4 A. Oh, okay. That's what it is intended to</p> <p>5 do. I can tell you my experience has been, in</p> <p>6 reality, it is more applicable to certain jobs than</p> <p>7 others.</p> <p>8 Q. And what jobs is it more applicable to?</p> <p>9 A. The more lower level type jobs, for</p> <p>10 example, call center jobs, clerical jobs. You can</p> <p>11 pretty much assume that a clerk in one facility</p> <p>12 might be doing the same job as a clerk in another</p> <p>13 facility. Again, my experience has been, over the</p> <p>14 years, the higher up you go, particularly in the</p> <p>15 technical professional categories, due to a variety</p> <p>16 of reasons, employees are doing a lot of different</p> <p>17 type functions that may or may not be what their job</p> <p>18 code reflects.</p> <p>19 Q. I understand, but then how come it</p> <p>20 doesn't say in this document this is a guideline</p> <p>21 that we hope to -- that we believe should be met,</p> <p>22 but we understand that the reality is not all job --</p> <p>23 this is -- withdrawn.</p> <p>24 How come -- this document that's</p> <p>25 given out to the managers of EDS is very specific.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">32</p> <p>1 Q. What does the same nature mean in this</p> <p>2 definition?</p> <p>3 MR. WYMER: Objection as to form,</p> <p>4 please answer.</p> <p>5 A. I don't know. I didn't author this</p> <p>6 document.</p> <p>7 Q. (BY MS. RUDICH) Okay. So are you</p> <p>8 sitting here today saying job codes are just</p> <p>9 meaningless, meaningless within EDS, because after a</p> <p>10 certain level people did different things?</p> <p>11 A. No, ma'am.</p> <p>12 Q. What was the purpose of --</p> <p>13 A. I didn't say that.</p> <p>14 Q. Then what was the purpose of the job</p> <p>15 code?</p> <p>16 A. The intent of the job code is -- and</p> <p>17 keep in mind job codes are used for a variety of</p> <p>18 functions, not just exempt or nonexempt. They help</p> <p>19 determine career paths, they help determine salary</p> <p>20 ranges. So the intent is to -- to the extent</p> <p>21 possible, to -- to try to job code individuals</p> <p>22 according to the job description; however, certain</p> <p>23 job titles, the reality is certain job titles within</p> <p>24 the company, based on the fact that we're a services</p> <p>25 company, the customers require different type of</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">31</p> <p>1 It gives a specific definition of what a job code</p> <p>2 is, correct?</p> <p>3 A. That is, yes, what this stated, yes.</p> <p>4 Q. And there's nothing in this definition</p> <p>5 which states the duties and responsibilities within</p> <p>6 a job code might deviate or might not be the same.</p> <p>7 Is there anything in here which indicates that?</p> <p>8 A. Not on the definition you're talking</p> <p>9 about, but I am telling you in reality.</p> <p>10 Q. Are there any documents that you've ever</p> <p>11 seen out of -- out of EDS which states that a job</p> <p>12 today -- that the duties and responsibilities within</p> <p>13 a job code might deviate or might not be the same,</p> <p>14 that were given out to the EDS employees?</p> <p>15 A. I'm not aware of any.</p> <p>16 Q. So sitting here today at the deposition,</p> <p>17 you're saying that the job code duties and</p> <p>18 responsibilities were -- might not be the same, but</p> <p>19 you haven't seen any document which says that,</p> <p>20 correct?</p> <p>21 A. I have not seen a document, but I have</p> <p>22 been working in this area for years, and I can tell</p> <p>23 you the reality is you can't tell, based on a job</p> <p>24 code, whether somebody is doing the same job as</p> <p>25 somebody sitting next to them.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">33</p> <p>1 things, just a variety of issues, that is not</p> <p>2 typically the reality.</p> <p>3 Q. But what is the purpose of a job within</p> <p>4 EDS? What was the purpose of a job code within EDS?</p> <p>5 A. To try to categorize the generalized</p> <p>6 functions of -- of an individual. That's the</p> <p>7 purpose of it -- or that -- excuse me, strike that.</p> <p>8 That's the intended purpose.</p> <p>9 Q. Now, at any time during your career, did</p> <p>10 you come to the -- did you -- when did you become</p> <p>11 aware that for certain job codes the reality of the</p> <p>12 job description didn't match the job code?</p> <p>13 A. Oh, for certain job titles, first of</p> <p>14 all, let me say that. This is not across the board</p> <p>15 with every job title. But when I -- one of the</p> <p>16 reasons I started focusing on wage-and-hour</p> <p>17 compliance is because this came to a realization</p> <p>18 back in the late '90s.</p> <p>19 Q. So from the late '90s to the present,</p> <p>20 you weren't able to make it so that all similarly</p> <p>21 situated people who were -- all people who were</p> <p>22 doing the similarly situated duties and</p> <p>23 responsibilities did not have the same job code, you</p> <p>24 have not been successful in -- in -- in creating</p> <p>25 uniform job code --</p>

9 (Pages 30 to 33)

<p style="text-align: right;">34</p> <p>1 MR. WYMER: Objection --</p> <p>2 Q. (BY MS. RUDICH) -- since the 1990s?</p> <p>3 MR. WYMER: Objection as to form.</p> <p>4 Please answer.</p> <p>5 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry?</p> <p>6 MR. WYMER: Please answer.</p> <p>7 A. Could you repeat the question, please?</p> <p>8 Q. (BY MS. RUDICH) So is it your testimony</p> <p>9 today that for certain job titles, since the 1990s,</p> <p>10 you have not been able to formulate a job code that</p> <p>11 adequately and properly states that everyone who has</p> <p>12 the job code is doing similar duties and</p> <p>13 responsibilities?</p> <p>14 MR. WYMER: Objection as to form.</p> <p>15 Please answer.</p> <p>16 A. In certain job titles, that has been</p> <p>17 very difficult to do.</p> <p>18 Q. (BY MS. RUDICH) Haven't you -- but you</p> <p>19 did the best you can, correct?</p> <p>20 A. It's an ongoing process.</p> <p>21 Q. Exactly, but you've done the best you</p> <p>22 can, correct?</p> <p>23 A. We are -- yes.</p> <p>24 Q. And so when you say the job code --</p> <p>25 everyone who is doing similar work, it's -- it's --</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">36</p> <p>1 responsibilities, would they have the same job code?</p> <p>2 A. They shouldn't in the intent of the</p> <p>3 company, but the reality is they often do.</p> <p>4 Q. And it's your job to try very hard to</p> <p>5 make sure that two jobs that don't have similar job</p> <p>6 duties and responsibilities do -- don't have the</p> <p>7 same job codes, correct?</p> <p>8 A. Well, there's several groups that have</p> <p>9 that responsibility.</p> <p>10 Q. But that's one of your group's</p> <p>11 responsibilities, correct?</p> <p>12 A. From an exempt/nonexempt function,</p> <p>13 correct.</p> <p>14 Q. Then what other groups within the</p> <p>15 company have the responsibility of ensuring that</p> <p>16 jobs with similar duties and responsibilities have</p> <p>17 the same job codes?</p> <p>18 A. The human resources organization, the</p> <p>19 various groups within the human resources</p> <p>20 organization that have to do with appraisals, salary</p> <p>21 ranges, things along those lines.</p> <p>22 Q. Do you know the names of these groups?</p> <p>23 A. Corporate compensation would be one, and</p> <p>24 talent management.</p> <p>25 Q. Now, why would you have positions with</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">35</p> <p>1 you would believe that as of today or as of the time</p> <p>2 that you last -- withdrawn.</p> <p>3 So do you believe that the job</p> <p>4 coding is as good as it is going to get, or are you</p> <p>5 constantly fixing it?</p> <p>6 A. We're constantly fixing it.</p> <p>7 Q. Okay. And do the employees rely on what</p> <p>8 their job code is in order to know what salary</p> <p>9 they're entitled to?</p> <p>10 A. I can't speak to the employees. There</p> <p>11 are certain salary ranges based on the job code, so</p> <p>12 that does play into it. Again, there are certain</p> <p>13 circumstances where employees fall outside of those</p> <p>14 salary ranges, either above or below.</p> <p>15 Q. If two jobs didn't have similar duties</p> <p>16 and responsibilities, would they have the same job</p> <p>17 code?</p> <p>18 A. I'm sorry?</p> <p>19 Q. If two jobs, you know, did not have the</p> <p>20 same duties -- similar duties and responsibilities,</p> <p>21 would they have the same job code?</p> <p>22 A. There's -- there can be a variety of</p> <p>23 different positions within a certain job.</p> <p>24 Q. That's not my question. My question is,</p> <p>25 if two jobs did not have similar duties and</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">37</p> <p>1 different job duties that have the same job code?</p> <p>2 A. It's not intended. That's not what we</p> <p>3 set out to do. The joke -- and it's not a joke.</p> <p>4 The general feeling is our department is, for</p> <p>5 example, infrastructure analyst, that if you had a</p> <p>6 hundred different infrastructure analysts and took</p> <p>7 them out of random and put them in our office, you</p> <p>8 would have a hundred different functions, be doing a</p> <p>9 hundred different things. That's not intended to be</p> <p>10 like that, that's just the way, based on customer</p> <p>11 requirements and different accounts requirements,</p> <p>12 different skill sets, that's the way it falls out.</p> <p>13 Q. They're supposed to be doing similar</p> <p>14 things. They're supposed to be doing similar duties</p> <p>15 and responsibilities, if -- employees that have the</p> <p>16 same job code are supposed to be doing similar</p> <p>17 duties and responsibilities, correct?</p> <p>18 A. They are -- they should be. I mean, we</p> <p>19 try to get them to do that. The reality is, again,</p> <p>20 real world, that's not the way it works out.</p> <p>21 Q. Then why wouldn't you just put them in a</p> <p>22 different job code?</p> <p>23 A. Well, we do when we identify these</p> <p>24 issues.</p> <p>25 Q. So you put them in a job code in which</p>

10 (Pages 34 to 37)

<p style="text-align: right;">38</p> <p>1 they're more -- in which their duties and 2 responsibilities are more similarly situated, 3 correct? 4 A. We try to when we identify them. 5 Q. Right. 6 A. Yeah, but again, certain job codes this 7 is more prevalent in than others. 8 Q. Oh, I understand that there might be 9 individuals that don't do exactly what the job 10 codes, but isn't it ED -- wasn't it EDS's corporate 11 policy to get everyone who is doing similar -- 12 everyone who has similar duties and responsibilities 13 to have the same job code? 14 A. No. I wouldn't say there's a policy on 15 that. That is our intent to do that. 16 Q. That's your goal, correct? 17 A. That's -- yes. 18 Q. That's what you want. 19 A. That's what we want. 20 Q. And you work very hard to -- I would 21 imagine that you and your -- your colleagues work 22 very hard to try to implement the stated goal or 23 purpose of the company, correct? 24 A. We give guidance, but the managers in 25 the field use their discretion and sometimes they</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">40</p> <p>1 Q. So they put -- they look at what this 2 person is going to be doing and they say who -- 3 which job code -- which job code are they -- do they 4 have similar duties and responsibilities to, 5 correct? 6 A. Not -- no. I -- I -- 7 Q. How would somebody -- if -- how would 8 somebody in the field know which job code to assign 9 a newly hired employee? 10 A. They -- the managers have a general 11 feeling on what their employees in their department 12 should be doing and will make a job assignment based 13 on that, which often changes on a week-to-week basis 14 based on customer requirements and -- and things 15 along that line, so -- 16 Q. I'm sorry. So what is a change -- why 17 would it change? Do they review any documents, any 18 corporate documents, to ensure that the person's in 19 the right job code? 20 A. It's -- no, there's no documents. I 21 mean, -- 22 Q. There's no -- 23 A. -- you can be hired, for example, to -- 24 to maintain a system, and a week later, the customer 25 says we don't want to maintain the system anymore.</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">39</p> <p>1 follow it, sometimes they don't. 2 Q. But isn't their review -- aren't there a 3 number of reviews when -- when an employee is 4 assigned to a job code? It's not just the manager 5 in the field. Don't they have to get approval from 6 somebody -- from people in corporate? 7 A. Not particularly. As long as they 8 assign a -- a current job code, then it's -- it's 9 assigned. 10 Q. And there's no review of it, there's no 11 database in which people in corporate review that? 12 A. No, I mean, what -- review for what? 13 Q. If an employee is hired -- 14 A. Yes. 15 Q. -- in the field, correct? 16 A. Correct. 17 Q. They're assigned a job code. 18 A. Correct. 19 Q. How does the person who is assigning the 20 job code know what job code to assign that person? 21 A. They're -- they can contact corporate 22 compensation for guidance. There's -- they can look 23 at various functional overviews, job descriptions 24 that are available that try to point them in the 25 right direction, job family wise.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">41</p> <p>1 We want to design a brand new one. So your 2 functions have changed. That may -- that may pass 3 you over into another job title. 4 Q. Right. Because then you would look at 5 the different job code to see which duties and 6 responsibilities that person is now doing and which 7 one are they similar to. 8 A. Yes. And what I'm saying is the intent 9 is when that changeover happens, the manager should 10 go in and change the job title to reflect that. The 11 reality is, I can tell you from experience, they 12 don't do that. 13 Q. What's the purpose for having job codes? 14 A. Well, we -- again, salary ranges, career 15 paths. We use job codes for government reporting 16 for EEO 1 purposes. 17 Q. For consistency, correct? 18 A. For tracking. 19 Q. For consistency. 20 A. That's the intent of it. 21 Q. And you want to have job -- the purpose 22 of job codes is to have consistency no matter where 23 an employee worked, correct? 24 A. That's the intent of it. 25 Q. Okay.</p>

11 (Pages 38 to 41)

<p style="text-align: right;">42</p> <p>1 A. Yes.</p> <p>2 Q. And no matter for which client the</p> <p>3 employee worked for, correct?</p> <p>4 A. Yeah. The intent wouldn't change from</p> <p>5 client to client.</p> <p>6 Q. Now, what's a job family?</p> <p>7 A. That's a group of job codes that</p> <p>8 comprise a -- a similar career path, if you will,</p> <p>9 human resource analyst, for example, and a</p> <p>10 specialist and going up through the human resource</p> <p>11 career paths would all be considered in the same</p> <p>12 family.</p> <p>13 Q. What do you mean by "similar career</p> <p>14 path"?</p> <p>15 A. Within a certain industry or field.</p> <p>16 Q. And this would be a -- and it would be</p> <p>17 relating to the same field or industry?</p> <p>18 A. Yes, similar field, yes.</p> <p>19 Q. What is the purpose of having job family</p> <p>20 classifications?</p> <p>21 A. I believe that's really -- well, that's</p> <p>22 not my area of responsibility, but it's typically</p> <p>23 used more for career paths, succession planning,</p> <p>24 things along that line.</p> <p>25 Q. I'm just having a hard time</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">44</p> <p>1 A. The job position is not.</p> <p>2 Q. Okay. So the job -- and so a job code,</p> <p>3 it doesn't matter -- the job code is the same</p> <p>4 whether you work for General Motors or Alcoa,</p> <p>5 correct?</p> <p>6 A. Well, they are available to the managers</p> <p>7 of those groups --</p> <p>8 Q. It's the --</p> <p>9 A. -- to assign.</p> <p>10 Q. -- same job code, I mean. You don't</p> <p>11 have different job codes for different clients?</p> <p>12 A. I'm not sure I understand your...</p> <p>13 Q. So you have a job code 3 -- 3402070,</p> <p>14 correct?</p> <p>15 A. Yeah, I gotcha.</p> <p>16 Q. So that job code 34070 is a companywide</p> <p>17 job code. It's not specific --</p> <p>18 A. Right.</p> <p>19 Q. -- for a specific client or specific</p> <p>20 location?</p> <p>21 A. I'm sorry. You're correct. Yes.</p> <p>22 Q. And it's the same with job families,</p> <p>23 that's a companywide designation, correct?</p> <p>24 A. Correct.</p> <p>25 MS. RUDICH: Can we take a break? I</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">43</p> <p>1 understanding what you mean by career path, meaning</p> <p>2 that if somebody works in -- if two employees work</p> <p>3 at different -- different, let's say, clients, you</p> <p>4 want to make sure they have similar career paths?</p> <p>5 A. Well, a career path would mean as you</p> <p>6 get very good at your job, what's the next step up,</p> <p>7 you want to continue to do the same job over and</p> <p>8 over, or do you want to move up and be challenged</p> <p>9 and thereby open yourself or make it available to</p> <p>10 get raises, pay raises.</p> <p>11 Q. But these job families aren't related to</p> <p>12 where a -- which client -- there's no -- these job</p> <p>13 families don't -- aren't related to the client that</p> <p>14 an -- that an employee works for, correct?</p> <p>15 A. That's correct.</p> <p>16 Q. It's just -- it's a companywide -- it's</p> <p>17 a companywide designation, correct?</p> <p>18 A. Yes.</p> <p>19 Q. And it's the same with a job code. A</p> <p>20 job code isn't specific to -- meaning a job code</p> <p>21 designation isn't specific to a specific -- to an</p> <p>22 individual client, correct, it's a companywide job</p> <p>23 code, correct?</p> <p>24 A. The job code is.</p> <p>25 Q. Yes.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">45</p> <p>1 think my cocounsel needs a break.</p> <p>2 (Recess held.)</p> <p>3 Q. (BY MS. RUDICH) Mr. Evans, is one of</p> <p>4 the purposes of the job codes to ensure that -- was</p> <p>5 to ensure that EDS was in FLSA compliance?</p> <p>6 A. Yes, that's one of the -- one of the</p> <p>7 purposes.</p> <p>8 Q. So if the job codes weren't accurate,</p> <p>9 then would it be that EDS was not in FLSA</p> <p>10 compliance?</p> <p>11 A. Not necessarily.</p> <p>12 Q. Now, when you found out that a job code</p> <p>13 -- people who were not doing similarly situated</p> <p>14 tasks were --</p> <p>15 (Phone interruption.)</p> <p>16 Q. (BY MS. RUDICH) What would you do when</p> <p>17 you found out that people who were not doing the</p> <p>18 similarly situated tasks but were in the same job</p> <p>19 code, what would you do?</p> <p>20 A. We would talk to the managers to get an</p> <p>21 overview of what the job entails. We would try to</p> <p>22 find out how many other people in the manager's</p> <p>23 organization were doing those types of functions.</p> <p>24 Q. And then you would reassign -- then you</p> <p>25 would change the job code?</p>

12 (Pages 42 to 45)

<p style="text-align: right;">46</p> <p>1 A. If necessary.</p> <p>2 Q. So that it would reflect others -- it</p> <p>3 would reflect the fact that they were doing the</p> <p>4 other -- the things that the job code was -- the</p> <p>5 things that the job code said they were supposed to</p> <p>6 be doing, correct?</p> <p>7 A. We would change the job codes when there</p> <p>8 was a -- a -- a needed correction in exemption</p> <p>9 status, but if we interviewed or conducted a review</p> <p>10 and determined that a person was not correctly coded</p> <p>11 but they were still exempt, for example, we would</p> <p>12 make the recommendation, go ahead and find a better</p> <p>13 job code. That's out of my area. My focus was on</p> <p>14 the exempt/nonexempt.</p> <p>15 Q. Okay. But you would -- but you would</p> <p>16 find -- you would say, go ahead, find the job code</p> <p>17 that was better suited for the duties and</p> <p>18 responsibilities, correct?</p> <p>19 A. Right.</p> <p>20 Q. Okay. And that was to ensure that the</p> <p>21 job codes -- that everybody who had the same job</p> <p>22 code were doing similarly -- similar -- had similar</p> <p>23 duties and responsibilities, correct?</p> <p>24 A. That was our intent.</p> <p>25 Q. That was your goal?</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">48</p> <p>1 A. Similar to the last document. This is</p> <p>2 a -- an assistance guideline, to help managers</p> <p>3 through the PMP process.</p> <p>4 Q. (BY MS. RUDICH) PMP is the evaluation</p> <p>5 process?</p> <p>6 A. That's correct.</p> <p>7 Q. And is it -- do you know who drafted</p> <p>8 this?</p> <p>9 A. I do not.</p> <p>10 Q. When was it in effect?</p> <p>11 A. Well, it's dated 2006, so I'm assuming</p> <p>12 this particular version was in effect then.</p> <p>13 Q. Is it currently in effect?</p> <p>14 A. No. It would not be.</p> <p>15 Q. Please look at page 74 of 77.</p> <p>16 A. Okay.</p> <p>17 Q. Where it says: Job code and</p> <p>18 EDS-specific numeric code associated with a job</p> <p>19 description. It is used to identify a given set of</p> <p>20 duties and responsibilities assigned to individuals</p> <p>21 whose work is of the same nature and level in order</p> <p>22 to establish market value for the job.</p> <p>23 What does this -- what does this</p> <p>24 mean?</p> <p>25 A. It's a definition of the job code.</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">47</p> <p>1 A. Well, yes, when -- well, that was our --</p> <p>2 ultimately, what our -- our -- well, that's our</p> <p>3 intent to --</p> <p>4 Q. Okay. So the intent is that everybody</p> <p>5 who has the same job code is doing similar -- have</p> <p>6 similar duties and responsibilities?</p> <p>7 A. That's -- yes.</p> <p>8 Q. Okay. Okay.</p> <p>9 MS. RUDICH: I'm going to ask that</p> <p>10 the next document be marked as Evans Exhibit 2.</p> <p>11 It's a document called module -- module to --</p> <p>12 performance management program tool help 2006. This</p> <p>13 is Evans 2 and it has Bates stamp number EDS</p> <p>14 (Plaintiffs Group) 0003908.</p> <p>15 (Deposition Exhibit 2 marked.)</p> <p>16 (Witness reviews document.)</p> <p>17 Q. (BY MS. RUDICH) Mr. Evans, have you</p> <p>18 ever seen this document before today?</p> <p>19 A. I don't recall seeing this exact</p> <p>20 document, but I am familiar with the contents.</p> <p>21 Q. How is it used? What is this</p> <p>22 document -- how is this -- how is this document</p> <p>23 used?</p> <p>24 MR. WYMER: Objection as to form.</p> <p>25 Please answer.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">49</p> <p>1 Q. Right. And what does it mean, to</p> <p>2 establish market value for the job?</p> <p>3 A. That's to help establish the pay rates</p> <p>4 for an individual performing a certain type</p> <p>5 function.</p> <p>6 Q. And why would you need to establish</p> <p>7 market value for the -- how does a job code help to</p> <p>8 establish market value?</p> <p>9 A. There are -- I'm sorry, can you repeat</p> <p>10 that?</p> <p>11 Q. How does a job code help to establish</p> <p>12 market value?</p> <p>13 A. The corporate compensation group</p> <p>14 conducts salary surveys to help determine within any</p> <p>15 given market area what is the going pay rate for</p> <p>16 people performing certain general -- generalized</p> <p>17 tasks, and then this is a guide that's used as a</p> <p>18 guideline to help managers in the new hiring process</p> <p>19 or promotional -- just pay issues for their people.</p> <p>20 Q. But how does a job code help to</p> <p>21 establish the pay rates?</p> <p>22 A. Well, the job code doesn't establish the</p> <p>23 pay rate. That's all --</p> <p>24 Q. How does it help?</p> <p>25 A. The suggested salary ranges are based or</p>

13 (Pages 46 to 49)

<p style="text-align: right;">50</p> <p>1 attached to particular job codes, so it -- then a 2 manager can look at any given job code and determine 3 what the suggested pay range would be. 4 Q. So each job code has a specific pay 5 range? 6 A. A suggested pay range, correct. 7 Q. Right. And that's the same pay range 8 regardless of where the employee with that job code 9 works. For instance, if he works -- it doesn't 10 matter which client he works for. It's the same 11 suggested pay rate -- pay range, correct? 12 A. It's not dictated by account, but it is 13 dictated, to an extent, by location. For example -- 14 Q. How -- 15 A. Sorry. 16 Q. How is it dictated by location? 17 A. An information analyst in Plano, Texas, 18 would have a lower pay rate than an information 19 analyst in New York City. 20 Q. No. I'm talking about the pay range. 21 Is the pay range the same for -- for a job code 22 regardless of where -- the highest that a person in 23 the job code could get and the lowest a person in 24 the job code could get, is that the same throughout 25 the country?</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">52</p> <p>1 your question because I think -- 2 Q. It says -- 3 (Simultaneous conversation.) 4 Q. (BY MS. RUDICH) It says it right here 5 in EDS's document that one of the purposes is to 6 establish market value for the job. Do you see 7 that? 8 A. Yes. Yes. 9 Q. So -- and my question is if, in fact, 10 these are suggested pay ranges, how would a code 11 help that then? 12 A. Well, without it, the manager wouldn't 13 have any idea what the going rate would be. 14 Q. So he uses a job code to know what the 15 going rate is throughout the country, correct? 16 A. For the employees in his -- 17 Q. In that job code -- 18 A. The locales. 19 Q. In that job code, correct? 20 A. Yes. 21 Q. But are the pay ranges specific to the 22 locales, or is the pay ranges companywide? Do you 23 have a specific -- do you have a different piece of 24 paper or a different pay rate -- is there a piece of 25 paper which says for X client this is the pay range,</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">51</p> <p>1 A. Well, there is a set range, but then 2 that range is modified based on where a person is 3 within the -- within the country. 4 Q. But it's -- but it's a set range 5 regardless of where -- that pay range covers the 6 whole country, correct? 7 A. Yes. It's a suggested range, though. 8 Managers do not -- it's just a tool to give them an 9 idea. 10 Q. So then I'm trying to understand, then, 11 how a job code helps establish pay ranges if it's 12 just a suggested pay range, if it's just suggested 13 and they can do whatever they want. 14 A. Well, they can't -- it's -- it's 15 intended to -- to provide guidance to a manager, 16 but, again, in reality, we acquire companies and 17 will take individuals on to -- on to our payroll 18 making higher than what the suggested pay range 19 would say they would -- they would make. So, 20 again -- 21 Q. I understand those are -- I understand 22 that those are, you know, exceptions. I'm talking 23 about generally, how does a job code help establish 24 market value for the job? 25 A. I'm -- I'm -- obviously don't understand</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">53</p> <p>1 for Y client this is the pay range? 2 A. Not for a client, but for location, yes. 3 Q. But everybody in that location has the 4 same pay range, correct? 5 A. Suggested pay range, correct. 6 Q. Okay. Now, if somebody isn't doing the 7 job duties -- withdrawn. 8 And -- do you see where it says job 9 family? 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. What is it -- a group of jobs relating 12 to the same nature of work but requiring different 13 levels of skill, effort, and responsibility. Do you 14 see that? 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. What does it mean by a group of jobs 17 relating to same nature of work? 18 A. This would be a -- again, I'll use the 19 human resource example, that within the field of 20 human resources there's everything from a lower 21 level human resource clerk ultimately to a human 22 resource manager. A job family would include all of 23 those lines of progressions. 24 Q. How many job families does -- did EDS 25 have?</p>

14 (Pages 50 to 53)

<p style="text-align: right;">54</p> <p>1 A. I don't know. That was not my area.</p> <p>2 Q. Wasn't that one -- where is the</p> <p>3 30(b)(6)? Did you do anything to learn about how</p> <p>4 many job families there were to prepare for your</p> <p>5 deposition here today?</p> <p>6 A. No.</p> <p>7 Q. Did you speak to anybody and ask them</p> <p>8 how many job families there were?</p> <p>9 A. No.</p> <p>10 Q. Did you look at any documents to educate</p> <p>11 yourself on how many job families there were?</p> <p>12 A. No.</p> <p>13 MR. WYMER: That's not one of the</p> <p>14 subjects he's here on.</p> <p>15 MS. RUDICH: It's number 17.</p> <p>16 MR. WYMER: 17 is not -- 17 is</p> <p>17 should defendants assert that individuals who work</p> <p>18 or worked for defendants in jobs, the same job code</p> <p>19 prefix of 3 who had primary duties -- subject 17</p> <p>20 relates to 29 CFR 778.113, not job code.</p> <p>21 MS. RUDICH: It's also part of</p> <p>22 number 4.</p> <p>23 MR. WYMER: I read number 4, which</p> <p>24 Mike is appearing on, defendants' manner of</p> <p>25 compensating individuals who work in jobs with the</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">56</p> <p>1 That's what we're taking the position.</p> <p>2 MR. WYMER: I think the deposition</p> <p>3 is on certification issues. Obviously some of the</p> <p>4 subject Mike's appearing on go to good faith</p> <p>5 compliance and willfulness as well, but --</p> <p>6 MS. RUDICH: Yeah.</p> <p>7 MR. WYMER: Yeah.</p> <p>8 MS. RUDICH: Just to be clear, so I</p> <p>9 don't want to think we're waiving any right to ask</p> <p>10 other questions about documents or introducing to</p> <p>11 recall Mr. Evans as a fact witness and/or a 30(b)(6)</p> <p>12 witness for -- for liability and/or damage</p> <p>13 questions.</p> <p>14 MR. WYMER: We have taken the</p> <p>15 position that Mike is appearing on the subjects that</p> <p>16 we designated from your 30(b)(6) notice throughout</p> <p>17 this phase of discovery. We have only objected to</p> <p>18 discovery related to damages because it's our</p> <p>19 position that what people did during the day,</p> <p>20 whether that's liability or certification, it's all</p> <p>21 jumbled together, and we haven't tried to draw that</p> <p>22 fine distinction.</p> <p>23 MS. RUDICH: Well, we are today, so</p> <p>24 I mean, I think that the order is clear and that</p> <p>25 this phase of discovery goes to certification</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">55</p> <p>1 job code prefix of 3 or who had the primary duties</p> <p>2 of installing, maintaining, supporting computer</p> <p>3 software. Sorry, we just don't read manner of</p> <p>4 compensating individuals to include how many job</p> <p>5 code families there are.</p> <p>6 MS. RUDICH: Well, one of the -- one</p> <p>7 of the -- one of the issues here is whether or not</p> <p>8 compensating means whether you get overtime or not,</p> <p>9 and whether you get overtime or not is -- goes to</p> <p>10 whether or not there's similarly -- FLSA compliance.</p> <p>11 FLSA compliance is whether or not you properly</p> <p>12 classify a group or a group of people. All right.</p> <p>13 I mean, we could argue about this. If you're saying</p> <p>14 you don't know how many job families there are,</p> <p>15 that's fine. This is a legal issue, but we'll --</p> <p>16 not going to hold up the dep for this.</p> <p>17 I'm going to ask that this document</p> <p>18 be marked as Exhibit Evans -- Evans Exhibit 3. It's</p> <p>19 a multipage document entitled "Job Code Training US</p> <p>20 HR Team" having the Bates stamp number EDS</p> <p>21 (Plaintiffs Group) 0007020 through 0007033.</p> <p>22 (Deposition Exhibit 3 marked.)</p> <p>23 MS. RUDICH: Just so the record is</p> <p>24 clear, this deposition is just solely for phase 1,</p> <p>25 correct, for class cert and collective action?</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">57</p> <p>1 issues, meaning are they -- are the people similarly</p> <p>2 situated. Whether they were properly classified as</p> <p>3 exempt or nonexempt and what they did, it's our</p> <p>4 position that that goes to second phase of</p> <p>5 discovery, so...</p> <p>6 MR. WYMER: I guess we reserve our</p> <p>7 position on whether there's a distinction between</p> <p>8 whether people are exempt and whether people are</p> <p>9 similarly situated depending on how this plays out.</p> <p>10 Q. (BY MS. RUDICH) Mr. Evans, I refer you</p> <p>11 to page 9. First of all, I apologize, what is this</p> <p>12 document?</p> <p>13 A. Appears to be a job code training tool</p> <p>14 established by the US HR team.</p> <p>15 Q. And what is -- what is a job code</p> <p>16 training tool?</p> <p>17 A. Just a minute. Let me look through</p> <p>18 because I -- I don't recall seeing this before.</p> <p>19 This appears to be a general tool</p> <p>20 intended to help managers with the job coding</p> <p>21 exercise.</p> <p>22 Q. What's the difference between a job code</p> <p>23 and a job title?</p> <p>24 A. A job code is the numeric representation</p> <p>25 of a job title, so could have human resource analyst</p>

15 (Pages 54 to 57)

<p style="text-align: right;">58</p> <p>1 is the job title and then 3 -- just a series of 2 numbers would be the job code representing the 3 numerical version of the job title. 4 Q. So does everyone with the same job title 5 have the same job code? 6 A. Yes, officially, yes. 7 Q. Now, where -- I refer you to page 9 8 where it says job families. Is one of the purposes 9 of having job families so that there is a uniformity 10 of duties and responsibilities within a job family? 11 A. My understanding is the purpose of the 12 job family is to have a -- a -- job families is more 13 indicative of career progression, so the intent of 14 the job family is to kind of gather what would be 15 the typical job progression for a certain -- 16 engineering, for example, an individual with an 17 engineering background, what would be their typical 18 job progression up into an engineering leadership 19 position. 20 Q. And that's the same job progression for 21 everyone in that job family? 22 A. People aren't bound by that, but the job 23 family, each job family would have -- a job family 24 would have all the job codes in -- strike that. 25 I'm not sure I'm answering the</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">60</p> <p>1 to EDS's document, correct? 2 A. Not necessarily. 3 Q. I said according to EDS's document. 4 A. Well, yes, that's what the document 5 says. 6 Q. Okay. 7 A. I will say job families entail -- or at 8 one time entailed exempt and nonexempt functions. 9 Q. I understand, but they involve similar 10 types of work, correct? EDS's own document says 11 that. 12 MR. WYMER: Objection as to form. 13 Please answer. 14 A. Well, they might be in the same type of 15 industry, but not the same type of work. If it was 16 the same type of work, they would all be either 17 exempt or nonexempt. 18 Q. (BY MS. RUDICH) But I thought you said 19 it's a progression, so the lowest progression would 20 be exempt, the highest -- nonexempt, the highest 21 progression would be exempt, but it would be the 22 same type of work, correct? 23 A. No, incorrect. 24 Q. So you mean to tell me that if I -- if I 25 showed you a piece of paper that -- that listed the</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">59</p> <p>1 question that you were asking. 2 Q. All right. I'm going to ask the 3 question again. Is one of the purposes of having 4 job families so that there is a uniformity of duties 5 and responsibilities within that job family? 6 A. That would be one. 7 Q. Okay. What does a collection of jobs 8 means in this -- on this -- in this definition? 9 A. Well, a collection of job are the number 10 of jobs -- job codes that make up that job family. 11 Q. And what does similar types of work mean 12 in this definition, in this EDS definition of job 13 families? 14 A. What's intended to be here is the 15 industry type, similar -- again, engineering would 16 be one type of work, human resources would be 17 another type of work, legal would be -- 18 Q. And service delivery would be another 19 type of work? Technical delivery would be another 20 type of work? 21 A. Correct. 22 Q. Okay. And they would have similar -- 23 and so anybody within the service delivery family 24 would -- any job code within the service delivery 25 family would involve similar types of work according</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">61</p> <p>1 job families that -- that the people with the 2 lowest -- on the lowest rung of the ladder wouldn't 3 have similar duties and responsibilities of people 4 on the -- with the higher level -- of higher rungs 5 of that job family? 6 A. That's correct. They might be in the 7 same industry, but the person on the lower rung 8 might be doing the lowest level type of work where 9 the person on the highest rung is designing 10 software. 11 Q. But it's a similar type of work, 12 correct? 13 A. No. 14 Q. Maybe -- maybe it's not -- maybe it's 15 not the details aren't the same, but they have to be 16 in the similar -- it says right in their own 17 document that they involve similar types of work. 18 So are you saying that EDS's document is false, is 19 not true? 20 A. I am saying there was a better way to 21 have said that because that's not the intent of it. 22 Q. I understand it's not the intent, but 23 that's what EDS's own document says, correct? 24 A. Well, that's what it says. 25 Q. Not going to mark this now, but I'm just</p>

16 (Pages 58 to 61)

<p style="text-align: right;">62</p> <p>1 going to reflect -- reflect -- describe it for the</p> <p>2 record. It's service delivery job family,</p> <p>3 telecommunications competency based matrix,</p> <p>4 individual performer. I'll mark this later, but I'm</p> <p>5 just going to show this to you right now. And it</p> <p>6 has Bates stamp number 0001161, 0001162, 0001163,</p> <p>7 0001170 and 0001171. I'm going to refer you to the</p> <p>8 last page of this document. Do you see that?</p> <p>9 A. Yes, ma'am.</p> <p>10 Q. And isn't this -- is this what you were</p> <p>11 referring to as a job progression?</p> <p>12 A. Yes.</p> <p>13 Q. Within a family?</p> <p>14 A. Yes.</p> <p>15 Q. And is it -- and do you see where it</p> <p>16 says telecommunications analyst?</p> <p>17 A. Yes.</p> <p>18 Q. 34 -- and I think that's 34500?</p> <p>19 A. Yes.</p> <p>20 Q. Now, is that -- is 34500 an exempt</p> <p>21 position or nonexempt position?</p> <p>22 A. Exempt.</p> <p>23 Q. And I'm going to show you what's been</p> <p>24 marked as telecommunications -- and do you see the</p> <p>25 category directly to the left where it says</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">64</p> <p>1 not only similar work, they're doing the same work</p> <p>2 in that particular task, correct?</p> <p>3 A. The -- that's a generalized statement.</p> <p>4 Q. I understand, but according to this</p> <p>5 document.</p> <p>6 A. Well, according to the document. I will</p> <p>7 put two caveats in. The percentage of time that</p> <p>8 they're spending could be, you know, 1 percent and</p> <p>9 50 percent.</p> <p>10 Q. Okay.</p> <p>11 A. And also, again, going back to my</p> <p>12 earlier comments, what goes on in the real world</p> <p>13 might be different than what's reflected on paper.</p> <p>14 Q. I understand, but I'm just saying that,</p> <p>15 based on this document, you just said that they</p> <p>16 wouldn't have any of the same duties and</p> <p>17 responsibilities.</p> <p>18 MR. WYMER: Objection.</p> <p>19 Q. (BY MS. RUDICH) And I'm showing you one</p> <p>20 of the EDS's own documents which say they do,</p> <p>21 correct?</p> <p>22 A. According to this document.</p> <p>23 Q. So so far there's not been a document</p> <p>24 that I showed you that you've agreed with today,</p> <p>25 correct?</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">63</p> <p>1 telecommunications technical senior 51010?</p> <p>2 A. Technician senior?</p> <p>3 Q. I'm sorry, technician senior?</p> <p>4 A. Yes, I see it.</p> <p>5 Q. Is that an exempt or nonexempt position?</p> <p>6 A. That's a nonexempt.</p> <p>7 Q. Now, do you see the first -- and this is</p> <p>8 a listing of key job roles performed in each code,</p> <p>9 correct?</p> <p>10 A. Yes.</p> <p>11 Q. Now, do you see right -- the first key</p> <p>12 job role under the telecommunications technician</p> <p>13 senior, the nonexempt job is customer service</p> <p>14 representative. Do you see that?</p> <p>15 A. Yes.</p> <p>16 Q. And then if you look down to the --</p> <p>17 that's also listed in the telecommunications</p> <p>18 analyst, correct?</p> <p>19 A. Yes.</p> <p>20 Q. And that's also -- so that means that</p> <p>21 they have -- that they have the same -- one of</p> <p>22 the -- they have one -- they have a -- key job roles</p> <p>23 is the same, correct?</p> <p>24 A. One of them would be.</p> <p>25 Q. Yeah, so they're doing -- they're doing</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">65</p> <p>1 MR. WYMER: Objection as to form,</p> <p>2 argumentative. Please answer.</p> <p>3 Q. (BY MS. RUDICH) Has there been one</p> <p>4 document that I showed you today that -- one EDS</p> <p>5 document that I showed you today that you've agreed</p> <p>6 with what it said, or the portions I asked you</p> <p>7 about?</p> <p>8 A. I think what I've disagreed about is</p> <p>9 your interpretation of what the documents are.</p> <p>10 Q. I'm asking you what -- I've asked you --</p> <p>11 I haven't asked you for your interpretation. I've</p> <p>12 asked you for what the document said. You said --</p> <p>13 MR. WYMER: Objection, you're</p> <p>14 arguing with the witness. Pose a question.</p> <p>15 Q. (BY MS. RUDICH) Has -- has there been a</p> <p>16 document that I've shown you today in which you've</p> <p>17 agreed that that's how it works in the real world?</p> <p>18 A. Pertaining to certain job codes within</p> <p>19 EDS, no. Pertaining to some job codes, yes.</p> <p>20 Q. How about pertaining to job families;</p> <p>21 have you agreed that they're a collection -- do you</p> <p>22 agree that job family is a collection of jobs that</p> <p>23 involve similar types of work? Yes or no? It's a</p> <p>24 yes-or-no question, Mr. Evans. Do you agree with</p> <p>25 that statement?</p>

17 (Pages 62 to 65)

<p style="text-align: right;">66</p> <p>1 MR. WYMER: Mike, you can answer --</p> <p>2 choose your own words to answer. Testify truthfully</p> <p>3 and answer the question. If it's more than a yes or</p> <p>4 no, then choose.</p> <p>5 MS. RUDICH: Stop coaching the</p> <p>6 witness.</p> <p>7 MR. WYMER: I'm not --</p> <p>8 MS. RUDICH: Yes, you are.</p> <p>9 MR. WYMER: -- telling him how to</p> <p>10 answer.</p> <p>11 MS. RUDICH: It's a yes-or-no</p> <p>12 question.</p> <p>13 Q. (BY MS. RUDICH) Do you agree with that</p> <p>14 statement?</p> <p>15 A. Can you reword -- can you please read</p> <p>16 the question again then?</p> <p>17 (Record read.)</p> <p>18 A. Okay. And it's intended to be.</p> <p>19 Q. (BY MS. RUDICH) Now, I'm going to show</p> <p>20 you -- ask you to look at what's on page 10 of this</p> <p>21 document.</p> <p>22 MR. WYMER: Still on the one you</p> <p>23 didn't mark?</p> <p>24 MS. RUDICH: Yes -- no, no, no, I'm</p> <p>25 sorry. I'll take that back. What's been marked as</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">68</p> <p>1 A. I think work -- work types -- job codes</p> <p>2 is how I would interpret that.</p> <p>3 Q. And so jobs that are defined into</p> <p>4 families and associated job codes, correct? Is that</p> <p>5 would be another way of saying that sentence?</p> <p>6 A. That's what I would guess.</p> <p>7 Q. Now, I'm going to refer you back to page</p> <p>8 9 of this document. Do you see where the first</p> <p>9 sentence it says the job family is a collection of</p> <p>10 jobs? What is a collection of jobs?</p> <p>11 A. State a group, the number of jobs that</p> <p>12 comprise the job family.</p> <p>13 Q. Okay. And what is -- on the next</p> <p>14 sentence, political functional lines -- particular</p> <p>15 functional lines, what does that mean?</p> <p>16 A. Be lines of progression.</p> <p>17 Q. Okay. Now, on the next bullet point, it</p> <p>18 says job families are designed as a planned</p> <p>19 progression of work. Do you see that?</p> <p>20 A. Yes.</p> <p>21 Q. So does particular functional lines mean</p> <p>22 the same as a planned progression of work?</p> <p>23 A. That's how I would read that, yes.</p> <p>24 Q. So -- or would particular functional</p> <p>25 lines means that they all -- that each family --</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">67</p> <p>1 Evans 3.</p> <p>2 Q. (BY MS. RUDICH) Do you see where it</p> <p>3 says how are jobs defined? Are you on that page?</p> <p>4 A. Yes.</p> <p>5 Q. And this is a corporate document,</p> <p>6 correct, Mr. Evans?</p> <p>7 A. Yes.</p> <p>8 Q. And this is a corporate document that's</p> <p>9 given to employees teaching them how to train them,</p> <p>10 correct?</p> <p>11 A. I'm not familiar with it, but it appears</p> <p>12 that was the intended purpose.</p> <p>13 Q. Okay. So EDS wasn't in the business of</p> <p>14 giving documents to its employees that were not</p> <p>15 true -- were not -- was not in the business of</p> <p>16 giving training documents to its employees that</p> <p>17 wasn't accurate, was it?</p> <p>18 A. Well, no, that's not -- no. That's</p> <p>19 correct.</p> <p>20 Q. So can you just read me the first</p> <p>21 sentence, how jobs are defined? Jobs -- is it true</p> <p>22 that this document says jobs are defined into</p> <p>23 families and associated work types?</p> <p>24 A. Yes.</p> <p>25 Q. What does "associated work types" mean?</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">69</p> <p>1 each job -- each job -- each job within a particular</p> <p>2 family performs has -- performs the same functions</p> <p>3 or similar functions?</p> <p>4 A. They might be related.</p> <p>5 Q. Now, on page 10 where it says service</p> <p>6 delivery; do you see that?</p> <p>7 A. Yes.</p> <p>8 Q. So -- so all jobs in this family are --</p> <p>9 are associated and they do these type of things that</p> <p>10 are set forth on page 10?</p> <p>11 A. Well, they are related to a help desk or</p> <p>12 a call center type of environment. The functions --</p> <p>13 Q. Okay. What about the technical</p> <p>14 delivery?</p> <p>15 A. Means the jobs would be technical in</p> <p>16 nature.</p> <p>17 Q. Okay. And they -- so they're all</p> <p>18 related by being technical in nature, correct?</p> <p>19 A. They would be technical, yes, but the</p> <p>20 specifics of the technical attributes could be</p> <p>21 different.</p> <p>22 Q. Okay. And they're -- so they're</p> <p>23 associated work types, correct?</p> <p>24 A. Associated from the standpoint that they</p> <p>25 would be technical in nature.</p>

18 (Pages 66 to 69)

<p style="text-align: right;">70</p> <p>1 Q. Okay. Thanks. Now I'm going to point 2 you to page 12 where it's called linkage. And 3 this -- they're using 34200, information analysis, 4 as an example on this EDS corporate document, 5 correct? 6 A. Correct. 7 Q. And this EDS corporate document that was 8 given to its own employees to help train them on job 9 coding, correct? 10 A. Correct. 11 Q. Okay. Now, what does this page 12 describe? 13 A. Again, give me a moment to read it 14 because -- 15 Q. Sure. 16 A. -- I... 17 (Witness reviews document.) 18 A. It generally describes the correlation 19 between the job code and job family. 20 Q. (BY MS. RUDICH) Okay. So -- and on the 21 first bullet point on job family, it says that EDS 22 groups jobs of similar nature into similar job 23 families, correct? 24 A. Yes. 25 Q. So that -- so this states that every job</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">72</p> <p>1 lowest, correct? 2 A. That's correct, again, adjusted based on 3 locality. 4 Q. Right. But isn't that adjustment part 5 of the salary range, though? Isn't that built into 6 the salary range? 7 A. Yes, it is, but, again, the same job 8 code in Texas will have a different salary range 9 than the same job code in New York. 10 Q. I understand, but that's -- but my point 11 is that there is -- that's why you have a job range 12 because you have a highest and a lowest, and -- 13 A. Right. 14 Q. -- within that job range you might have 15 subjob ranges, but there's one uniform job range for 16 each job code throughout the country, correct, 17 overall job range? 18 A. Yes. Maybe a better way to explain 19 this -- 20 Q. Okay. 21 A. -- is in locales of the country where 22 the cost of living is higher, it's based on a 23 multiple of the corporate salary range. So there is 24 a standard salary range per job code, but in New 25 York, for example, it will be a multiple of that</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">71</p> <p>1 in a specific job family is of a similar nature, 2 correct? 3 A. I don't agree with that. I mean, it 4 states that the EDS job groups, doesn't say every 5 job. 6 Q. It says groups of jobs of a similar 7 nature into -- EDS groups jobs of a similar nature 8 into job families, correct? 9 A. That's what it says. 10 Q. So each job -- each group of jobs that 11 is assigned to a specific job family are similar in 12 nature, correct? 13 A. It's -- it's similar in nature from -- 14 from an industry standpoint. 15 Q. From an EDS standpoint too, correct? 16 This is an EDS document. 17 A. Well, yes, it's only applicable to EDS 18 so... 19 Q. Okay. And this says that every job code 20 has a salary range, correct? 21 A. Correct. 22 Q. And that's the same -- and that salary 23 range, and I'm not -- is the same regardless of 24 where a person with -- where people with the same 25 job codes work, meaning you have a highest and a</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">73</p> <p>1 standard range. 2 Q. I understand, but it's the same salary 3 range. 4 A. Well, it ends up -- 5 MR. WYMER: Objection. 6 Q. (BY MS. RUDICH) It's based on the same 7 salary range. 8 A. It's -- yes. 9 Q. Okay. 10 A. And that's a suggested range, again. 11 Q. Well, I don't -- it doesn't say here 12 it's a suggested salary range, does it? 13 A. Well, doesn't say that, but that's what 14 it is. 15 Q. In it -- okay. It doesn't say this in 16 the training material, does it, that was given to 17 EDS employees, does it? 18 A. Not in this document. 19 Q. Okay. Okay. Please look at page 13, 20 job family matrices. What is a job family matrix? 21 A. That's just another way of saying the 22 lines of progression. 23 Q. What is a job analysis? I'm sorry. 24 I -- I apologize. 25 Now, it says here that a job code</p>

19 (Pages 70 to 73)

<p style="text-align: right;">74</p> <p>1 and description is a broad-based for internal use 2 globally across industries and organizations. Do 3 you see that? 4 A. Yes. 5 Q. Does this -- does this mean that a job 6 code is -- that a job code is supposed to cover all 7 similarly situated jobs regardless of what industry 8 or location in which that job is located? I'm 9 asking what it says here. 10 A. Yes. Yes. 11 MS. RUDICH: I'm going to ask that 12 this next document be marked as Evans Exhibit 4. 13 It's a multipage document entitled "Module 2 Job 14 Analysis Basics," EDS (Plaintiffs Group) 0007034 -- 15 734 through 7040. 16 (Deposition Exhibit 4 marked.) 17 Q. (BY MS. RUDICH) Mr. Evans, please 18 review this document. 19 (Witness reviews document.) 20 Q. (BY MS. RUDICH) Have you reviewed this 21 document, Mr. Evans? 22 A. Yes. 23 Q. What is it? 24 A. Appears to be some instructions or 25 guidance put together by the HR team for managers to</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">76</p> <p>1 to analyze the job contents. 2 Q. And to make sure that each job was given 3 the proper job code? 4 A. Well, this would be a tool to assist in 5 that. 6 Q. Right. And so -- so a job -- would it 7 be fair to say that a job analysis is performed for 8 consistency so that all jobs with similar duties and 9 responsibilities have the same job code? 10 A. Part of the intent of getting people 11 properly job coded would require an analysis. 12 Q. And you say "intent." What do you mean 13 by "part of the intent of getting people properly 14 coded," what does that mean? 15 A. Well, again, for career reasons, salary 16 reasons, we -- we do try to -- we intend to 17 correctly job code individuals, and this is a tool 18 to help in that. 19 Q. Are there any other reasons why -- why 20 EDS tried to correctly job code individuals other 21 than for salary reasons and career reasons? 22 A. It will -- exempt/nonexempt is a 23 byproduct of that also, or could be. 24 Q. Okay. 25 A. Again, you could have a miss --</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">75</p> <p>1 help analyze the positions, their people's 2 positions. 3 Q. And to help with -- to help with making 4 sure that each position is assigned the proper job 5 code? 6 A. The -- yes, appears to be that's the 7 intent of it. 8 Q. Right. And it's dated March 19th, 2007. 9 Do you see that on the bottom of the second page? 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. So this is one of the documents that was 12 used within EDS to make sure that each -- everyone 13 doing the same or similar -- everyone who had the 14 same or similar duties were assigned to the -- to 15 their proper job code, correct? 16 A. I'm not familiar with this document, but 17 that appears to be that's the -- the intent was to 18 give -- I'm not -- you know what, I'm not... 19 Q. But this is an EDS document, correct? 20 A. It's an EDS document. 21 Q. And it was given to its employees at 22 some point, correct? 23 A. Yes. 24 Q. What's a job analysis? 25 A. Appears to be a -- the suggested process</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">77</p> <p>1 incorrect job codes within a certain -- the exempt 2 classification would not be an issue from an 3 exempt/nonexempt perspective, obviously, but it 4 would be from a career progression and salary 5 analysis. 6 Q. So one of the other purposes of making 7 sure that people are properly -- have -- that 8 similarly situated people -- I'm sorry. Withdrawn. 9 So one of the purposes to make sure 10 that everyone who -- all employees who were doing 11 the same or similar tasks were properly job coded 12 was to ensure that EDS job -- was to ensure that EDS 13 was in compliance with the FLSA? 14 A. That assists us in that regard. 15 Q. So it would be important to make sure 16 that people were given the proper job codes, 17 correct, for that purpose, for the FLSA compliance 18 purpose, correct? 19 A. It assists us, yes. 20 Q. What does "assist" mean? I'm having a 21 little trouble. What does "assist" mean? 22 A. Well, the major disconnect I think we're 23 having is that what actually goes on in the field is 24 a little different than what is being suggested or 25 the intention -- the intended guidelines, and if</p>

20 (Pages 74 to 77)

<p style="text-align: right;">78</p> <p>1 we're doing an internal review, we would take a</p> <p>2 first cut at the assigned job codes, but until you</p> <p>3 do an actual individual analysis on each individual,</p> <p>4 you don't -- you can't make a determination whether</p> <p>5 it's a exempt/nonexempt concern, so. It points us</p> <p>6 in the right direction, but it is just a fraction of</p> <p>7 the overall review that needs to take place.</p> <p>8 Q. So when EDS classified employees as</p> <p>9 exempt or nonexempt, did they do actual individual</p> <p>10 analysis for each employee?</p> <p>11 A. Yes. Yes.</p> <p>12 Q. For every employee?</p> <p>13 A. Well, the manager should do that, with</p> <p>14 some assistance either from my group, if necessary,</p> <p>15 or corporate compensation or human resources.</p> <p>16 Again, certain job titles within EDS, the functions</p> <p>17 of it could change a week after the job code has</p> <p>18 been assigned. So it's a moving target.</p> <p>19 Q. I understand that, but so you're saying</p> <p>20 that every manager within EDS has -- I know that</p> <p>21 they -- withdrawn.</p> <p>22 Is it your testimony today that</p> <p>23 every manager within EDS has done an actual</p> <p>24 individual analysis of each individual to ensure</p> <p>25 that they're properly classified under the FLSA?</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">80</p> <p>1 don't -- how do you know that if -- if -- if what</p> <p>2 they're supposed to be doing isn't what they're</p> <p>3 doing?</p> <p>4 A. You don't know until you do an</p> <p>5 individual review.</p> <p>6 Q. And when you do the individual review,</p> <p>7 isn't it true that you then reassign them to the</p> <p>8 proper job code they belong in?</p> <p>9 A. If we find that such a job code change</p> <p>10 is warranted, yes.</p> <p>11 Q. So if you did an individual review -- if</p> <p>12 managers -- if it's your testimony today that</p> <p>13 managers did an individual review or should have</p> <p>14 done an individual review of every employee to</p> <p>15 ensure that they were properly classified under</p> <p>16 FLSA, then doesn't it -- isn't it also true that</p> <p>17 each employee should then be properly classified and</p> <p>18 be given the proper job code?</p> <p>19 A. Well, our managers are not doing FLSA</p> <p>20 reviews. They are looking at various job</p> <p>21 descriptions within the job families. The</p> <p>22 exemptions are built into the job code assignments.</p> <p>23 Q. So you mean every -- so that means</p> <p>24 that -- that every person who was given a specific</p> <p>25 job code has the same -- is also assigned the same</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">79</p> <p>1 A. They would have to do some level of</p> <p>2 review to determine what it is that their employees</p> <p>3 are doing.</p> <p>4 Q. Well, that's not my -- my question is is</p> <p>5 it your -- has every manager within EDS done an</p> <p>6 actual individual analysis of every employee to</p> <p>7 ensure that they're properly classified under the</p> <p>8 FLSA?</p> <p>9 A. I can't say every manager has.</p> <p>10 Q. Now, you're saying that -- and is it</p> <p>11 your -- you've also said that not everybody -- that</p> <p>12 the way job codes work is that everybody who is</p> <p>13 similarly situated or doing similarly situated work</p> <p>14 might not have the same job code, correct?</p> <p>15 A. No. What I think -- I think what I said</p> <p>16 was you had people who are in the same job codes who</p> <p>17 are not similarly situated.</p> <p>18 Q. Okay. If they're not similarly</p> <p>19 situated, does that mean that there's a possibility</p> <p>20 they're not properly classified under FLSA?</p> <p>21 A. That's a possibility, but it's -- again,</p> <p>22 to go back to my example, it could also be they're</p> <p>23 in the wrong job code, but they're still in the</p> <p>24 correct exemption classification.</p> <p>25 Q. But how do you know that if they</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">81</p> <p>1 FLSA exemption regardless of what they're actually</p> <p>2 doing?</p> <p>3 A. What they're actually doing may be</p> <p>4 different than what their assigned job codes are.</p> <p>5 Q. And if that's the case, then they might</p> <p>6 be -- they might be improperly classified under the</p> <p>7 FLSA as well, correct?</p> <p>8 A. That is one possibility of several,</p> <p>9 which would mean that there's not a -- another</p> <p>10 possibility would be, again, that they might be in</p> <p>11 the wrong job code but they are well within the same</p> <p>12 exemption.</p> <p>13 Q. How do you know that? How would you</p> <p>14 know that unless you -- how would you know that</p> <p>15 unless you looked at what they were actually doing?</p> <p>16 A. You don't know it until you've done it.</p> <p>17 Q. Okay. So if you don't know until you've</p> <p>18 done it and you're sitting here saying that there</p> <p>19 are people within job codes that aren't doing what</p> <p>20 their job -- what their job description says they're</p> <p>21 supposed to be doing, then it's your testimony that</p> <p>22 they also -- there's a possibility they could be</p> <p>23 improperly classified under the FLSA or state wage</p> <p>24 and overtime laws, correct?</p> <p>25 A. There is a possibility. We don't know</p>

21 (Pages 78 to 81)

<p style="text-align: right;">82</p> <p>1 until we look at them individually, but we haven't</p> <p>2 looked at everyone individually.</p> <p>3 Q. And why not?</p> <p>4 A. It's -- I've got just so -- you know,</p> <p>5 it's -- it's hasn't been warranted.</p> <p>6 Q. Hasn't been warranted because people --</p> <p>7 most people who are given the specific job code are</p> <p>8 doing the same thing as others within that job code,</p> <p>9 correct?</p> <p>10 A. Well, we have limited resources and a</p> <p>11 lot of different ways to identify problems, and we</p> <p>12 focus those resources whenever the concerns have</p> <p>13 been identified, so...</p> <p>14 Q. So instead, EDS takes the position that</p> <p>15 if you have a specific job code, you're given a</p> <p>16 specific job code, and that's enough to decide</p> <p>17 whether a person is exempt or nonexempt under the</p> <p>18 FLSA or under the state overtime laws?</p> <p>19 A. Well, they're given code after a manager</p> <p>20 has made an assessment of whether the individual</p> <p>21 will be doing those -- that type of work.</p> <p>22 Q. And what do they base the assessment on?</p> <p>23 How do they know how to perform this assessment,</p> <p>24 Mr. Evans?</p> <p>25 A. Well, they're managing that function.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">84</p> <p>1 another job code be a better assignment for an</p> <p>2 individual that does that, for example.</p> <p>3 Q. I understand. So then that manager</p> <p>4 would -- would -- would know that -- to give them a</p> <p>5 different job code, correct?</p> <p>6 A. Yes.</p> <p>7 Q. So there's -- so there's -- there's a</p> <p>8 standard generalized set of job duties and</p> <p>9 responsibilities within the job code that a manager</p> <p>10 looks at in order to know which job code to assign</p> <p>11 to an individual employee, correct? Whether they're</p> <p>12 doing all of them -- I'm not asking whether they're</p> <p>13 doing all of them, but I'm saying there is a</p> <p>14 generalized set of similar duties and</p> <p>15 responsibilities that each person who are doing</p> <p>16 those should be having the same job code, correct?</p> <p>17 MR. WYMER: Object to form. Please</p> <p>18 answer.</p> <p>19 A. That is the intent. Again, based on</p> <p>20 customer requirements and a host of other things,</p> <p>21 those functions or requirements can change over</p> <p>22 time.</p> <p>23 Q. (BY MS. RUDICH) And if they change over</p> <p>24 time, then their job code changes, correct?</p> <p>25 A. It should.</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">83</p> <p>1 Q. But how would they know -- but they have</p> <p>2 to know which job code to assign to which function,</p> <p>3 correct?</p> <p>4 A. Uh-huh.</p> <p>5 Q. And how do they --</p> <p>6 A. Yes.</p> <p>7 Q. -- know that?</p> <p>8 A. They can seek guidance from corporate</p> <p>9 compensation group or human resource.</p> <p>10 Q. And isn't that because specific job</p> <p>11 codes have -- have a set -- set task or set jobs</p> <p>12 that they are supposed to be doing?</p> <p>13 A. The job codes describe a general variety</p> <p>14 of functions.</p> <p>15 Q. That everybody who are doing those</p> <p>16 functions -- that everyone who has that job code</p> <p>17 should be doing the same general functions and</p> <p>18 duties, correct?</p> <p>19 A. Not necessarily.</p> <p>20 Q. But then they wouldn't be -- then they</p> <p>21 shouldn't be assigned that job code, correct?</p> <p>22 A. The job code has functions -- several</p> <p>23 different functions. You might have one -- one</p> <p>24 employee that gravitates towards one for most of</p> <p>25 their job, and that could actually make them --</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">85</p> <p>1 Q. Right, and --</p> <p>2 A. And, again, in a perfect world, it</p> <p>3 should.</p> <p>4 Q. And if it doesn't, what does that mean</p> <p>5 regarding FLSA compliance?</p> <p>6 A. Well, it may mean nothing. It may be</p> <p>7 that they've moved up in status and they have just</p> <p>8 moved from exempt to a higher exempt, if you will.</p> <p>9 I mean, there's no such -- you're either exempt or</p> <p>10 not, but they just change functions from one exempt</p> <p>11 function to another exempt function, so it would</p> <p>12 have no impact to FLSA.</p> <p>13 Q. But it could have an impact, correct?</p> <p>14 A. It could.</p> <p>15 Q. So therefore -- withdrawn.</p> <p>16 All right. So let's look at page</p> <p>17 17.</p> <p>18 Do you see where it says job</p> <p>19 analysis is conducted on the job, not the person</p> <p>20 doing the job?</p> <p>21 A. Yes.</p> <p>22 Q. What does that mean?</p> <p>23 A. I'm not sure what it means.</p> <p>24 Q. Does that mean that you look at the</p> <p>25 duties and the -- the duties and tasks that a person</p>

22 (Pages 82 to 85)

<p style="text-align: right;">86</p> <p>1 is performing and not the actual person in order 2 to -- in order to make a job code assignment? 3 A. I'm not sure what that means because 4 that's not the guidance that we would typically give 5 on a job analysis. 6 Q. Okay. Let's look at the next page, 7 purpose of job analysis. Do you see the third 8 bullet point where it says, provides an objective 9 basis for hiring, evaluating, training, promoting, 10 and determined -- determining salary? 11 A. Yes, ma'am. 12 Q. Does this mean that EDS wanted to ensure 13 that all employees with the same job codes did the 14 same things on a companywide basis? 15 A. It means that a job analysis would be 16 helpful in your overall employment process. That's 17 the way I read this. 18 Q. Including -- including that all 19 employees with the same job codes did similar things 20 on a companywide basis, correct? 21 A. Well, that would be the intention, yes. 22 Q. Are you -- are you sitting here 23 saying -- here today saying that EDS failed in their 24 intention? 25 A. To have everybody properly classified?</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">88</p> <p>1 Q. Why not? 2 A. It's -- it's a moving target, for one 3 thing. We do handle these issues when we're made 4 aware of them, so we -- we do tackle them when we're 5 made aware of them. 6 Q. What job codes are these? 7 A. I would say the higher end of what I 8 call technical professionals, such as the 9 infrastructure jobs. It's very difficult to get a 10 handle on what those folks do. That's primarily 11 that infrastructure job family. 12 Q. But they are -- but these people are 13 assigned a job code, correct? 14 A. Correct. 15 Q. And presumably this job code was given 16 to them because they did similar things as others 17 with that job code did, correct? 18 A. They were assigned job codes based on 19 the job codes that were available at the time. 20 Q. Right, because they did similar work to 21 what others within that job code did at the time. 22 A. They were intended to try to the best 23 they could represent the job that these folks were 24 doing. 25 Q. Okay. And does that mean that there's a</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">87</p> <p>1 Q. Not everybody, but the majority of its 2 employees properly classified -- given the proper 3 job code. 4 A. No. I am not saying that we -- that -- 5 as a majority. There are pockets. 6 Q. Isolated pockets, correct? 7 A. There have been. 8 Q. There have been. 9 A. Yes. 10 Q. But on the most part, all employees with 11 the same job codes do similar things on a 12 companywide basis. I'm just saying on the most 13 part. 14 A. I'm not comfortable saying that. 15 Q. Well -- 16 A. There are certain job groups -- excuse 17 me, certain job codes where you cannot make that 18 assessment without an individual -- an individual 19 review of each individual. 20 Q. And for -- and for those job codes, has 21 EDS done an individual review of each -- an 22 individual assessment? 23 A. Of all of them? 24 Q. Yes. 25 A. No.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">89</p> <p>1 large -- that there's a possibility that a large 2 percentage of people with this job code are 3 improperly classified under the FLSA? 4 A. I wouldn't -- I would -- no. 5 Q. But there's -- but there's a -- I'm 6 trying to understand how it could be that they're 7 not improperly classified under the FLSA but yet 8 they don't -- they might not have the proper job 9 code. 10 A. For these individuals, the people that 11 we're talking about, if they're coded incorrectly, 12 it would be because they should be in another exempt 13 job code. 14 Q. Within that job family? 15 A. It could be. It may not be. 16 Q. But how do you know that unless you do 17 an individualized assessment? 18 A. You don't. You don't know. 19 Q. So that just -- there's as much a 20 possibility that they're -- that they're improperly 21 classified under the FLSA as -- so there's just as 22 much of a possibility that these people are 23 improperly classified under the FLSA as it is that 24 they have an improper job code, correct? 25 A. That's incorrect.</p>

23 (Pages 86 to 89)

<p style="text-align: right;">90</p> <p>1 Q. Why?</p> <p>2 A. Because these are high -- the people</p> <p>3 that we're talking about, by and large, are high</p> <p>4 end, doing some of the most complex technical</p> <p>5 functions of the company. The chance that they</p> <p>6 might be misclassified from an exempt perspective,</p> <p>7 I...</p> <p>8 Q. How do you know that unless you do an</p> <p>9 individualized assessment?</p> <p>10 A. Because I've been doing this job for a</p> <p>11 long time, and over the course of this time, I've</p> <p>12 had the experience of doing just what you're saying.</p> <p>13 We have evaluated certain groups of people, and that</p> <p>14 has been my general experience.</p> <p>15 Q. But if -- so if you've been doing this</p> <p>16 for a long time, you would also know whether or not</p> <p>17 they're in the proper job code, correct?</p> <p>18 A. You lost me there.</p> <p>19 Q. You said you've been doing this for a</p> <p>20 long time, so you could tell if someone is properly</p> <p>21 classified as exempt or nonexempt, correct?</p> <p>22 A. Correct.</p> <p>23 Q. But -- so isn't it also true, since</p> <p>24 you've been doing this for a long time, you would be</p> <p>25 able to tell whether they have been assigned to the</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">92</p> <p>1 Q. So it's your -- it's your -- it's your</p> <p>2 testimony that EDS has a corporate policy of</p> <p>3 ensuring that all job codes within the same job</p> <p>4 family had similar duties and responsibilities, but</p> <p>5 the practice may deviate from the policy, correct?</p> <p>6 A. Well, I don't know if we have a policy</p> <p>7 per se. It is the practice to -- to -- to the</p> <p>8 extent we can, correctly job code individuals.</p> <p>9 Q. But isn't it -- isn't the policy set</p> <p>10 forth in EDS's own documents?</p> <p>11 A. Well, this isn't a policy. It's a</p> <p>12 guideline.</p> <p>13 Q. Okay. So are you saying that it's</p> <p>14 IDS's -- EDS's guidelines is to have all its</p> <p>15 employees -- is to ensure all job codes within the</p> <p>16 same job families had similar duties and</p> <p>17 responsibilities, correct?</p> <p>18 A. It is our intent to try to do that. Why</p> <p>19 would we train somebody to --</p> <p>20 Q. Exactly.</p> <p>21 A. Right. The reality is often, for</p> <p>22 certain job codes, much different than what the</p> <p>23 intended...</p> <p>24 Q. Okay. I'm going to ask you to just look</p> <p>25 at page 16. Do you see where it says, what is a</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">91</p> <p>1 proper job code?</p> <p>2 A. Once you do an individual analysis, yes.</p> <p>3 Q. Now, when you say that people -- that --</p> <p>4 now I'm going to show you what's been marked as --</p> <p>5 I'm sorry. Go down the same page where it says</p> <p>6 supports job code matching.</p> <p>7 MR. WYMER: Still on page 18, Fran?</p> <p>8 MS. RUDICH: Page 18, right.</p> <p>9 Q. (BY MS. RUDICH) Does this mean that one</p> <p>10 of the purposes of an EDS job analysis is to make</p> <p>11 sure that everybody -- that everybody having similar</p> <p>12 duties and responsibilities had the same job code?</p> <p>13 A. Well, it's the intent to try to match up</p> <p>14 an individual with a job code.</p> <p>15 Q. You keep saying intent, and I don't</p> <p>16 understand what that means, intent. Are you saying</p> <p>17 that EDS is not -- is not -- is not fulfilling the</p> <p>18 intent, it's not doing what it intends to do?</p> <p>19 A. What I'm saying, of course this is how</p> <p>20 we pay individuals is on the job code they're</p> <p>21 assigned to. Of course we would try to accurately</p> <p>22 code these individuals. That's our intent. That's</p> <p>23 the guidance that we give. The reality often is</p> <p>24 much different than what we're -- we're -- we're</p> <p>25 suggesting is -- is that they -- they should do.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">93</p> <p>1 job?</p> <p>2 A. Yes.</p> <p>3 Q. And do you see the first box where it</p> <p>4 says, a homogenous cluster of work tasks whose</p> <p>5 completion serves an enduring purpose for the</p> <p>6 organization?</p> <p>7 A. Yes.</p> <p>8 Q. What does "homogenous" mean in that</p> <p>9 sentence?</p> <p>10 A. I assume similar.</p> <p>11 Q. Okay.</p> <p>12 MS. RUDICH: I'm going to ask that</p> <p>13 this next document be marked as Evans Exhibit 5.</p> <p>14 It's a multipage document with -- entitled "Module</p> <p>15 3, Conducting Job Code Reviews," EDS (Plaintiffs</p> <p>16 Group) 0007041, 7043 --</p> <p>17 MR. WYMER: Fran, before you</p> <p>18 distribute that, before he has a chance to look at</p> <p>19 it, can we take a break?</p> <p>20 MS. RUDICH: Sure.</p> <p>21 (Recess held.)</p> <p>22 (Deposition Exhibit 5 marked.)</p> <p>23 Q. (BY MS. RUDICH) Okay. I'm marking</p> <p>24 Evans Exhibit 5. It's a multipage document entitled</p> <p>25 "Module 3, Conducting Job Code Reviews," EDS</p>

24 (Pages 90 to 93)

<p style="text-align: right;">94</p> <p>1 (Plaintiffs Group) 0007041, 7042, 7043, 7044, 7045, 2 7046, 7047, and 7048. Please review that document, 3 Mr. Evans. 4 A. Uh-huh. 5 (Witness reviews document.) 6 A. Okay. 7 Q. (BY MS. RUDICH) Mr. Evans, I have one 8 question. Is it true that when an employee is 9 assigned a job code that -- withdrawn. 10 Before an employee is assigned a job 11 code, does anybody in corporate have to approve of 12 the giving of that job code? 13 A. That particular job code? 14 Q. Yeah, for any employee. 15 A. It's not a corporate practice per se, 16 but -- 17 Q. Why don't you describe what happens when 18 a new employee comes in and a job code is assigned, 19 from, let's say, 2000 until HP took over. What 20 would happen? 21 A. To the point where a manager has already 22 decided which job code they want? 23 Q. No, a new employee is hired. Describe 24 to me how he gets a job code -- he or she gets a job 25 code.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">96</p> <p>1 corporate provided job codes, correct? 2 A. That's correct. 3 Q. Now, I'm going to -- let's look at 4 what's been marked as Evans 5. Do you see where it 5 says implications of incorrect job coding, first 6 page, top bullet point. Risk of noncompliance with 7 labor laws and regulations, module 3. 8 MR. WYMER: Yeah, the first page of 9 Mike's exhibit -- 10 MS. RUDICH: Oh, it's missing -- 11 MR. WYMER: -- is job code review 12 overview, looks like the -- 13 MS. RUDICH: It's missing a page. 14 MR. WYMER: Which looks like it's 15 missing the page you're about to ask him about. 16 Page -- 17 MS. RUDICH: Why don't you switch. 18 MR. WYMER: I'm going to have to 19 correct the exhibit. Can we put the sticker on 20 mine, and I'll share yours. 21 (Deposition Exhibit 5 re-marked.) 22 THE WITNESS: Can I have a chance to 23 read it? 24 MS. RUDICH: Yeah, just the one, I 25 hope.</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">95</p> <p>1 A. It would be up to each individual 2 manager how they go about it. Some organizations 3 require HR approval, some require senior leader 4 approval. Depending on the particular job code, 5 there may be a restricted code that requires even 6 another group's approval. Other managers have the 7 discretion to go in and pick the job code they want 8 and assign it. 9 Q. What's a restricted job code? 10 A. For example, some of our government 11 accounts have job codes that require a high level of 12 security, so before that code can be used, we -- it 13 goes through an approval process to make sure the 14 person has the required security. 15 Q. You said "some organizations require HR 16 approval." What do you mean by "organizations"? 17 A. Accounts, departments, General Motors 18 account, for example, may say anybody within the 19 General Motors organization -- not saying that they 20 do, this is just an example, but before you can 21 assign a job code, it requires HR approval. 22 Q. But don't -- but don't -- but don't the 23 individual managers have to pick within a corporate 24 provided job codes? They don't just make -- they 25 don't just pick numbers out of the air. These are</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">97</p> <p>1 THE WITNESS: Yeah. 2 (Witness reviews document.) 3 A. Okay. 4 Q. (BY MS. RUDICH) Do you see the first 5 bullet point where it says, risk of non -- 6 implications of incorrect job coding, risk of 7 noncompliance with labor laws and regulations? 8 A. Yes, ma'am. 9 Q. What does that mean? 10 A. What I assume it means is that if the -- 11 if we have individuals coded as exempt and they are 12 nonexempt, then it could be a concern from a -- from 13 an overtime perspective. 14 Q. Let's look at the next page where it 15 says a job code review overview, and this is an EDS 16 document, correct? 17 A. Yes. 18 Q. It's an EDS guideline, correct, or is it 19 an EDS policy? 20 A. It's not a policy. It may be a 21 guideline. It's -- I haven't seen it. 22 Q. But it's an EDS document? 23 A. It's an EDS document, appears to be. 24 Q. And -- and who drafted it? 25 A. The US HR team, appears.</p>

25 (Pages 94 to 97)

<p style="text-align: right;">98</p> <p>1 Q. US HR team, is that -- is that a current 2 department within HR? 3 A. It's not a current, no. This -- 4 Q. In March -- oh, I'm sorry. 5 A. It would have been back in March of '07. 6 Q. And who -- do you know who was -- who 7 was -- what individual -- can you name the 8 individuals that were on the US HR team in March of 9 2007? 10 A. I know some individuals in HR. Whether 11 they had anything to do with the production of this 12 document, I don't know. 13 Q. My question was can you -- do you know 14 the names of any individuals who were on the US HR 15 team in March of 2007? 16 A. Yes. 17 Q. And can you give me their names? 18 A. Yes. 19 Q. What are they? 20 A. Let's see, Marcella Zapata, Colleen 21 Parker. These are just human resource generalists 22 that would have had nothing to do with this. 23 Q. Do you know any -- any HR employees that 24 you believe might have had something to do with 25 what's been marked as Evans Exhibit 5?</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">100</p> <p>1 A. Yes. 2 Q. Who did you speak with? 3 A. Colleen Huff is one, Julie Ferraro would 4 be another, Nick Vendetelli would be another. 5 What's her name? Shelly Smith is one. 6 Q. And how often did you speak with Colleen 7 Huff about the fact that you were finding that 8 people in the field aren't going -- aren't being 9 assigned the proper job codes? 10 A. Most of my conversations with Colleen 11 and Julie, as a matter of fact, were during the 12 course of an internal review that we did to correct 13 these job codes. 14 Q. And when -- what -- what -- when did you 15 do this review? 16 A. We began it in the latter part of 2006 17 and completed it, for the most part, toward the end 18 of 2008. 19 Q. And what -- what -- what job codes did 20 you audit? 21 A. System administrator and system 22 administrator advanced. 23 Q. And why did you perform an audit for 24 those particular job codes? 25 A. We were approached in the latter part of</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">99</p> <p>1 A. No. As I said, I haven't seen this. 2 I -- 3 Q. All right. 4 A. I don't -- 5 Q. In March of 2007, do you know who in HR 6 handled job code reviews or job code issues? 7 A. From an exempt/nonexempt perspective, it 8 would have only been my group. I don't know who 9 would have done this. 10 Q. I'm not asking who would have done this. 11 My question is, do you know who within the HR team 12 in March of 2007 handled -- 13 A. No -- 14 Q. -- job -- handled issues relating to the 15 proper job -- giving employees the proper job code 16 or job code reviews or training employees on how to 17 give job -- how to assign job codes? 18 A. I don't recall, but it would have 19 resided in the HR organization. I don't recall -- I 20 wouldn't know who the names are of the people 21 because it's not really related to my area. 22 Q. Did you ever talk to them and -- did you 23 ever talk to anybody in HR and tell them that it's 24 been your experience that people -- that people have 25 not been assigned the proper job codes?</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">101</p> <p>1 2006 by a -- actually, the managers went to the HR 2 person who came to us. It was an organization 3 called Global Field Services. They had asked the HR 4 group for some assistance because they had people 5 coded -- they had people doing different functions 6 within the same job codes, and so we did -- we 7 started looking into this, and I had reached out to 8 the Department of Labor. We voluntarily did this 9 internal review under -- under their guidance, and 10 as a result, we -- and as a result, we -- we 11 analyzed individuals that were part of this 12 organization and a future organization that they 13 reorganized into, and again, during the course of 14 this investigation, we were dealing with the -- 15 Julie and Colleen because they were the HR reps. 16 Q. So -- so after this job code review had 17 been completed, is it -- was everybody who -- who 18 was -- so was employees with the job title -- what 19 was the -- systems infrastructure -- what were the 20 titles of the -- 21 A. System administrator and system 22 administrator advanced. 23 Q. Was everybody with those two titles 24 properly -- everybody who was given those two titles 25 and had those job codes, were they doing similar --</p>

26 (Pages 98 to 101)

<p style="text-align: right;">102</p> <p>1 did they have similar duties and responsibilities?</p> <p>2 A. All of them? Was that your question,</p> <p>3 were all of them?</p> <p>4 Q. Well, you did a job review of these --</p> <p>5 A. No, we found that quite a few of them</p> <p>6 were doing nonexempt work.</p> <p>7 Q. And did you -- did you --</p> <p>8 A. We reclassified them and paid them back</p> <p>9 overtime.</p> <p>10 Q. And you gave them different job codes,</p> <p>11 correct?</p> <p>12 A. That's correct.</p> <p>13 Q. So at the end of this job code review</p> <p>14 where all that was done and the people were given</p> <p>15 different job codes and they were reclassified and</p> <p>16 it was all over, is it -- was it -- at that point,</p> <p>17 was every -- did you believe that people who had had</p> <p>18 the job title systems administrator or systems</p> <p>19 administrator advanced were all doing the same or</p> <p>20 similar functions?</p> <p>21 A. No. We were only looking at -- my group</p> <p>22 was only looking at it from an exempt/nonexempt</p> <p>23 determination; the corporate compensation group was</p> <p>24 the group that decided which job code they should</p> <p>25 fall into.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">104</p> <p>1 duties and responsibilities performed to those</p> <p>2 highlighted in the appropriate job description. A</p> <p>3 job code description should match between 60 and 80</p> <p>4 percent to an individual's duties and</p> <p>5 responsibilities before it is considered a match.</p> <p>6 Do you see that?</p> <p>7 A. Yes.</p> <p>8 Q. And this was an EDS document given to</p> <p>9 its employees, correct?</p> <p>10 A. It's an EDS document. Again, I'm not</p> <p>11 familiar with it. I don't -- I don't know who</p> <p>12 developed it or what the use of this was.</p> <p>13 Q. So but it was made available to EDS</p> <p>14 employees, correct?</p> <p>15 A. I -- it appears to be an EDS document.</p> <p>16 I don't know who --</p> <p>17 Q. Okay. What does it mean that a job code</p> <p>18 description should match between 60 and 80 percent</p> <p>19 to an individual's duties and responsibilities?</p> <p>20 A. I -- that's what it says. I don't know</p> <p>21 what they mean by it because that's not part of my</p> <p>22 analysis job.</p> <p>23 Q. And this is a companywide document,</p> <p>24 correct? It's not -- it's not specific to -- it</p> <p>25 doesn't appear to be specific to any individual</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">103</p> <p>1 Q. And did they -- were they part of this</p> <p>2 review?</p> <p>3 A. Yes.</p> <p>4 Q. And who was -- who was in the corporate</p> <p>5 compensation team or department, group?</p> <p>6 A. Jennifer Miner.</p> <p>7 Q. Anyone else?</p> <p>8 A. She -- she managed the group.</p> <p>9 Q. Is she --</p> <p>10 A. She was my primary contact.</p> <p>11 Q. Is she a current HP employee?</p> <p>12 A. Yes.</p> <p>13 Q. And during the time that you performed</p> <p>14 your review regarding exempt/nonexempt, was she also</p> <p>15 performing a review to make sure that the people had</p> <p>16 the proper job codes?</p> <p>17 A. Yes. She would provide guidance to the</p> <p>18 managers who weren't quite sure exactly which job</p> <p>19 code the people should -- their people should be</p> <p>20 coded into.</p> <p>21 Q. Okay. Now, let's go back to page 24 of</p> <p>22 Exhibit 5, which is the job code review overview.</p> <p>23 A. Uh-huh.</p> <p>24 Q. Now, do you see the third bullet point</p> <p>25 where it says, accurate job coding involves matching</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">105</p> <p>1 client or geographic location?</p> <p>2 MR. WYMER: Objection as to form.</p> <p>3 Please answer.</p> <p>4 A. I mean, it does not appear to be</p> <p>5 specific to any particular account.</p> <p>6 MS. RUDICH: I'm going to ask that</p> <p>7 this next document be marked as Evans Exhibit 6.</p> <p>8 It's a multipage document entitled "Module 4,</p> <p>9 Selecting the Appropriate EDS Job Code," EDS</p> <p>10 (Plaintiffs Group) 0007049.</p> <p>11 (Deposition Exhibit 6 marked.)</p> <p>12 (Witness reviews document.)</p> <p>13 Q. (BY MS. RUDICH) Why don't I have --</p> <p>14 just take a look at page 31 and 32.</p> <p>15 A. Okay.</p> <p>16 Q. That might help.</p> <p>17 (Witness reviews document.)</p> <p>18 A. Okay.</p> <p>19 Q. (BY MS. RUDICH) Okay. Do you see on</p> <p>20 page 31 of this document Bates stamped number</p> <p>21 0007050 where it says -- the first bullet point,</p> <p>22 where the duties and responsibilities of the</p> <p>23 position for which an individual is being hired or</p> <p>24 being promoted to should be compared with the job</p> <p>25 description?</p>

27 (Pages 102 to 105)

<p style="text-align: right;">106</p> <p>1 A. I see that.</p> <p>2 Q. And why is that, why should the duties</p> <p>3 and responsibilities of the position for which an</p> <p>4 employee -- an employee is being hired or being</p> <p>5 promoted to, why is it important to compare that to</p> <p>6 the job description?</p> <p>7 A. Because, again, our intent is to</p> <p>8 match -- match an employee to the closest fitting</p> <p>9 job title.</p> <p>10 Q. And then the next bullet point is to</p> <p>11 strive for at least 80 percent match between the job</p> <p>12 code description and the employees duties and</p> <p>13 responsibilities?</p> <p>14 A. Yes. Was that a question?</p> <p>15 Q. Yes, why -- what does that mean?</p> <p>16 A. I -- it -- I don't know. It appears --</p> <p>17 Q. Okay.</p> <p>18 A. I don't know.</p> <p>19 Q. Okay. I'm going to ask you for the next</p> <p>20 page, page 32, where it says --</p> <p>21 MS. RUDICH: It's only 12:00</p> <p>22 o'clock. I know we're all on East Coast time. I</p> <p>23 know.</p> <p>24 MR. WYMER: We've driving around</p> <p>25 North Texas looking for this darn hotel. Whenever</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">108</p> <p>1 percent -- no.</p> <p>2 MS. RUDICH: All right. We could</p> <p>3 break for lunch now. Oh, wait. Wait, one second.</p> <p>4 Okay. Let's break for lunch.</p> <p>5 (Lunch recess held.)</p> <p>6 Q. (BY MS. RUDICH) Okay.</p> <p>7 MR. WYMER: Fran, if we may, before</p> <p>8 we start, I want to remove any possible ambiguity.</p> <p>9 Towards -- a little ways into the deposition, you</p> <p>10 said you reserve rights on -- on things related to</p> <p>11 the merits. So I could be clear on our position, we</p> <p>12 got a 30(b)(6) deposition notice. We offered up</p> <p>13 Mike to appear on 10 or so subjects designated in</p> <p>14 the notice. We barely touched on those subjects</p> <p>15 this morning, and obviously that's your choice, what</p> <p>16 you want to cover in deposition, and we haven't</p> <p>17 fought with you on whether or not -- I mean, Mike</p> <p>18 should testify about that, he's speaking as a fact</p> <p>19 witness on subjects not on the list, but it is our</p> <p>20 position that we will not reproduce Mike on the</p> <p>21 30(b)(6) subjects. We didn't send the notice.</p> <p>22 Whether you --</p> <p>23 MS. RUDICH: That's fine. We</p> <p>24 could -- we could argue --</p> <p>25 MR. WYMER: But what I don't want to</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">107</p> <p>1 is convenient.</p> <p>2 MS. RUDICH: Sure, after this</p> <p>3 document.</p> <p>4 Q. (BY MS. RUDICH) Do you see the next</p> <p>5 page where it says job code collection?</p> <p>6 A. Yes, ma'am.</p> <p>7 Q. Now, getting the exemption status right</p> <p>8 is the first and most important step. Do you see</p> <p>9 that?</p> <p>10 A. Yes, ma'am.</p> <p>11 Q. Does that mean that getting the job code</p> <p>12 selection correct is -- is that the most -- that the</p> <p>13 first step in getting the job code selection correct</p> <p>14 is to get the exemption status, correct?</p> <p>15 A. Yes. It's -- I would agree from my --</p> <p>16 my line of thinking, yes.</p> <p>17 Q. So that when you testified earlier that</p> <p>18 a lot of the job codes might not be correct, that</p> <p>19 also means that the exemption status might not be</p> <p>20 correct?</p> <p>21 MR. WYMER: Objection as to form.</p> <p>22 Q. (BY MS. RUDICH) Correct?</p> <p>23 A. An incorrect job code may carry a</p> <p>24 incorrect exemption status, but it also may not.</p> <p>25 Q. Okay. When you go back to the 80</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">109</p> <p>1 do is then argue later when we have had all</p> <p>2 afternoon. You have, if you choose, to discuss with</p> <p>3 Mike the subjects on which he's appearing pursuant</p> <p>4 to the 30(b)(6) notice. And it's our position that</p> <p>5 if you choose not to do that, then we don't -- that</p> <p>6 we would ask the court to prohibit him to having to</p> <p>7 reappear.</p> <p>8 MS. RUDICH: And it's my position</p> <p>9 that anything in that 30(b)(6) notice which was</p> <p>10 served was only related to the issue of class</p> <p>11 certification and/or 216 B certification, so within</p> <p>12 that context. It was not in the context of anything</p> <p>13 to do with merits, and that was what the court --</p> <p>14 that's what our discovery order says, and that's</p> <p>15 what the court believes that this phase of discovery</p> <p>16 is about.</p> <p>17 MR. WYMER: But you guys issued the</p> <p>18 30(b)(6) notice.</p> <p>19 MS. RUDICH: And in that context,</p> <p>20 because we were in --</p> <p>21 MR. WYMER: Okay. Well, within the</p> <p>22 context of certification, one of your subjects was</p> <p>23 fluctuating work weeks. Within the context of</p> <p>24 certification, one of your subjects was good faith</p> <p>25 efforts to comply.</p>

28 (Pages 106 to 109)

<p style="text-align: right;">110</p> <p>1 MS. RUDICH: Right.</p> <p>2 MR. WYMER: Mike is appearing as the</p> <p>3 30(b)(6) witness on the subjects that we indicate to</p> <p>4 you in our August email he was appearing on, and it</p> <p>5 is our position that, absent a court order, we're</p> <p>6 not going to produce him at a later time on those</p> <p>7 subjects.</p> <p>8 MS. RUDICH: Okay. That's fine.</p> <p>9 We -- I'm not saying that's fine. I'm saying that</p> <p>10 we could -- we could debate that or have to go to</p> <p>11 the judge on that, but let's -- I understand your</p> <p>12 position, you understand mine, and obviously it</p> <p>13 might be an issue for the judge to decide.</p> <p>14 MR. WYMER: Got it.</p> <p>15 MS. RUDICH: Okay.</p> <p>16 Q. (BY MS. RUDICH) Did I --</p> <p>17 MS. RUDICH: I'm going to ask that</p> <p>18 this next document be marked as Evans Exhibit 7.</p> <p>19 It's a multipage document entitled EDS -- I'm sorry,</p> <p>20 entitled "Service Delivery Job Family,</p> <p>21 Telecommunications Competency Based Matrix,</p> <p>22 Individual Performer," EDS (Plaintiffs Group)</p> <p>23 0001161, 1162, 1163, 1170, 1171, and 1172.</p> <p>24 (Deposition Exhibit 7 marked.)</p> <p>25 MS. ANSELMO: Do you have another</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">112</p> <p>1 Q. Yes.</p> <p>2 A. -- it would be.</p> <p>3 Q. Okay.</p> <p>4 A. It may or may not be representative --</p> <p>5 Q. I understand.</p> <p>6 A. Well --</p> <p>7 Q. But it's --</p> <p>8 A. But, if I may, it may or may not be</p> <p>9 representative of the actual functions that you're</p> <p>10 performing.</p> <p>11 Q. No, I understand, but this actual job</p> <p>12 code description is applicable to all employees with</p> <p>13 the job codes 51000, 51010, 3400 -- or 34500, 34510,</p> <p>14 and 34520 throughout the country?</p> <p>15 A. That is the description for that</p> <p>16 numerical job code.</p> <p>17 Q. Okay. Now, is this what the employees</p> <p>18 having these job codes are expected to do or -- I'm</p> <p>19 sorry, are generally expected to do?</p> <p>20 A. It differs per account. For example,</p> <p>21 you'll notice that there are several different</p> <p>22 functions specified under each job description. One</p> <p>23 account may require an emphasis on a certain</p> <p>24 function and another account may not. So it's</p> <p>25 really -- again, goes back on individual analysis.</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">111</p> <p>1 one of those?</p> <p>2 MS. RUDICH: No, I don't.</p> <p>3 (Witness reviews document.)</p> <p>4 Q. (BY MS. RUDICH) Okay. Mr. Evans, have</p> <p>5 you ever seen this document before?</p> <p>6 A. No. No, ma'am.</p> <p>7 Q. Okay. I'm going to ask you to</p> <p>8 look at -- all right. How about on -- look on page</p> <p>9 10.</p> <p>10 A. Okay.</p> <p>11 Q. Have you ever seen these job</p> <p>12 descriptions?</p> <p>13 A. Yes.</p> <p>14 Q. Okay. And are these job descriptions</p> <p>15 applicable for all these job codes throughout the</p> <p>16 country?</p> <p>17 MR. WYMER: Objection as to form.</p> <p>18 MS. RUDICH: Okay.</p> <p>19 MR. WYMER: Please answer.</p> <p>20 Q. (BY MS. RUDICH) Meaning that for every</p> <p>21 employee throughout the country, every EDS employee</p> <p>22 throughout the country who has a job code of 34500,</p> <p>23 this is the job -- this is the job code description</p> <p>24 that's applicable to him, correct?</p> <p>25 A. To the job code --</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">113</p> <p>1 Q. But it's the same -- but -- but -- but</p> <p>2 employees -- isn't this what employees having these</p> <p>3 job codes are generally expected to do, some or all</p> <p>4 of these tasks set forth herein?</p> <p>5 A. You would hope, before an employee is</p> <p>6 assigned this code, that they are performing some of</p> <p>7 these functions, but again, you know, based on</p> <p>8 customer requirements, it's -- it may change over</p> <p>9 time.</p> <p>10 Q. And if they're not performing at least</p> <p>11 some of these job tasks or responsibilities, could</p> <p>12 that impact on whether they're properly classified</p> <p>13 as exempt or nonexempt?</p> <p>14 A. Yes.</p> <p>15 Q. Now, isn't the job code -- isn't -- now,</p> <p>16 for every employee that has the job code 34500 or</p> <p>17 34510 or 34520, isn't this the job code that is used</p> <p>18 in connection with their performance evaluation?</p> <p>19 A. Yes.</p> <p>20 Q. And that's for every employee that has</p> <p>21 that job code, correct?</p> <p>22 A. Yes.</p> <p>23 Q. And if --</p> <p>24 A. I'm sorry. I will say this, that during</p> <p>25 the performance evaluation, a manager may have other</p>

29 (Pages 110 to 113)

<p style="text-align: right;">114</p> <p>1 types of evaluation criteria, again based on the 2 particular customer or specific responsibility the 3 employee may have. 4 Q. I understand, but I'm just asking about 5 this job code as used in connection with an 6 employee's performance evaluation. 7 A. I understand, but I just wanted to 8 clarify that their job performance won't be based 9 strictly on that job description. 10 Q. I understand, but I asked if this was 11 the job code that was used in connection -- is this 12 the job code description that's used in connection 13 with the job performance? 14 A. If that's the job code they were 15 assigned to, yes. 16 Q. And it doesn't matter whether they're 17 located -- 18 A. Right. 19 Q. -- throughout the country? 20 A. Correct. 21 Q. Doesn't matter which client that they 22 work in. 23 A. Correct. 24 Q. Okay. And it's -- and -- and it's the 25 same job code description that's put in -- for every</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">116</p> <p>1 A. I -- that may explain the job family, 2 but if they were that similar, they would just be 3 all consolidated in one job code. 4 Q. And earlier in Exhibit 1 and 2, and I 5 could show you those, but earlier in Exhibit 1 and 6 2, EDS defined job family as a group of jobs 7 relating to same nature of work and having similar 8 duties and responsibilities, correct? You could 9 look at the document if you need to. 10 MR. WYMER: Objection as to form. 11 Okay. 12 A. I'm sorry. Would you repeat the 13 question? 14 (Record read.) 15 A. I didn't say that, did I? 16 Q. (BY MS. RUDICH) No, I said EDS defined 17 it. 18 A. Oh, okay. Yes. Okay. In the document, 19 yes. 20 Q. Now, consistent with EDS's own 21 documents, jobs must relate to the -- all jobs 22 within the same job family must relate to the same 23 nature of work, correct? 24 A. Depends on how you define nature of 25 work. Telecommunication job family, for example,</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">115</p> <p>1 employee that's assigned a specific job code, let's 2 say 5101, 34500, the same job code is put into their 3 personnel file regardless of where they work, 4 correct? 5 A. Well, they don't have personnel files, 6 so I mean... 7 Q. Now, does -- now, do you see that there 8 is a number of job code descriptions listed in the 9 service delivery job family, telecommunications 10 competency based matrix? There is one, two, three, 11 four, five. Do you see that it's 51000, 51010 -- 12 A. So you're looking at page 12 or -- 13 Q. I'm looking at page -- 14 MR. WYMER: 10 and 11. 15 Q. (BY MS. RUDICH) -- 10 and 11. 16 A. Oh, okay. Yes, I see them. 17 Q. Now, do these job codes all have 18 similar -- all have similar duties and 19 responsibilities? I'm not saying the same; I'm 20 saying similar. 21 A. There may be certain aspects of the job 22 that overlap in some regard. 23 Q. And that's why they're in the same -- is 24 that why they're all assigned to the same job 25 family?</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">117</p> <p>1 you could draw the conclusion that all of these jobs 2 are somehow related to telecommunications. You 3 can't draw a conclusion that the functional 4 responsibility of each one of these are going to 5 have similarities. 6 Q. And why would they all -- if these -- if 7 the -- if the job codes contained in the 8 telecommunications job family do not have similar 9 functional responsibilities, why would they be in 10 the same job family? 11 A. They are similar in -- from the aspect 12 that they're all related to a telecommunications 13 type responsibility. 14 Q. Right. So EDS itself thought that 15 these -- that all the job codes contained within the 16 telecommunications job family were similar enough to 17 put them in the same job family, correct? 18 A. Well, as they relate to a -- a general 19 telecommunications type role. 20 Q. Well, and what do you mean by that? 21 A. Maybe -- I'm trying to think of a better 22 example. All of these jobs are related to tele -- 23 to a telecommunication type function. Within that 24 function, there are specific job responsibilities 25 that could differ greatly between the</p>

30 (Pages 114 to 117)

<p style="text-align: right;">118</p> <p>1 telecommunication technician, for example, and the 2 telecommunication analyst senior. 3 Q. I understand. 4 A. So to assume that those are somehow 5 related or similarly situated just because they're 6 in the job family, same job family, is an incorrect 7 assessment. 8 Q. But EDS itself told its employees 9 that -- that jobs -- that a job family is a group of 10 jobs relating to the same nature of work and having 11 similar duties and responsibilities, correct? This 12 is EDS's own words to its employees, correct? 13 MR. WYMER: Objection, asked and 14 answered 14 times. 15 A. If that's what was stated in the 16 document? 17 Q. (BY MS. RUDICH) Yes. And you were 18 saying that that's -- that might not be the 19 situation in the telecommunications job code family. 20 A. I think you're -- yes. You are breaking 21 it down -- job codes and job families are not the 22 same thing, and they're -- they are related from the 23 standpoint that they have a higher common -- 24 commonality, but when you break it down to a 25 functional overview, they are -- they could be quite</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">120</p> <p>1 Q. Okay. Now, I'm going to ask you about 2 telecommunications analyst on page 10, 34500. Is 3 this an accurate recitation of the duties and 4 responsibilities of telecommunications analysts? 5 A. For whom? 6 Q. For anyone with that job code. 7 A. You can't -- I couldn't answer that 8 unless you identify the individuals you're talking 9 about. 10 Q. So the -- so there might be an 11 individual who has this job code 34500 that 12 should -- that should not be classified -- should 13 not be -- should not have that job code, correct? 14 A. That's -- it's a possibility. 15 Q. And it's possible that there are other 16 telecommunications analysts in EDS that should have 17 had the job code 51010, correct? 18 A. Yes, but -- correct, but it's also just 19 as -- as equally plausible that they should be in a 20 34520. 21 Q. I understand that, but that they should 22 have 51010, correct? 23 A. That is -- 24 Q. It's possible. 25 A. That is one of the several</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">119</p> <p>1 different. 2 Q. Okay. But what about, 34500, 3 telecommunications analyst, and 34510, 4 telecommunications analyst advanced, are those -- do 5 those -- do they have similar duties and 6 responsibilities? 7 A. There will be some overlapping. 8 Q. And what about for 34510 and 34520; do 9 they have similar -- do they have similar duties and 10 responsibilities? 11 A. There would be some overlapping between 12 those two, also. 13 Q. And there would be more in common 14 between 34 -- between the 34500 and 34510 than 15 between 5100 and 34520, correct? 16 A. Generally speaking, yes. 17 Q. So the closer the number is, the more 18 they have in common? 19 A. That's generally correct. Again, 20 based -- 21 Q. I'm talking general -- I understand that 22 there's -- that -- that there might be, you know, 23 specifics that don't apply, but I'm talking about in 24 general; is that correct? 25 A. That's in general, correct.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">121</p> <p>1 possibilities. 2 Q. If they don't do -- if they don't all 3 have the same -- if everybody within EDS who has the 4 job code 34500 doesn't do any or all of these duties 5 and responsibilities set forth in this job 6 description, it's possible that means that he 7 might -- they might do -- they might be 8 misclassified, correct? 9 A. That's -- yes, that's correct. 10 Q. So you can't say that anybody with 11 345 -- that everybody who has 34500 was properly 12 classified as exempt, correct? 13 A. No, that would be incorrect. If they 14 were doing these functions and coded as a 34500, 15 they would be exempt. 16 Q. So everybody who is doing these same 17 functions should be coded as 34500, correct? 18 A. Correct. 19 Q. And if they're not, because you 20 testified that there's a chance that -- that they 21 might not be doing these functions, correct? 22 A. Correct. 23 Q. And there could be employees out there 24 in EDS that aren't doing these functions but yet are 25 coded with 34500, correct?</p>

31 (Pages 118 to 121)

<p style="text-align: right;">122</p> <p>1 A. That's correct.</p> <p>2 Q. And it's a possible that they might be</p> <p>3 doing nonexempt tasks, correct?</p> <p>4 A. That's correct.</p> <p>5 Q. And that they're improperly coded.</p> <p>6 A. There is also a possibility that they</p> <p>7 are doing -- yes, but you're posing the question as</p> <p>8 if that's the only possibility.</p> <p>9 Q. No, I'm not. I'm asking you if that's a</p> <p>10 possibility.</p> <p>11 A. That is a possibility of a number of</p> <p>12 possibilities.</p> <p>13 Q. You're not answering my question.</p> <p>14 A. Yes, it is a possibility.</p> <p>15 Q. It's a possibility, and therefore you</p> <p>16 can't state that everybody who has -- who has the</p> <p>17 job code 34500 should be classified as exempt under</p> <p>18 the FLSA, correct?</p> <p>19 A. Correct.</p> <p>20 Q. And you can't state that everybody who</p> <p>21 has the job code -- who is given the job code 34510</p> <p>22 should be classified as exempt, correct?</p> <p>23 A. What I said, to clarify, if they are</p> <p>24 doing the functions described here under the</p> <p>25 numerical job code, they would be exempt.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">124</p> <p>1 core duties and responsibilities, if they are</p> <p>2 properly classified under 34510, then they're doing</p> <p>3 the same or similar job -- core functions of job</p> <p>4 responsibilities, correct?</p> <p>5 A. And -- yes, correct.</p> <p>6 Q. And it's the same for 34520, correct?</p> <p>7 A. Correct.</p> <p>8 Q. Now, everybody who is -- is everybody</p> <p>9 who has a job code 34500 subject to the same policy</p> <p>10 or practice regarding overtime?</p> <p>11 A. Correct, yes.</p> <p>12 Q. And all employees with this job code</p> <p>13 were classified as exempt from overtime, correct?</p> <p>14 A. That's correct.</p> <p>15 Q. And they are all ineligible for</p> <p>16 overtime, correct?</p> <p>17 A. Correct.</p> <p>18 Q. And none received overtime, correct?</p> <p>19 A. Correct.</p> <p>20 Q. And they all are paid on a salary basis,</p> <p>21 correct?</p> <p>22 A. Correct.</p> <p>23 Q. And what about telecommunications</p> <p>24 analyst advanced, 34510; are all -- are all</p> <p>25 employees who are assigned the job code 34510</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">123</p> <p>1 Q. And that means that if they're -- so</p> <p>2 that everybody who is doing the similar tasks --</p> <p>3 similar tasks or similar functions should be</p> <p>4 classified as exempt, correct?</p> <p>5 A. That's not what I said.</p> <p>6 Q. Everybody who is doing the same -- the</p> <p>7 similar tasks or similar job functions as set forth</p> <p>8 in this document under the 34510 should be</p> <p>9 classified as exempt, correct?</p> <p>10 A. If they are doing these functions, they</p> <p>11 are exempt. If they're --</p> <p>12 Q. So everybody who is doing these</p> <p>13 functions are similarly situated, correct?</p> <p>14 A. Not --</p> <p>15 Q. Who is doing these functions, I mean.</p> <p>16 Not -- they might not be doing these functions, but</p> <p>17 everybody who is doing these functions are similarly</p> <p>18 situated, right?</p> <p>19 A. You would need to look at them on an</p> <p>20 individual basis. There may be some overlap of</p> <p>21 which they are doing a small percentage of overlap,</p> <p>22 which it wouldn't affect the exemption status.</p> <p>23 Q. I'm not talking about exemption status.</p> <p>24 I'm just talking about if they are similarly</p> <p>25 situated in terms of that they all have the same</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">125</p> <p>1 subject to the same policy and practice regarding</p> <p>2 overtime?</p> <p>3 A. Yes.</p> <p>4 Q. And all employees with this job code</p> <p>5 were classified as exempt from overtime, correct?</p> <p>6 A. Correct.</p> <p>7 Q. And they're all ineligible for overtime,</p> <p>8 correct?</p> <p>9 A. Correct.</p> <p>10 Q. And none of them receive overtime,</p> <p>11 correct?</p> <p>12 A. Correct.</p> <p>13 Q. And they're all paid on a salary basis.</p> <p>14 A. Correct.</p> <p>15 Q. And how about 34520; are all employees</p> <p>16 that are assigned the job code 34520 subject to the</p> <p>17 same policy or practice regarding overtime?</p> <p>18 A. Yes.</p> <p>19 Q. And are all employees with this job code</p> <p>20 classified as exempt from overtime?</p> <p>21 A. Yes.</p> <p>22 Q. And they're all -- and all job -- all</p> <p>23 EDS employees that are given the job code 34520 are</p> <p>24 ineligible for overtime.</p> <p>25 A. That's correct.</p>

32 (Pages 122 to 125)

<p style="text-align: right;">126</p> <p>1 Q. And all employees that are given the job</p> <p>2 code 34520 are paid on a salary basis.</p> <p>3 A. That's correct.</p> <p>4 Q. Now, do you see on page 12 of this</p> <p>5 document?</p> <p>6 A. Yes.</p> <p>7 Q. Is this a job progression?</p> <p>8 A. Yes.</p> <p>9 Q. Okay. And is this job progression the</p> <p>10 same for all employees with -- within the</p> <p>11 telecommunications family regardless of where they</p> <p>12 worked, regardless of which client they worked for?</p> <p>13 A. Yes.</p> <p>14 Q. And is this job code progression the</p> <p>15 same for employees within the telecommunications</p> <p>16 family regardless of which geographic area they</p> <p>17 worked in?</p> <p>18 A. Yes.</p> <p>19 Q. And -- and do you see how -- it states</p> <p>20 that the table contains the job -- I'm sorry. This</p> <p>21 table contains the job codes in the</p> <p>22 telecommunications job family with key roles</p> <p>23 performed in each code?</p> <p>24 A. Yes.</p> <p>25 Q. Do you see that?</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">128</p> <p>1 Q. And are all employees with this job code</p> <p>2 classified as exempt from overtime?</p> <p>3 A. Yes, they are.</p> <p>4 Q. And they're all ineligible for overtime,</p> <p>5 correct?</p> <p>6 A. Correct.</p> <p>7 Q. And none receive overtime, correct?</p> <p>8 A. Correct.</p> <p>9 Q. And they're all paid on a salary basis,</p> <p>10 correct?</p> <p>11 A. That's correct.</p> <p>12 Q. And how about for -- let's speed this</p> <p>13 along. How about for 34070 and 34080; do you see</p> <p>14 that on page 11?</p> <p>15 A. I see them.</p> <p>16 Q. Are they -- are these job codes subject</p> <p>17 to the same policy -- EDS policy and practice</p> <p>18 regarding overtime?</p> <p>19 A. Yes.</p> <p>20 Q. And are all employees with job codes</p> <p>21 34070 and 34080 classified as exempt from overtime?</p> <p>22 A. Yes.</p> <p>23 Q. And are they -- are all the employees</p> <p>24 with these job codes eligible -- ineligible for</p> <p>25 overtime under EDS policy and practice?</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">127</p> <p>1 A. Yes.</p> <p>2 Q. And are there -- and are there overlap</p> <p>3 or similar key job roles amongst these five job</p> <p>4 codes?</p> <p>5 A. Yes.</p> <p>6 MS. RUDICH: I'm going to ask the</p> <p>7 next document to be marked as Evans Exhibit 8. It's</p> <p>8 a multipage document entitled "Service Delivery Job</p> <p>9 Family, Systems Administrator Competency Based</p> <p>10 Matrix, Individual Performer," and it has a job --</p> <p>11 Bates number EDS (Plaintiffs Group) 000883, 884,</p> <p>12 885, 892, 893, and 894.</p> <p>13 (Deposition Exhibit 8 marked.)</p> <p>14 (Witness reviews document.)</p> <p>15 Q. (BY MS. RUDICH) And this is a similar</p> <p>16 document as what you were looking at in Exhibit 7,</p> <p>17 but for the service delivery job family, correct?</p> <p>18 A. Yes, ma'am.</p> <p>19 Q. Now, let's look at 34060 on page 10</p> <p>20 where it says systems administrator.</p> <p>21 A. Yes.</p> <p>22 Q. Are all systems administrators subject</p> <p>23 to the same EDS policy or practice regarding</p> <p>24 overtime?</p> <p>25 A. Yes.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">129</p> <p>1 A. Yes.</p> <p>2 Q. And under EDS policy and practice, none</p> <p>3 of them received overtime, correct?</p> <p>4 A. Correct.</p> <p>5 Q. And now I'm going to refer you to page</p> <p>6 12 of Exhibit 8. That's a job progression for the</p> <p>7 systems administrator job family, correct?</p> <p>8 A. Correct.</p> <p>9 Q. And this lists the key job roles</p> <p>10 performed in each code?</p> <p>11 A. Yes.</p> <p>12 Q. And that's for -- and this job</p> <p>13 progression is the same for all employees within the</p> <p>14 systems administrator job families throughout the</p> <p>15 country, correct?</p> <p>16 A. Yes. It's a typical job progression.</p> <p>17 People aren't locked into it, but yes.</p> <p>18 Q. And it applies to all employees within</p> <p>19 the systems administrator job families throughout</p> <p>20 the country?</p> <p>21 A. Yes, it does.</p> <p>22 Q. And it doesn't matter which client they</p> <p>23 work for?</p> <p>24 A. No, it doesn't.</p> <p>25 Q. And it doesn't matter where they're</p>

33 (Pages 126 to 129)

<p style="text-align: right;">130</p> <p>1 located geographically. 2 A. Correct. 3 Q. It doesn't matter if they work from home 4 or they're located in -- in a specific client, 5 correct? 6 A. Correct. 7 MS. RUDICH: I'm going to ask the 8 next document be marked as Evans Exhibit 9. It's a 9 multipage document entitled "Service Delivery Job 10 Family, Service Center Competency Based Matrix, 11 Individual Performer," and it has EDS (Plaintiffs 12 Group) 000757, 758, 765, 7 -- 766. 13 (Deposition Exhibit 9 marked.) 14 MS. RUDICH: I'm dyslexic with 15 numbers. 16 MR. WYMER: I'm going to point out 17 for the record, it's not really interfering with the 18 deposition, but that these competency matrices that 19 are being introduced, Exhibit 7, 8, 9, and I suspect 20 others, are not complete documents as the contents 21 reveals and that Bates numbers are skipped, but 22 since it appears that you're entering them to ask 23 about the last couple of pages, we don't object to 24 the introduction of partial documents. I just want 25 to note for the record they're not complete.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">132</p> <p>1 Q. For these three codes. 2 A. Yes, for these three codes, that's 3 correct. 4 Q. And that means that they're all 5 ineligible for overtime? 6 A. That's correct. 7 Q. And that under the EDS policy and 8 practice, none of them received overtime? 9 A. That's correct. 10 Q. And that under the EDS policy and 11 practice, they were all paid on a salary basis? 12 A. That's correct. 13 Q. I'm going to ask you to look at page 10. 14 And what is this chart set forth on page 10 of 15 Exhibit 9? 16 A. Appears to be the job progression. 17 Q. And under the bullet points on each -- 18 under each job, it -- are those, according to this 19 document, the key role -- job roles performed in 20 each code? 21 A. It's according to this document, yes. 22 Q. And are the key job roles for these 23 three job codes similar? 24 A. According to this document? 25 Q. Yes.</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">131</p> <p>1 MS. RUDICH: Okay. I think the 2 record speaks for itself because I've been reading 3 the -- that's the reason why I've been reading -- 4 reading the individual pages. 5 Q. (BY MS. RUDICH) Now, according to EDS's 6 document, job codes 34530, 34540, and 34550 are part 7 of the service -- service -- I'm sorry, service 8 delivery job family, correct? 9 A. Correct. 10 Q. Which of these -- are all of these job 11 codes subject to the same EDS policy and practice 12 regarding overtime? 13 A. Yes. The three -- the three job codes 14 reflected here, that's correct. 15 Q. And what is -- what is that policy or 16 practice regarding overtime? 17 A. That they are exempt from overtime. 18 Q. And does -- and does that mean that 19 according to the EDS policy and practice, all 20 employees that have -- all employees within the 21 job -- the -- I'm sorry, the service delivery job 22 family are subject to the same policy and practice 23 regarding overtime? 24 A. For these three codes, I can't tell 25 whether --</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">133</p> <p>1 A. Yes, according to this document. 2 MS. RUDICH: I'm going to ask that 3 the next document be marked as Evans Exhibit 10. 4 It's a multipage document entitled "Corporate Job 5 Family, Information Security Competency Based 6 Matrix, Individual Performer," has the Bates stamp 7 number 00 -- 0000262, 263, 264, 270, 271, and 272. 8 (Deposition Exhibit 10 marked.) 9 (Witness reviews document.) 10 Q. (BY MS. RUDICH) I'm going to ask you to 11 look at page 3 of this document. Do you see there's 12 -- in the paragraph on page 3 where it says, these 13 guidelines apply globally to all employees in 14 countries where this document has been successfully 15 implemented subject to local law. Do you see that 16 sentence? 17 A. Yes. 18 Q. What do you understand that to mean? 19 A. I lost it. 20 Q. Okay. It's, I'll tell you, one, two, 21 three, four, five, six lines down on the line that 22 starts contract period. 23 A. These -- okay. Let me read that. It's 24 just a guideline that is implemented in countries 25 where I guess the local law has not prohibited such</p>

34 (Pages 130 to 133)

<p style="text-align: right;">134</p> <p>1 guidelines from being used.</p> <p>2 Q. So this means that these guidelines</p> <p>3 apply to all employees that are within this --</p> <p>4 within the corporate job family where local law has</p> <p>5 not -- has allowed it to be used, correct?</p> <p>6 A. Well, it means they could be used. It</p> <p>7 further says in the paragraph, it's intended only as</p> <p>8 a guideline, so it's okay.</p> <p>9 Q. But it says these guidelines apply</p> <p>10 globally. What does apply -- what do you understand</p> <p>11 "apply globally" to mean?</p> <p>12 A. When you look at the whole paragraph in</p> <p>13 its entirety, I mean, that the guidelines are not</p> <p>14 requirements, but they -- they are there as a</p> <p>15 guideline, and unless prohibited by law in some of</p> <p>16 these countries outside the U.S., then they could</p> <p>17 be -- they can be used as a guideline.</p> <p>18 Q. Right, but what do you understand "apply</p> <p>19 globally" to mean? Does that mean that -- that</p> <p>20 these -- these guidelines apply globally, correct?</p> <p>21 A. Correct.</p> <p>22 Q. Okay.</p> <p>23 A. It means that these -- what we are</p> <p>24 instructed -- what the guidelines we are -- these</p> <p>25 guidelines could be applicable outside the U.S.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">136</p> <p>1 the U.S., correct?</p> <p>2 MR. WYMER: Objection as to form.</p> <p>3 Q. (BY MS. RUDICH) They're available to be</p> <p>4 used to all employees in the U.S., correct?</p> <p>5 A. That's correct.</p> <p>6 Q. And when all employees in the U.S. are</p> <p>7 given their performance evaluation, the job code</p> <p>8 descriptions set forth herein are used, correct?</p> <p>9 A. Correct, if they are in one of these job</p> <p>10 titles, job codes.</p> <p>11 Q. Yes, okay. Now, I'm going to refer you</p> <p>12 to page 9 and 10, have you look at 9 and 10, try to</p> <p>13 speed this along. I'm going to refer you to job</p> <p>14 code 33700, 33710, 33720 and 33730. Are all these</p> <p>15 job codes subject to the same policy or practice --</p> <p>16 same EDS policy and practice regarding overtime?</p> <p>17 A. Yes.</p> <p>18 Q. And what is that policy and practice?</p> <p>19 A. That they are exempt from overtime.</p> <p>20 Q. And that means that they are all subject</p> <p>21 to the same policy -- EDS policy and practice that</p> <p>22 they're -- that they did not receive -- that they do</p> <p>23 not receive overtime, correct?</p> <p>24 A. That's correct.</p> <p>25 Q. And that -- that means that these</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">135</p> <p>1 unless prohibited by law.</p> <p>2 Q. And in the U.S.?</p> <p>3 A. And in the U.S.</p> <p>4 Q. Do you believe that these guidelines are</p> <p>5 illegal in the U.S.? Do you believe that -- let</p> <p>6 me -- let me rephrase it.</p> <p>7 Do you believe that any law within</p> <p>8 the U.S. would prohibit the use of these guidelines?</p> <p>9 A. That any law would prohibit them? No.</p> <p>10 Q. So does that mean that these -- so</p> <p>11 therefore that these guidelines apply throughout the</p> <p>12 United States, correct?</p> <p>13 A. They are there to be used, but they are</p> <p>14 not a policy per se.</p> <p>15 Q. Oh, I understand it's not a policy. I'm</p> <p>16 just saying that these guidelines apply.</p> <p>17 A. Yes.</p> <p>18 Q. These guidelines apply throughout the</p> <p>19 U.S., that's all I'm saying.</p> <p>20 A. These guidelines are published for the</p> <p>21 U.S., and they can be used in the U.S.</p> <p>22 Q. So they're published for all employees</p> <p>23 in the U.S., correct?</p> <p>24 A. Correct.</p> <p>25 Q. And they can be used by all employees in</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">137</p> <p>1 three -- four -- these four job codes that are</p> <p>2 within the corporate job family are all subject to</p> <p>3 the same EDS policy and practice that they're all</p> <p>4 paid on a salary basis, correct?</p> <p>5 A. That's correct.</p> <p>6 Q. I'm going to ask you to look at page 11.</p> <p>7 Is this -- what is this chart?</p> <p>8 A. This is the job progression for the</p> <p>9 information security -- well, it's for this</p> <p>10 particular job -- information security job family.</p> <p>11 Q. Okay. And when was -- can you, just for</p> <p>12 the record, state when -- what -- when this job</p> <p>13 progression chart was implemented? And I'm going to</p> <p>14 show you the bottom right-hand corner.</p> <p>15 A. Yes. This document appears to have been</p> <p>16 updated on December 2nd, 2008.</p> <p>17 Q. Okay. And this job progression applies</p> <p>18 to all -- this job progression applies to all</p> <p>19 employees with the job codes in the information</p> <p>20 security job family, correct?</p> <p>21 A. Yes. Again, it's a typical job</p> <p>22 progression, but yes.</p> <p>23 Q. And it doesn't matter -- and it applies</p> <p>24 to all employees within the information security job</p> <p>25 family, no matter -- no matter which client they</p>

35 (Pages 134 to 137)

<p style="text-align: right;">138</p> <p>1 work for, correct?</p> <p>2 A. That's correct.</p> <p>3 Q. And no matter which -- where</p> <p>4 geographically they're located, correct?</p> <p>5 A. That's correct.</p> <p>6 Q. And it applies to all job -- employees</p> <p>7 with job codes in the information security job</p> <p>8 family, whether they work from home or not?</p> <p>9 A. Correct.</p> <p>10 Q. Okay.</p> <p>11 MS. RUDICH: I'm going to ask that</p> <p>12 this next document be marked as Evans Exhibit 11.</p> <p>13 It's a multipage document entitled "Technical</p> <p>14 Delivery Job Family," and it has the Bates stamp</p> <p>15 number EDS (Plaintiffs Group) 0000377, 378, 380,</p> <p>16 387, 388, 389, and 390.</p> <p>17 (Deposition Exhibit 11 marked.)</p> <p>18 (Witness reviews document.)</p> <p>19 Q. (BY MS. RUDICH) Have you reviewed it,</p> <p>20 Mr. Evans?</p> <p>21 A. Yes, I have.</p> <p>22 Q. Now, you earlier testified about an</p> <p>23 audit of certain job codes or certain job families</p> <p>24 that I think your team performed along with, I</p> <p>25 guess, Jennifer Miner?</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">140</p> <p>1 Q. Totally, I got it.</p> <p>2 A. Okay.</p> <p>3 Q. Okay. I'm going to ask you to look at</p> <p>4 page 11 and 12. Now, are all the job codes set</p> <p>5 forth in this document, 34350, 34260, 34270, and</p> <p>6 34280 subject to the same EDS policy and practice</p> <p>7 regarding payment of overtime?</p> <p>8 A. Yes, they are.</p> <p>9 Q. And are all -- and are all employees</p> <p>10 with this job -- with these job codes subject to the</p> <p>11 same EDS policy and practice regarding classifying</p> <p>12 them as exempt from overtime?</p> <p>13 A. That's correct.</p> <p>14 Q. And are they all subject to the same EDS</p> <p>15 policy and practice in that they're all ineligible</p> <p>16 for overtime?</p> <p>17 A. That's correct.</p> <p>18 Q. And are the job codes set forth in this</p> <p>19 document, 34350, 34260, 34270, and 34280, all</p> <p>20 subject to the same policy and practice regarding</p> <p>21 how they're paid?</p> <p>22 A. That's correct.</p> <p>23 Q. And they're all paid on a salary basis,</p> <p>24 correct?</p> <p>25 A. That is correct.</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">139</p> <p>1 A. The voluntary audit review conducted</p> <p>2 under the Department of Labor?</p> <p>3 Q. Yes.</p> <p>4 A. Yes.</p> <p>5 Q. And was -- did that audit involve the</p> <p>6 job codes set forth in this document?</p> <p>7 A. No, it did not.</p> <p>8 Q. On what job codes did it involve?</p> <p>9 A. System administrator and system</p> <p>10 administrator advanced.</p> <p>11 Q. Okay. Okay. Now, I'm going to ask you</p> <p>12 to look at page 11 and 12 of what's been marked as</p> <p>13 Evans 11.</p> <p>14 A. Before we move on, can I go back one?</p> <p>15 The intent of our internal audit did not include</p> <p>16 these job titles, but there were some occasions</p> <p>17 where a couple of people came forward and said that</p> <p>18 they were sitting side by side next to somebody who</p> <p>19 was, so we kind of pulled them in.</p> <p>20 Q. I totally understand. It wasn't the</p> <p>21 subject of the job code, but it might have been --</p> <p>22 but since there was a job audit going on, somebody</p> <p>23 might have heard of it and they wanted -- then</p> <p>24 contacted you?</p> <p>25 A. Yes, just wanted that for the record.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">141</p> <p>1 Q. I'm going to ask you to look at what's</p> <p>2 on page 13. What is -- what is -- what's on --</p> <p>3 what's this chart?</p> <p>4 A. Excuse me. It's the job progression for</p> <p>5 the infrastructure job family.</p> <p>6 Q. And does this job progression apply to</p> <p>7 all individuals within the infrastructure job family</p> <p>8 regardless of where they work?</p> <p>9 A. Yes.</p> <p>10 Q. And does it apply to all employees</p> <p>11 within the infrastructure job family regardless of</p> <p>12 the client they work for?</p> <p>13 A. Yes.</p> <p>14 Q. And does it apply to all employees</p> <p>15 within the infrastructure job family regardless of</p> <p>16 whether they work at home or at a -- other location?</p> <p>17 A. Correct.</p> <p>18 MS. RUDICH: And -- I'm going to ask</p> <p>19 that this next document be marked as Evans Exhibit</p> <p>20 12. It's a multipage document entitled "Technical</p> <p>21 Delivery Job Family, Information Competency Based</p> <p>22 Matrix, Individual Performer," and it has the Bates</p> <p>23 stamp number EDS 1, 2, 3, 10, 11, 12, and 13.</p> <p>24 (Deposition Exhibit 12 marked.)</p> <p>25 Q. (BY MS. RUDICH) Mr. Evans -- Mr. Evans,</p>

36 (Pages 138 to 141)

<p style="text-align: right;">142</p> <p>1 have you ever seen this document before? Have you 2 ever seen any portion of this document before? 3 A. Yes, I've seen. 4 Q. And which portion have you seen before? 5 A. I've seen the job descriptions. 6 Q. Okay. And that's the job descriptions 7 set forth on 9 -- page 9 and 10 of this document? 8 A. Yes. 9 Q. And 11, I'm sorry. 9, 10, and 11. 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. And are job code -- which job code -- 12 which job codes within this document are subject to 13 the same EDS policy and practice regarding overtime 14 payment? 15 A. Which job codes are treated the same? 16 Q. Are subject to the same EDS policy and 17 practice regarding overtime? 18 A. Job code 34330, 34200, 34210, and 34220. 19 Q. Okay. And what is that policy and 20 practice that they're all subject to? 21 A. That they are considered exempt from 22 overtime. 23 Q. Meaning that they -- that all -- 24 everybody who was given these job codes throughout 25 the country are not entitled to overtime, correct?</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">144</p> <p>1 MS. RUDICH: We can take a break now 2 for a minute. 3 (Recess held.) 4 MS. RUDICH: I'm going to ask that 5 this next document be marked as Evans 13. It's a 6 document called "2007 EDS Shared Competencies" 7 bearing Bates stamp number 0004038 through 4050. 8 (Deposition Exhibit 13 marked.) 9 (Witness reviews document.) 10 Q. (BY MS. RUDICH) Okay. Mr. Evans, have 11 you had a chance to review this document? 12 A. Yes. 13 Q. Okay. What is this document? 14 A. I have -- haven't seen this before. 15 Q. Okay. Have you ever seen any portion of 16 it before? 17 A. No, I have not. 18 MS. RUDICH: I'm going to ask that 19 this next document be marked as Exhibit -- Evans 20 Exhibit 14. It's a multiple page document entitled 21 "Shared Competencies" having Bates stamp number 5606 22 through 5624. 23 (Deposition Exhibit 14 marked.) 24 Q. (BY MS. RUDICH) Before I ask you 25 questions about this -- this -- Evans Exhibit 14, do</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">143</p> <p>1 A. That's correct. 2 Q. And everybody in the company that 3 receives these job codes are paid on a salary basis, 4 correct? 5 A. That's correct. 6 Q. Now, let's look at page 12 of this 7 document, 12 and 13, I'm sorry. What is -- what is 8 represented on page 12 and 13 of plaintiffs -- I'm 9 sorry, of Evans Exhibit 12? 10 A. This is the typical job progression for 11 the information job family. 12 Q. Okay. And does this job progression 13 apply to all employees within the information job 14 family, regardless of which client they work for? 15 A. That's correct. 16 Q. And does it apply to all employees 17 within the information job family, regardless of the 18 geographic location in which they work? 19 A. Yes. 20 Q. And does it apply to all employees 21 within the information job family, regardless of 22 whether they work from home or they work outside the 23 home? 24 A. That's correct, it does. 25 Q. Okay.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">145</p> <p>1 you know who would -- who would know about -- who 2 would have information regarding Exhibit 13? 3 A. Jennifer Miner. 4 Q. Thank you. Anybody else? 5 A. No. She's -- she would be the one. 6 Q. Okay. Have you ever seen this document 7 before? I'm talking about Evans Exhibit 14. 8 A. Let me -- give me a chance to look 9 through it real quick. 10 Q. I'm sorry. Sure. 11 (Witness reviews document.) 12 A. This does not look familiar to me. 13 Q. (BY MS. RUDICH) Do you know who 14 would -- who would know about this document? 15 A. Jennifer Miner. 16 Q. Why would Jennifer Miner know about this 17 document? Why do you -- let me withdraw. 18 Why do you believe Jennifer Miner 19 would know about this document? 20 A. Because this document appears that it 21 would have been generated from the corporate 22 compensation department, and she was the manager of 23 that group. 24 Q. Okay. Okay. Thanks. I'm going to 25 refer you to page 5 of this document. No. I'm</p>

37 (Pages 142 to 145)

<p style="text-align: right;">146</p> <p>1 sorry. Page 4 of this document. Do you see</p> <p>2 the part where it says overview and purpose. The</p> <p>3 EDS competency based matrix is a career development</p> <p>4 tool for employees which can be used to define the</p> <p>5 requirements for a specific job code category. Do</p> <p>6 you see that portion --</p> <p>7 A. Yes.</p> <p>8 Q. -- of the sentence? What do you</p> <p>9 understand that to mean?</p> <p>10 A. This appears to be a matrix intended to</p> <p>11 help with career development, but it also looks like</p> <p>12 the focus of this is more concentrated on behavioral</p> <p>13 type aspects rather than functional, so it might</p> <p>14 explain why I -- it's unfamiliar to me.</p> <p>15 Q. And this is behavioral for specific job</p> <p>16 category descriptions? And I'm going to -- I'll</p> <p>17 withdraw that question.</p> <p>18 Is that -- you mean behavioral</p> <p>19 aspects relating -- as they relate to specific job</p> <p>20 category descriptions?</p> <p>21 A. To -- it appears this is more broken out</p> <p>22 even at a higher level on what I would refer to as</p> <p>23 an EE01 category, like a clerical professional</p> <p>24 manager, which is different than the others, job</p> <p>25 families and things that we've been talking about.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">148</p> <p>1 which I...</p> <p>2 Q. Okay. I understand. Thank you.</p> <p>3 A. Okay.</p> <p>4 MS. RUDICH: I'm going to ask that</p> <p>5 the next document be marked as Evans Exhibit 15.</p> <p>6 It's a -- looks like it's a -- like a screen view of</p> <p>7 a EDS InfoCentre Global -- Global page that states</p> <p>8 job matrices.</p> <p>9 (Deposition Exhibit 15 marked.)</p> <p>10 (Witness reviews document.)</p> <p>11 A. Okay.</p> <p>12 Q. (BY MS. RUDICH) Mr. Evans, have you had</p> <p>13 a chance to review what's been marked as Evans</p> <p>14 Exhibit 15?</p> <p>15 A. Yes.</p> <p>16 Q. Have you ever seen this before? When I</p> <p>17 say "this," I mean have you ever seen what's a</p> <p>18 computer screen or -- you know, or -- I guess a</p> <p>19 computer screen that looks like this.</p> <p>20 A. Yeah, this follows the same type of</p> <p>21 format that other groups post on. I can't say that</p> <p>22 I ever went to this particular screen for anything,</p> <p>23 but it does follow the same format.</p> <p>24 Q. Okay. Now what is the EDS InfoCentre</p> <p>25 Global?</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">147</p> <p>1 Q. But it is -- all right. But it's broken</p> <p>2 down by job code levels, correct? If you look on</p> <p>3 page 7 where it says competency name, and then one</p> <p>4 half of that box is job -- EDS job category, and</p> <p>5 then right underneath it says job code levels.</p> <p>6 A. Yes, I think we're saying the same</p> <p>7 thing. We're just saying it differently.</p> <p>8 Q. Okay.</p> <p>9 A. Professionals, for example, is an EE01</p> <p>10 category, but it also encompasses all the jobs</p> <p>11 within EDS that start with a 3.</p> <p>12 Q. I see. I see. So for this particular</p> <p>13 document, EDS is grouping together all -- all job</p> <p>14 codes that begin with the letter -- I'm sorry, begin</p> <p>15 with the number 3, correct?</p> <p>16 A. That's correct.</p> <p>17 Q. And it applies -- and all these</p> <p>18 different competency -- competencies apply in the</p> <p>19 same way. For instance, competency one, idea</p> <p>20 leadership, that would apply in the same way to all</p> <p>21 employees with job code beginning with the number 3,</p> <p>22 correct?</p> <p>23 A. I really don't know.</p> <p>24 Q. I understand.</p> <p>25 A. This is more behavioral type issues,</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">149</p> <p>1 A. It's the corporate intranet, if you</p> <p>2 will. It's the website for the EDS employees for</p> <p>3 both manager communications and also communications</p> <p>4 that employees can -- if employees want to check on</p> <p>5 their benefits, for example, they can access their</p> <p>6 individual information through this website.</p> <p>7 Q. Now, is there anything on this</p> <p>8 particular exhibit which indicates who this -- who</p> <p>9 would be able to assess or -- access or look at</p> <p>10 this -- this -- this screen or this web page? Would</p> <p>11 this be available to all employees of the company or</p> <p>12 only a certain level of employees?</p> <p>13 A. You know, I -- I don't know. There was</p> <p>14 information posted or available on this that was</p> <p>15 specifically applicable to managers only, but I'm</p> <p>16 not sure whether the InfoCentre system had the</p> <p>17 capability of limiting it only to managers, so I</p> <p>18 don't know.</p> <p>19 Q. Okay. Now, I'm going to ask you to look</p> <p>20 at the last page of this -- of what's been marked as</p> <p>21 Evans Exhibit 15, where it says job codes and</p> <p>22 descriptions last updated November 22nd, 2005. Do</p> <p>23 you see that?</p> <p>24 A. Yes. Yes. I see it, yes.</p> <p>25 Q. Okay. Now, what is the enterprise</p>

38 (Pages 146 to 149)

<p style="text-align: right;">150</p> <p>1 system?</p> <p>2 A. That's -- that's one of the -- it's the</p> <p>3 HR system, for lack of a better technical</p> <p>4 terminology, but that's the corporate HR system</p> <p>5 where the employee information would be retained.</p> <p>6 Q. Now, do all employees -- are -- do all</p> <p>7 employees of EDS have access to the enterprise</p> <p>8 system?</p> <p>9 A. Yes.</p> <p>10 Q. Okay. And what is an employee</p> <p>11 self-service page of the enterprise system?</p> <p>12 A. That's -- you know, I don't know. I've</p> <p>13 never accessed it.</p> <p>14 Q. Okay. Now, does this page indicate that</p> <p>15 job codes and descriptions as of November 22nd,</p> <p>16 2005, were available to all employees of EDS?</p> <p>17 A. Again, I don't know. I was able to get</p> <p>18 them. I don't know if I was able to get them</p> <p>19 because I'm an employee or because I'm a manager.</p> <p>20 So...</p> <p>21 Q. But this -- but this particular screen</p> <p>22 would have come from the EDS intranet that was</p> <p>23 accessible by all employees?</p> <p>24 A. The InfoCentre.</p> <p>25 Q. Yes.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">152</p> <p>1 Q. Where do you see that job code and</p> <p>2 description?</p> <p>3 A. Oh, I'm sorry. I was looking at the</p> <p>4 job -- in the middle.</p> <p>5 Q. Where it says the job family matrix?</p> <p>6 A. Yes.</p> <p>7 Q. So you've seen the job code</p> <p>8 descriptions?</p> <p>9 A. Yes.</p> <p>10 Q. And the job codes and descriptions?</p> <p>11 A. But I misspoke. That's not a -- you</p> <p>12 can't get to it from here it looks like. Well, yes,</p> <p>13 you can, toward the top where it says related</p> <p>14 topics.</p> <p>15 Q. Oh, I see, okay. But you haven't seen</p> <p>16 any -- you haven't seen this particular web page</p> <p>17 before.</p> <p>18 A. That's correct.</p> <p>19 MS. RUDICH: I'm going to have</p> <p>20 marked the next document as Evans Exhibit 17. It's</p> <p>21 job matrices and job codes, having the Bates stamp</p> <p>22 number 5599 through 5603.</p> <p>23 (Deposition Exhibit 17 marked.)</p> <p>24 (Witness reviews document.)</p> <p>25 Q. (BY MS. RUDICH) Okay. Mr. Evans, have</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">151</p> <p>1 A. Yes, that's correct.</p> <p>2 Q. Thank you.</p> <p>3 MS. RUDICH: I'm going to ask that</p> <p>4 this next document be marked as Evans Exhibit 17.</p> <p>5 It's a two-page document having Bates stamp number</p> <p>6 5836 and 5837, and it's called "Tools and Resources</p> <p>7 Job Family Matrices."</p> <p>8 MR. WYMER: Is that 16?</p> <p>9 MS. ANSELMO: 16.</p> <p>10 MS. RUDICH: Yes, I'm sorry. I said</p> <p>11 17. 16.</p> <p>12 (Deposition Exhibit 16 marked.)</p> <p>13 (Witness reviews document.)</p> <p>14 A. Okay.</p> <p>15 Q. (BY MS. RUDICH) Mr. Evans, have you</p> <p>16 ever seen this document before?</p> <p>17 A. No.</p> <p>18 Q. Have you ever seen a web page or a</p> <p>19 website that looks like this document?</p> <p>20 A. The format, yes, but not with the</p> <p>21 content.</p> <p>22 Q. Have you ever seen the content that's</p> <p>23 contained in this document before?</p> <p>24 A. Certain elements. Job codes and</p> <p>25 descriptions, for example, I've seen.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">153</p> <p>1 you ever seen this document before?</p> <p>2 A. This document does not look familiar,</p> <p>3 but I'm vaguely familiar with some of the contents.</p> <p>4 Q. And the -- does this -- does the content</p> <p>5 of this document show the EDS job families?</p> <p>6 A. Yes.</p> <p>7 Q. Are there any others that aren't</p> <p>8 contained on this document, that you know of?</p> <p>9 A. Not that I know of.</p> <p>10 Q. Okay. Thank you.</p> <p>11 MS. RUDICH: I'm going to ask that</p> <p>12 this -- I'm going to ask that this next document</p> <p>13 be -- I'm going to ask that this next document be</p> <p>14 marked as Evans Exhibit 18. It's a one-page email</p> <p>15 from Mike Evans to Maria Mercer, Mercer, subject is</p> <p>16 job code review, and it's Bates stamp number 15308.</p> <p>17 (Deposition Exhibit 18 marked.)</p> <p>18 (Witness reviews document.)</p> <p>19 Q. (BY MS. RUDICH) Mr. Evans, is this one</p> <p>20 of the documents that you reviewed in connection</p> <p>21 with your deposition prior to your deposition here</p> <p>22 today?</p> <p>23 A. Yes, I have seen this.</p> <p>24 Q. And when did you -- when was the most</p> <p>25 recent time that you've seen this?</p>

39 (Pages 150 to 153)

<p style="text-align: right;">154</p> <p>1 A. Recently, it must have been yesterday.</p> <p>2 Q. Okay. And what is it?</p> <p>3 A. This is a -- Maria Mercer is the HR</p> <p>4 manager over the -- the self-audit that we</p> <p>5 initiated, that I spoke about earlier. These are</p> <p>6 talking points for the senior leader over that group</p> <p>7 because Maria was going to meet with him and discuss</p> <p>8 what our target -- what our intention was.</p> <p>9 Q. When you say it was a talk -- what do</p> <p>10 you mean by it was "talking points for the senior</p> <p>11 leader over the group"?</p> <p>12 A. She met with the business leader over</p> <p>13 the group of which we had intended to do this</p> <p>14 self-audit, and these are basically the points that</p> <p>15 she was going to let him -- to brief him on what the</p> <p>16 activity was that we had planned.</p> <p>17 Q. And what group did you do this</p> <p>18 self-audit?</p> <p>19 A. We ended up doing it for all the</p> <p>20 domestic U.S. It started out with only the -- a</p> <p>21 group called Global Field Services, which I</p> <p>22 referenced earlier today. As we progressed into the</p> <p>23 audit, that organization was merged into a larger</p> <p>24 organization called the hubs, Tom is the manager</p> <p>25 over the hubs. The hubs are, in essence, five</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">156</p> <p>1 Oklahoma City. There was a hub in California. I</p> <p>2 just went blank on where the other hubs were. I</p> <p>3 think one was in Plano, Texas.</p> <p>4 Q. Was there one in -- in Georgia?</p> <p>5 A. Gosh, I don't want to guess, but there's</p> <p>6 two more.</p> <p>7 Q. How about in Georgia?</p> <p>8 A. I don't think there was one in Georgia.</p> <p>9 Q. And what are these hubs called?</p> <p>10 A. Hubs. I mean, really, it's a</p> <p>11 southwestern hub or eastern hub or...</p> <p>12 Q. Okay.</p> <p>13 A. I can get you the --</p> <p>14 Q. Okay. And did you -- did you draft this</p> <p>15 email, Mr. Evans?</p> <p>16 A. Yes.</p> <p>17 Q. And -- now, and what -- what did you</p> <p>18 mean by the -- when you say job code review within</p> <p>19 five domestic hubs to determine correct job codes</p> <p>20 assignments and overtime designation, what did you</p> <p>21 mean by that?</p> <p>22 A. Well, we were going to look at the --</p> <p>23 the individuals in the two referenced job titles to</p> <p>24 ensure that they should be in there. Our</p> <p>25 indications at the time were -- which prompted us to</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">155</p> <p>1 regional operating centers, and this memo reflects</p> <p>2 our intent to conduct the internal audit on the</p> <p>3 hubs, which included the Global Field Services.</p> <p>4 Q. Okay. And then when you say -- what --</p> <p>5 was there a specific job code over which you were</p> <p>6 going to be doing this audit?</p> <p>7 A. Meaning in scope job codes?</p> <p>8 Q. Yes.</p> <p>9 A. Yes, there were two of them.</p> <p>10 Q. Which were they?</p> <p>11 A. System administrator and system</p> <p>12 administrator advanced.</p> <p>13 Q. And why did you pick those two?</p> <p>14 A. These were -- when we first looked into</p> <p>15 the Global Field Services organization, these two</p> <p>16 job codes represented the majority of the people who</p> <p>17 were in the organization we were looking at.</p> <p>18 Q. Okay. And what -- when you say that the</p> <p>19 hubs is comprised of five regional operating</p> <p>20 centers, what five -- what are these five regional</p> <p>21 operating centers?</p> <p>22 A. The hubs are -- and I've referenced a</p> <p>23 lot of customer requirements. The hubs are -- are</p> <p>24 regional offices that actually support multiple</p> <p>25 customers, and there was a hub, for example, in</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">157</p> <p>1 go forward with this, is that there were quite a few</p> <p>2 that should not have been.</p> <p>3 Q. Should not have been what?</p> <p>4 A. Should not have been coded as system</p> <p>5 administrators or system administrator advanced.</p> <p>6 They were doing more of what I refer to desktop</p> <p>7 support type functions, so this was our intent to go</p> <p>8 in and try to clean up the situation.</p> <p>9 Q. Now, it says here that, due to the</p> <p>10 sensitive nature of this review and the absolute</p> <p>11 necessity for this to appear as a routine review.</p> <p>12 Why was this review sensitive? What was the</p> <p>13 sensitive nature of this review?</p> <p>14 A. Well, the way that we conducted it was</p> <p>15 to send out questionnaires to the individuals and</p> <p>16 ask them to identify what their roles were. I would</p> <p>17 say keep in mind that this happened during a time</p> <p>18 period when EDS was going through a lot of cost</p> <p>19 reduction measures. A lot of people had been -- had</p> <p>20 gone a while without raises or bonuses and we</p> <p>21 didn't -- we wanted to get honest answers back from</p> <p>22 the questionnaire, and our fear was, which was</p> <p>23 shared with the Department of Labor, if the word got</p> <p>24 out that you could get money back if you customize</p> <p>25 this report or customize the job questionnaire a</p>

40 (Pages 154 to 157)

<p style="text-align: right;">158</p> <p>1 certain way, that we would not be able to do an 2 accurate assessment, so we did not send out the 3 questionnaire with the sole -- and communicate that 4 the purpose of it was to identify misclassifications 5 from an exempt/nonexempt perspective. We wanted to 6 get their honest answers back. We didn't want them 7 to say I want to get some money back, so I'm going 8 to say that I worked 80 hours a week. You know, if 9 they worked 80 hours a week, then they would put 10 that down from an honest answer. We would address 11 it from that standpoint. 12 Q. Okay. Now, I -- okay. That makes 13 sense. Now, systems -- now, you said that this -- 14 this audit covered job code -- the systems 15 administrator and the systems administrator 16 advanced. 17 A. Correct. 18 Q. Now, are there any other locations 19 within the United States in which systems 20 administrators and systems administrators advanced 21 employees work other than the five domestic hubs? 22 A. Yes. Yes. Once we completed this phase 23 of our audit or review, we just determined as a 24 company, if we're going to do this, let's do it 25 right, and let's just expand it to all of the</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">160</p> <p>1 Q. At the end of this job code review, did 2 you believe that everybody who had the job title 3 systems administrator were doing -- were performing 4 the same or similar tasks? 5 A. No. They were performing exempt tasks, 6 but there was still that gray area that -- that I've 7 referenced earlier that -- 8 Q. And I understand they weren't doing the 9 same exact things. My question is were they doing 10 similar tasks. 11 A. With the system administrators, you 12 know, I can't say with any degree of certainty. 13 Q. Was the purpose of this job review to 14 ensure accurate and consistent job code assignments? 15 A. It was truly intended to determine the 16 correct exempt/nonexempt, and to try to get the 17 people in the correct job code would be a byproduct 18 of that. 19 Q. So that was the -- so after the -- after 20 this review was completed, people -- EDS employees 21 were -- there was an accurate and consistent job 22 code assignment for -- for systems administrators 23 and systems administrators advanced as a byproduct 24 of whether they were exempt or nonexempt, correct? 25 A. Yes.</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">159</p> <p>1 domestic operations to include any individual in the 2 U.S. who was coded as a system administrator or 3 system administrator advanced. So we included it 4 outside the hubs to include General Motors account, 5 for example, the -- our Navy NMCI account and bigger 6 organizations that support specific customers rather 7 than located in hubs. So to answer your question, 8 by the time we completed our -- our investigation or 9 review, we had covered everyone in the U.S. who were 10 coded as system admins or system administrator 11 advanced. 12 Q. Based on this job code review, after 13 this job code review was over, did you believe that 14 everybody who -- who -- everybody who had the job 15 code systems administrator and systems administrator 16 advanced were properly classified? 17 A. As of the time we completed the survey, 18 yes, particularly from an exempt/nonexempt 19 perspective. Now, we -- we tried to err on the side 20 of compliance and, in all honesty, we probably coded 21 some people nonexempt that if we really looked at 22 their job descriptions or -- or job functions much 23 more closely, there could have been an argument that 24 they were exempt, so if it could have gone either 25 way, we erred on the side of compliance.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">161</p> <p>1 Q. Did you tell employees that the purpose 2 of the review was to ensure accurate and consistent 3 job code assignments? 4 A. Yes. 5 Q. Did you believe that? 6 A. Yes. And by the time we completed it, 7 we were much further along than we were to begin 8 with. But again, my role was to decide -- assign 9 the exempt/nonexempt status. Once we decided that 10 they were of an exempt nature, the managers worked 11 with the corporate communication group at that -- 12 corporation compensation group at that point. 13 Q. And weren't EDS leaders told that the -- 14 that this audit was to ensure accurate and 15 consistent job code assignments within the company? 16 A. Yes. 17 Q. So that was the understanding that was 18 given to EDS employees, correct? 19 A. Correct. 20 Q. And that's true, right? 21 A. The main purpose was the 22 exempt/nonexempt, but to get to the exempt/nonexempt 23 point, you try to incorporate the correct job codes 24 assignment, too, so yes, that's true. 25 Q. Why would you tell -- did you -- did you</p>

41 (Pages 158 to 161)

<p style="text-align: right;">162</p> <p>1 ever tell any -- did you ever tell the EDS employees</p> <p>2 that the main purpose of this audit was to ensure</p> <p>3 proper classification for exempt and nonexempt</p> <p>4 classifications?</p> <p>5 A. No.</p> <p>6 Q. Why not?</p> <p>7 A. Because we wanted honest answers back</p> <p>8 from -- from the questionnaires, and we thought, and</p> <p>9 the Department of Labor agreed with us, by telling</p> <p>10 them the true nature of this investigation, it may</p> <p>11 have caused some people to skew their answers.</p> <p>12 Q. But isn't -- you can't -- you can't have</p> <p>13 a -- you can't have a proper job code</p> <p>14 classification -- you can't have people given the</p> <p>15 same job codes if they're not doing similar things,</p> <p>16 correct? How can you have that if they're all -- if</p> <p>17 all -- let me rephrase that.</p> <p>18 If all employees within the company</p> <p>19 whose -- whose job code begins with 3 are classified</p> <p>20 as exempt, and I think you testified to that</p> <p>21 earlier, right?</p> <p>22 A. Correct. Correct.</p> <p>23 Q. In order to accurately -- in order to</p> <p>24 accurately classify them, you would have to know</p> <p>25 what they're doing, correct?</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">164</p> <p>1 whether somebody was exempt or nonexempt, correct?</p> <p>2 A. Yes, I did this and spent a lot of time</p> <p>3 on it, but I can't look you in the eye and say with</p> <p>4 any certainty that at the very end of it, the whole</p> <p>5 situation -- you know, that I am 100 percent</p> <p>6 comfortable that everyone was similarly situated</p> <p>7 when we put them in, you know, one of the new job</p> <p>8 codes.</p> <p>9 Q. So you can't say with a hundred percent</p> <p>10 certainty that everybody who was classified as</p> <p>11 exempt or nonexempt were properly classified either,</p> <p>12 correct?</p> <p>13 A. I can say that pretty much with a</p> <p>14 good -- yes.</p> <p>15 Q. So you could say that about the -- about</p> <p>16 the FLSA classification, but you can't say that</p> <p>17 about the job codes?</p> <p>18 A. In this case, yes. The reason for it,</p> <p>19 the people that we were trying to identify were</p> <p>20 desktop support technicians, which are pretty clear</p> <p>21 cut nonexempt, I think you would agree. I mean,</p> <p>22 they're just loading up canned software, making sure</p> <p>23 cables are plugged in, that's -- that's pretty much</p> <p>24 clear cut nonexempt. The individuals you're talking</p> <p>25 about are the -- still the high end people that are</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">163</p> <p>1 A. Correct.</p> <p>2 Q. And you would have to know that they</p> <p>3 were -- whether or not people who were given the</p> <p>4 same job code were doing similar things, correct?</p> <p>5 A. Correct.</p> <p>6 Q. So, therefore, people who were doing the</p> <p>7 same or similar things were given the same job code,</p> <p>8 correct?</p> <p>9 A. In this case, at the end of the audit,</p> <p>10 investigation, to the best that we could, that's --</p> <p>11 that's --</p> <p>12 Q. Yes?</p> <p>13 A. Yes, correct.</p> <p>14 Q. Now --</p> <p>15 A. Let me -- to the extent that we could</p> <p>16 make a determination based on just the questionnaire</p> <p>17 itself, we -- had we had the time and the resources,</p> <p>18 the correct way, the really thorough way, would be</p> <p>19 to follow up with an individual analysis with the</p> <p>20 managers and the employees. We didn't have time to</p> <p>21 do that. So this was a good-faith effort to try to</p> <p>22 clean up a situation based on the resources that</p> <p>23 were available.</p> <p>24 Q. But the same time and resources that</p> <p>25 were available when you made the determination</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">165</p> <p>1 doing more design creation, development type work,</p> <p>2 which the system administrator job may or may not be</p> <p>3 the correct exempt code they should be in, but I</p> <p>4 think we would agree that they are still exempt.</p> <p>5 Q. But what about the people that were</p> <p>6 class -- did -- you testified that, you know, there</p> <p>7 were degrees of job codes, for instance 3400, 3410,</p> <p>8 3420, you -- and as the numbers went higher, the --</p> <p>9 I guess the skills or the level of -- the level of</p> <p>10 professionalism went higher, would be greater,</p> <p>11 correct?</p> <p>12 A. I didn't say professionalism. I know</p> <p>13 what you mean, though.</p> <p>14 Q. Let me just give you what's been</p> <p>15 previously marked as -- let's look at --</p> <p>16 MS. RUDICH: Number 12.</p> <p>17 Q. (BY MS. RUDICH) Let's take a look at</p> <p>18 what's been marked as number 11, infrastructure</p> <p>19 compe -- job family -- it's technical delivery job</p> <p>20 family infrastructure competency matrix. Do you see</p> <p>21 that?</p> <p>22 A. Yes.</p> <p>23 Q. And let me -- so -- and you testified</p> <p>24 about -- there's a progression chart on page 13 and</p> <p>25 14, correct?</p>

42 (Pages 162 to 165)

<p style="text-align: right;">166</p> <p>1 A. Yes, correct.</p> <p>2 Q. And I guess the lower the number, the</p> <p>3 less -- let's say the -- the less -- the less</p> <p>4 advanced would be the job, correct?</p> <p>5 A. Generally speaking, correct.</p> <p>6 Q. So someone who has a job code of 34280</p> <p>7 would be performing a high -- at a higher level than</p> <p>8 someone who had the job code 34350?</p> <p>9 A. They should be.</p> <p>10 Q. They should be?</p> <p>11 A. Yes.</p> <p>12 Q. Correct?</p> <p>13 A. Yes.</p> <p>14 Q. And therefore -- now I understand what</p> <p>15 you're saying, that if -- once you get into the</p> <p>16 34280 or 34270, the difference between those two, it</p> <p>17 really is -- like they're both exempt, and they're</p> <p>18 both pretty advanced jobs, so whether they're</p> <p>19 properly classed -- whether they're -- whether</p> <p>20 someone is classified as -- given the job code 34270</p> <p>21 and 34280, it won't affect their exemption.</p> <p>22 A. Correct.</p> <p>23 Q. So therefore, whether they're doing</p> <p>24 similar duties, those two jobs might be -- have</p> <p>25 overlap and might be doing similar duties, correct?</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">168</p> <p>1 A. Uh-huh.</p> <p>2 Q. And incident response analyst, which is</p> <p>3 in both, correct?</p> <p>4 A. According to this document, correct.</p> <p>5 Q. So it would be important to make sure</p> <p>6 that everybody who is performing -- and because --</p> <p>7 but because these two have very -- have basically</p> <p>8 the same key job roles, wouldn't you say it's</p> <p>9 important to make sure that everybody who is</p> <p>10 performing the information security analyst position</p> <p>11 are similarly situated and are doing the same things</p> <p>12 to ensure they're properly classified as exempt or</p> <p>13 nonexempt?</p> <p>14 A. Yes.</p> <p>15 Q. It's more -- so it would be more</p> <p>16 important for the lower positions to make sure</p> <p>17 everybody is doing similar things than for the high</p> <p>18 end numbers in the job progression because they're</p> <p>19 close -- their job duties are close -- close -- are</p> <p>20 more -- there's more overlap with nonexempt jobs</p> <p>21 than exempt job duties.</p> <p>22 A. Yes, but you have -- this is where the</p> <p>23 individual analysis takes place because there may be</p> <p>24 an overlap, but to use this as an example, if you</p> <p>25 look at the information security administrator, I</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">167</p> <p>1 A. Correct.</p> <p>2 Q. But then when you get down to the lower</p> <p>3 end, whether it's the 34350 or there are some --</p> <p>4 there was some jobs that even had a 5 --</p> <p>5 A. Correct.</p> <p>6 Q. -- starting in their job progressions,</p> <p>7 but between the -- and, you know, what might be a</p> <p>8 better, let's -- let's look at what's been marked as</p> <p>9 Exhibit 10. Do you see -- and this is for corporate</p> <p>10 job family information security, number 10?</p> <p>11 A. Do you -- did you get mine?</p> <p>12 Q. Oh, I think I have yours, sorry.</p> <p>13 A. Explained why I can't find it.</p> <p>14 Q. But it's important -- and so in this</p> <p>15 particular job family, you have both exempt and</p> <p>16 nonexempt positions, correct?</p> <p>17 A. Correct.</p> <p>18 Q. And so -- and there -- and if you look</p> <p>19 at the job progression chart on page 11, the -- the</p> <p>20 tasks that are -- the key job roles of an</p> <p>21 information security analyst, which is a 3370 job</p> <p>22 code, is the same as the information security</p> <p>23 administrator, which is 51150, the two job -- key</p> <p>24 job roles. Audit compliance analyst. Which is in</p> <p>25 both?</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">169</p> <p>1 can tell you from experience these people do a lot</p> <p>2 of password resets, which is nothing. I mean,</p> <p>3 it's -- again, we would agree that this is a</p> <p>4 nonexempt job. Even though there may be an overlap,</p> <p>5 if -- if I'm spending half of my time on the</p> <p>6 nonoverlap functions doing password resets, to me,</p> <p>7 that's a pretty clear cut example that that's a</p> <p>8 nonexempt job.</p> <p>9 Q. I'm sorry, go ahead.</p> <p>10 A. But you don't know until you do the</p> <p>11 individual analysis because based on what is set up</p> <p>12 as a corporate job code summary may or may not</p> <p>13 reflect what actually is going on in the field.</p> <p>14 Q. So when you were assigning job codes in</p> <p>15 the corporate job family, did you do an individual</p> <p>16 analysis of every single person who held the job</p> <p>17 code 3, 37000?</p> <p>18 A. Well, we didn't do this one. Are you</p> <p>19 talking about the system administrator?</p> <p>20 Q. I'm talking about this one. No, I'm</p> <p>21 talking about this one. You said that's where the</p> <p>22 individual -- what the individualized job code, that</p> <p>23 you -- your testimony -- withdrawn.</p> <p>24 Your testimony is that that's where</p> <p>25 the individual analysis comes in in order to see</p>

43 (Pages 166 to 169)

<p style="text-align: right;">170</p> <p>1 whether or not they're really doing exempt or 2 nonexempt tasks?</p> <p>3 A. Okay. I'm sorry, I thought you were 4 referring to the audit that we had conducted.</p> <p>5 Q. No, I'm referring to this particular job 6 code.</p> <p>7 A. Okay. And your question on this again 8 was --</p> <p>9 Q. Did you do an individualized analysis of 10 every person in EDS who held the position -- who has 11 the job code 33700 to ensure that they are properly 12 classified as exempt under the FLSA?</p> <p>13 A. On information security analysts?</p> <p>14 Q. Yes.</p> <p>15 A. We had a -- several complaints from a 16 particular organization, the NMCI Navy account, and 17 we did do that for the -- for every -- each 18 individual in the information security analyst, we 19 did take a look at each individual in the NMCI 20 account.</p> <p>21 Q. How about -- how about every 22 individual -- every employee who held that position 23 throughout the company?</p> <p>24 A. No. We did it in the area where we had 25 identified a concern.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">172</p> <p>1 A. Because we determined they were doing 2 more nonexempt.</p> <p>3 Q. (BY MS. RUDICH) Because you were -- 4 because you determined that they weren't doing the 5 same things as other people in the company that 6 were -- that had that job code, correct?</p> <p>7 A. Correct.</p> <p>8 MR. WYMER: Objection as to form.</p> <p>9 Q. (BY MS. RUDICH) I'm sorry, correct? 10 That's why you reclassified -- that's why you gave 11 them different job codes, correct?</p> <p>12 A. We didn't compare the Navy people 13 against their counterparts in other organizations. 14 We looked at them on an individual basis by 15 themselves.</p> <p>16 Q. So even -- even -- why didn't you look 17 at them -- why didn't you look at -- why didn't you 18 compare the Navy people against their counterparts 19 in other EDS clients?</p> <p>20 A. Because we had no reason to believe that 21 there was a concern on the other. Again, I've been 22 with EDS a long time. Our managers are very attuned 23 to this. They will bring any concerns to our 24 attention. The HR people in the field bring it to 25 our attention. We have hot lines that our employees</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">171</p> <p>1 Q. And how do you know, sitting here today, 2 that every employee that has the job code 33700 is 3 properly classified?</p> <p>4 A. Well, we know just as well as we don't 5 know.</p> <p>6 Q. Exactly. That's my question. How do 7 you know that?</p> <p>8 A. We have to assume that they are unless 9 we hear otherwise.</p> <p>10 Q. And you assume they are because of 11 this -- of the -- of the -- that -- the fact that 12 they're doing similar -- that each person who has 13 the job code 33700 are doing the same or similar job 14 duties -- or have the same or similar core set of 15 job responsibilities?</p> <p>16 A. Well, they may or may not. These 17 information security analysts that we looked at in 18 NMCI were doing something totally different than 19 other information security analysts in the company.</p> <p>20 Q. And then they were reclassified -- they 21 were given different job codes?</p> <p>22 A. These individuals -- the ones within 23 NMCI were because --</p> <p>24 Q. Because they were -- 25 (Simultaneous conversation.)</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">173</p> <p>1 are not shy about at all to bring up concerns. 2 Unless we hear any of that, we assume that the 3 managers have coded their people correctly.</p> <p>4 Q. So every time that you get a complaint 5 or a call on the hot line, you do -- you do an 6 organization or a client wide audit to ensure that 7 everybody within that client is properly -- has the 8 right job code?</p> <p>9 A. It's a case-by-case basis.</p> <p>10 Q. My question is -- I understand it's a 11 case --</p> <p>12 A. We investigate every complaint that 13 comes in. Whether we broaden out and investigate an 14 entire account because one person calls in, I can't 15 say that we do that unless the circumstances warrant 16 it.</p> <p>17 Q. Well, wouldn't the circumstances warrant 18 it if you find out that a person -- that an employee 19 is given the improper job code?</p> <p>20 A. Depends on the circumstances why that 21 happened. It might be that that person could not 22 handle the higher end jobs that everyone else is 23 doing, so the manager is trying to assign them a 24 lower level function just to keep them employed. We 25 don't know.</p>

44 (Pages 170 to 173)

<p style="text-align: right;">174</p> <p>1 Q. So it could be that that -- that a 2 person who -- it might be that a person who is not 3 doing the same or similar things as other people 4 with that job code should be given a different job 5 code, correct? 6 A. Yes. 7 Q. Okay. Thank you. 8 MS. RUDICH: I'm going to ask that 9 this document be marked as Evans Exhibit 19. It's a 10 multipage document having a Bates stamp number 9692, 11 9685, 9686, 9687, 9688, 9689, 9690 and 9691. 12 (Deposition Exhibit 19 marked.) 13 (Witness reviews document.) 14 A. Okay. Yes. 15 Q. (BY MS. RUDICH) Mr. Evans, have you 16 ever seen this document before? 17 A. Yes. 18 Q. Is this document in the book -- in 19 your -- in the book of documents that you have in 20 front of you to help you in your testimony today? 21 A. I know what it is, so I don't -- I 22 don't -- let me go all the way through. I can -- I 23 can speak to it whether it is or not. 24 No, it's not. 25 Q. No, it's not. Okay.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">176</p> <p>1 MS. RUDICH: You can't instruct -- 2 because we're not in a break. I just asked for one 3 second to go off the record, Marty. One minute. 4 You can't start talking to your client now. 5 MR. WYMER: I can if I choose, 6 but -- 7 MS. RUDICH: You know you can't. 8 MR. WYMER: -- we don't have to 9 fight about it. 10 Q. (BY MS. RUDICH) Okay. But my question 11 was so it could be that a person who is not doing 12 the same or similar things as other people with that 13 job code should be given a job code -- a different 14 job code, correct? 15 A. What are we talking about now? 16 Q. I'm asking you about a question that I 17 asked you before that there's no -- the court 18 reporter didn't get down the answer. You had 19 answered yes before. 20 MR. WYMER: Objection. That's not 21 what the record reflects. 22 Q. (BY MS. RUDICH) I'm going to ask you 23 the question again. So a person who is not doing 24 the same or similar things as other people with the 25 same job code should be given a different job code,</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">175</p> <p>1 A. No, it's not. 2 Q. I'm sorry. I see that the record 3 here -- let me go back and just ask a question 4 because I don't know if the court reporter got an 5 answer to a question that I asked before. 6 MS. RUDICH: Can you just read back 7 the question I asked about -- I can't -- off the 8 record. No, Marty, there's -- you want to take a 9 break, that's fine. 10 MR. WYMER: We're off the record, 11 and you're looking at something. I can consult with 12 my client. 13 MS. RUDICH: No, I'm not -- I'm 14 asking the court reporter to read back a question. 15 You can't consult -- I'm asking the court reporter 16 to -- 17 MR. WYMER: There's no question 18 pending. I can consult with my client whenever I 19 want if there's no question pending. 20 MS. RUDICH: Are you instructing him 21 how to answer the questions? 22 MR. WYMER: No, no. 23 MS. RUDICH: Are you going to be 24 talking about anything to do with this deposition? 25 MR. WYMER: What if I am?</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">177</p> <p>1 correct? 2 A. It depends. 3 Q. What does it depend on? 4 A. On what functions that they're doing. 5 Q. On what functions they're doing 6 differently? 7 A. Yes. 8 Q. You mean to tell me that if -- that if 9 people are doing different things than other people 10 with that job code, they should keep the same job 11 code? 12 A. Again, you're talking in generalities. 13 Q. Yeah. 14 A. If you read our job codes, job 15 descriptions, they are very generic. 16 Q. I understand they're generic. They're 17 generic so they can apply -- 18 MR. WYMER: Objection. 19 Q. (BY MS. RUDICH) -- across the company, 20 correct? 21 MR. WYMER: We're going to stop. 22 We're going to take a break. What we've not done in 23 the 20 some depositions that we've taken is engage 24 in histrionics. Mr. Evans has been sitting here for 25 five hours.</p>

45 (Pages 174 to 177)

<p style="text-align: right;">178</p> <p>1 MS. RUDICH: There's three more 2 hours. 3 MR. WYMER: Painstakingly asked -- 4 there's two hours. 5 MS. RUDICH: It's an eight-hour 6 deposition. 7 MR. WYMER: Seven-hour deposition in 8 the federal rules. And he's painstakingly asked -- 9 MS. RUDICH: This part of your -- 10 (Simultaneous conversation.) 11 MS. RUDICH: This colloquy is not 12 going to be counted in the time. 13 MR. WYMER: But what we're not going 14 to do is slam our hands down and go like this and do 15 that stuff or -- 16 MS. RUDICH: Well, I'm -- 17 MR. WYMER: -- we'll stop the 18 deposition right now. 19 MS. RUDICH: Then stop and let's 20 call the court. I'm upset that an answer that he's 21 given, now he's changing his testimony on the 22 record. 23 MR. WYMER: Well, then be upset, but 24 conduct yourself professionally. 25 MS. RUDICH: I'm putting it on the</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">180</p> <p>1 Q. And if you -- and during your audit, if 2 you saw that somebody was doing -- was doing a job 3 or doing -- withdrawn. 4 And during your job audits, when you 5 review people's exemption status, if you saw that 6 they weren't doing what was set forth in the job 7 code, would you then recommend that they be given a 8 different job code? 9 A. Yes. 10 Q. And why is that? 11 A. If it's not reflective -- we still try 12 to do -- try to code people as closely to the job as 13 you can -- as we can. That's always been our 14 intent. Again, the intent and the reality, as I've 15 said several times, are not -- are not always the 16 same thing. Given the opportunity to just review 17 somebody's job functions and make a recommendation, 18 we would take advantage of that, absolutely. 19 Q. What you do mean you would "take 20 advantage of that"? 21 A. If I just reviewed somebody's job 22 functions and determined they're really a better 23 suited fit for another job, we would take advantage 24 of that and change their job code accordingly. 25 Q. And that's because it's EDS's policy to</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">179</p> <p>1 record that Mr. Evans is now changing his testimony 2 because the court reporter didn't get down -- 3 MR. WYMER: The record will reflect 4 what he did. 5 MS. RUDICH: The record can't 6 reflect it because the -- because the court reporter 7 did not get the answer. 8 MR. WYMER: Then it didn't happen. 9 MS. RUDICH: It did happen, and I 10 resent the fact that he's changing his testimony now 11 based upon -- 12 MR. WYMER: Well, I resent your 13 histrionics. 14 MS. RUDICH: Based upon his -- 15 MR. WYMER: Let's act professionally 16 or we can stop the deposition. 17 MS. RUDICH: Based upon his 18 discussion with you when I said off the record for a 19 minute. That's what I think, and that's why I 20 slammed my hand down. 21 Q. (BY MS. RUDICH) Now, why are the job 22 codes -- why are EDS job codes written in 23 generalities? 24 A. I don't know. I don't write them. 25 That's another department's responsibility.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">181</p> <p>1 have everybody with -- who has the same job code to 2 be doing -- to be doing similar things, correct? 3 A. It's not a policy. 4 Q. What is it? 5 A. It's our intent to do that. I can't 6 show you a policy that says everybody -- it... 7 Q. So it's EDS's intent that everybody who 8 has the same job code should be doing the same -- 9 should be doing -- should be -- should have the same 10 or similar duties and responsibilities, correct? 11 A. To the extent we can, we try to best -- 12 we try to code people to as close to the job match 13 as we can. 14 Q. I'm going to keep asking the same 15 question. 16 A. Well, you've been all day long, and I'm 17 sorry, you know. 18 Q. Is it EDS's intent to make sure that 19 everybody who has the same job code has the same or 20 similar duties and responsibilities? Is that EDS's 21 intent? 22 A. That's the intent, yes. 23 Q. Okay. 24 A. Have I not said that earlier today? 25 MS. RUDICH: Want to take a break</p>

46 (Pages 178 to 181)

<p style="text-align: right;">182</p> <p>1 now?</p> <p>2 MR. WYMER: Let's do that.</p> <p>3 (Recess held.)</p> <p>4 MS. RUDICH: I want to mark as</p> <p>5 Exhibit 20, it's an email from Trey Jefferson to</p> <p>6 Mike Evans and Henry Delgado, forward job code</p> <p>7 review results, and it has Bates stamp number 9842</p> <p>8 through 9852.</p> <p>9 (Deposition Exhibit 20 marked.)</p> <p>10 (Witness reviews document.)</p> <p>11 Q. (BY MS. RUDICH) I'm just going to ask</p> <p>12 you questions on the first page, Mr. Evans.</p> <p>13 A. Okay.</p> <p>14 Q. Just if that helps you.</p> <p>15 A. Okay.</p> <p>16 Q. Have you ever seen this document before?</p> <p>17 A. I'm copied on it, so I'm sure I have.</p> <p>18 Q. Is this one of the documents you</p> <p>19 reviewed for today's deposition?</p> <p>20 A. I don't recall --</p> <p>21 Q. Okay.</p> <p>22 A. -- seeing it.</p> <p>23 Q. All right. Now, do you see where it</p> <p>24 says on the bottom, where it says from Bob Otten, I</p> <p>25 would like to request two of the job codes be</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">184</p> <p>1 and raise a red flag if they said, wait a minute,</p> <p>2 that this isn't a match. So they would contact my</p> <p>3 group or the corporate compensation group and talk</p> <p>4 through it at that point.</p> <p>5 Q. And that was based upon whether or not</p> <p>6 these people were doing the same or similar things</p> <p>7 as others with -- with the same job code, correct?</p> <p>8 A. Well, it would be based on what they</p> <p>9 were doing compared to what their assigned job code</p> <p>10 would be going forward.</p> <p>11 Q. Right. And -- and they would -- and</p> <p>12 Mr. Otten requested a change for these two people, I</p> <p>13 think it's Raman Swami and John Labuda, because what</p> <p>14 they were doing matched their -- their -- their --</p> <p>15 what they were doing were the same or similar as</p> <p>16 others with a certain job code description, correct?</p> <p>17 MR. WYMER: Objection as to form.</p> <p>18 Please answer.</p> <p>19 A. Yes, in this case, it would possibly be,</p> <p>20 after comparing his other individuals in that -- in</p> <p>21 that role, that was his assessment.</p> <p>22 Q. (BY MS. RUDICH) And his assessment was</p> <p>23 based upon the job descriptions that are attached at</p> <p>24 the back of this document, on page -- I guess it</p> <p>25 starts with Bates stamp number 9846?</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">183</p> <p>1 changed going forward?</p> <p>2 A. Yes.</p> <p>3 Q. And was -- and what was the -- what was</p> <p>4 the reasons for -- who is Bob Otten?</p> <p>5 A. He's a -- well, he's a manager in the</p> <p>6 field services organization.</p> <p>7 Q. And was this in connection with the</p> <p>8 field -- the hub audit that you referred to</p> <p>9 previously?</p> <p>10 A. Yes.</p> <p>11 Q. And -- and when Mr. Otten -- is it</p> <p>12 Otten? Do you know how to pronounce it?</p> <p>13 A. I don't know him personally.</p> <p>14 Q. We'll call him Otten. When Mr. Otten</p> <p>15 asked to have two job codes be changed, was he</p> <p>16 basing it on what the people -- the duties and</p> <p>17 responsibilities of those two people?</p> <p>18 A. Yes. He --</p> <p>19 Q. And he was basing that -- oh, I'm sorry.</p> <p>20 A. What would typically be the case in this</p> <p>21 scenario is this is the -- on the second and third</p> <p>22 page, this is the results of the review and the new</p> <p>23 job code that was assigned. What typically would</p> <p>24 happen is the manager would take a look at the new</p> <p>25 job code assigned, look at the job responsibilities,</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">185</p> <p>1 A. Yeah, I'm assuming it is, because that</p> <p>2 was a new job code that wasn't available up to that</p> <p>3 time.</p> <p>4 Q. And that was based there on the fact</p> <p>5 that these people were doing the same or similar</p> <p>6 things as others that had the job code that they</p> <p>7 wanted to give to these people, correct?</p> <p>8 A. That we had just reviewed.</p> <p>9 Q. That you had just reviewed and that's</p> <p>10 attached to this document.</p> <p>11 A. Yes.</p> <p>12 Q. And --</p> <p>13 MS. RUDICH: 21? I'm going to ask</p> <p>14 that this document be marked as Evans Exhibit 21.</p> <p>15 It's a two-page email from Jason Rosenfeld to Kathy</p> <p>16 Juilfs?</p> <p>17 THE WITNESS: Juilfs.</p> <p>18 MS. RUDICH: Juilfs. Dated</p> <p>19 September 10th, 2007, with a Bates stamp number</p> <p>20 15 -- 15873 and 15874.</p> <p>21 (Deposition Exhibit 21 marked.)</p> <p>22 (Witness reviews document.)</p> <p>23 A. Okay.</p> <p>24 Q. (BY MS. RUDICH) Okay. Now, Mr. Evans,</p> <p>25 have you ever seen this document before?</p>

47 (Pages 182 to 185)

<p style="text-align: right;">186</p> <p>1 A. I don't believe so.</p> <p>2 Q. Okay. But Kathy Juilfs was somebody who</p> <p>3 was on your team, correct?</p> <p>4 A. That's correct.</p> <p>5 Q. And have you ever heard of the name</p> <p>6 Jason Rosenfeld?</p> <p>7 A. It sounds familiar, and I know Kathy</p> <p>8 would have discussed the situation with me even</p> <p>9 though I haven't seen this document.</p> <p>10 Q. How do you know that?</p> <p>11 A. My people try to inform me on just about</p> <p>12 most of what they were doing.</p> <p>13 Q. Now, you said that your team was</p> <p>14 primarily -- your team -- your team was primarily</p> <p>15 focused on whether or not people were properly</p> <p>16 classified under the FLSA, correct? That was the</p> <p>17 focus of your team?</p> <p>18 A. That's correct.</p> <p>19 Q. Now, why would your team be handling</p> <p>20 this if this had nothing to do with -- does this</p> <p>21 email have anything to do with whether or not</p> <p>22 someone's properly classified as exempt?</p> <p>23 A. What I believe this is about, and we had</p> <p>24 several of these, is that the individual is claiming</p> <p>25 he was owed overtime for a previous function.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">188</p> <p>1 conservatively estimate the average overtime per</p> <p>2 week at around 11 hours per week.</p> <p>3 Q. But he's not -- but there's nothing in</p> <p>4 here where Mr. Rosenfeld is stating to you, I should</p> <p>5 be classified as nonexempt, is there?</p> <p>6 A. It's not in here, not in this.</p> <p>7 Q. Not in this document?</p> <p>8 A. Right.</p> <p>9 Q. And both the job and Mr. -- it was</p> <p>10 Mr. Rosenfeld's belief, based upon this email, that</p> <p>11 the job functions were the same for -- that the job</p> <p>12 functions of systems administrator senior and</p> <p>13 systems administrator advanced were the same,</p> <p>14 correct?</p> <p>15 A. That's what he's alleging.</p> <p>16 Q. That's what he's alleging. And he's</p> <p>17 also alleging -- and this is a guy who is on the</p> <p>18 job, actually doing the job, correct?</p> <p>19 A. Yes. This is also a guy whose peers</p> <p>20 probably just received some overtime checks and he</p> <p>21 didn't.</p> <p>22 Q. No, I understand, but both the job</p> <p>23 functions that he's describing are both exempt, so</p> <p>24 it didn't really matter whether --</p> <p>25 MR. WYMER: Object to form. Please</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">187</p> <p>1 Q. Well, he's talking about the two job</p> <p>2 functions he had here, correct?</p> <p>3 A. Yes. Yes.</p> <p>4 Q. And both of those were -- both these job</p> <p>5 functions -- both these job codes, systems</p> <p>6 administrator advanced and systems administrator</p> <p>7 senior, are both classified as exempt, correct?</p> <p>8 A. That's correct.</p> <p>9 Q. So why would your team have handled this</p> <p>10 if this had nothing to do with whether or not</p> <p>11 somebody was exempt?</p> <p>12 A. Well, if there was a back pay issue, my</p> <p>13 group would have handled that.</p> <p>14 Q. And why would there be a back pay issue</p> <p>15 if both these -- these jobs -- both of the jobs that</p> <p>16 this guy -- this person held were exempt?</p> <p>17 A. Well, I can tell by this letter he's</p> <p>18 alleging that he was doing nonexempt functions in</p> <p>19 those prior jobs.</p> <p>20 Q. And where on this letter does it say</p> <p>21 that this guy is alleging that he's doing</p> <p>22 nonexempt --</p> <p>23 A. No, he's not saying it in that</p> <p>24 terminology, but the way he's described the job and</p> <p>25 even the message to Kathy, I was able to</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">189</p> <p>1 answer.</p> <p>2 A. I'm --</p> <p>3 Q. (BY MS. RUDICH) Both these job</p> <p>4 functions were exempt, correct?</p> <p>5 A. Both of the job titles are exempt.</p> <p>6 Q. Right. And both the job role functions</p> <p>7 that he's saying he did are covered by those job</p> <p>8 titles, correct?</p> <p>9 A. Correct.</p> <p>10 Q. Okay. So -- and it's Mr. Rosenfeld's</p> <p>11 belief, based upon this email, that the same -- that</p> <p>12 these two job -- these two job codes had the same</p> <p>13 core job functions, correct?</p> <p>14 A. That's what he's alleging.</p> <p>15 Q. Okay. And Mr. Rosenfeld --</p> <p>16 Mr. Rosenfeld was the one who was actually doing the</p> <p>17 job, correct?</p> <p>18 A. Yes.</p> <p>19 Q. So he was -- he would be in a position</p> <p>20 to know whether or not two job codes were -- were</p> <p>21 the same, were doing the same functions, correct?</p> <p>22 A. In his estimation.</p> <p>23 Q. Okay. Do all employees within the same</p> <p>24 job code get the same employee handbooks?</p> <p>25 A. Yes.</p>

48 (Pages 186 to 189)

190	192
<p>1 Q. And -- and they're given the same</p> <p>2 employee handbooks no matter which client they work</p> <p>3 for, correct?</p> <p>4 A. I'm sorry, no matter which what?</p> <p>5 Q. Client they work for.</p> <p>6 A. That's correct.</p> <p>7 Q. And no matter which location they work</p> <p>8 at, correct?</p> <p>9 A. Correct.</p> <p>10 Q. And whether they work from home or</p> <p>11 whether they work at a -- at a client, correct?</p> <p>12 A. That's correct. Some clients may have</p> <p>13 some additional requirements such as dress codes or</p> <p>14 something like that, but as far as the basic</p> <p>15 corporate handbook, that is correct, there's one --</p> <p>16 one for all.</p> <p>17 Q. How does EDS keep track of the hours</p> <p>18 that their employees work?</p> <p>19 A. For the period of, oh, gosh, 2007, 2008,</p> <p>20 2009, all employees, whether exempt or nonexempt,</p> <p>21 were required to enter all of their time.</p> <p>22 Q. And what's the name of the system?</p> <p>23 A. It's the SAP, SAP is the program. I</p> <p>24 think it ties into the ESS system that we spoke</p> <p>25 about earlier.</p>	<p>1 A. I mean, HP -- there's a way to get that</p> <p>2 information, depending on, again, which contract</p> <p>3 you're interested in. There's a contract</p> <p>4 administrator over each account, but there's not a</p> <p>5 contract administrator department where you just go</p> <p>6 to.</p> <p>7 Q. Now, is the same -- but the same -- but</p> <p>8 between -- from 2007 onward, this -- this SAP system</p> <p>9 was for all employees, correct?</p> <p>10 A. That's correct.</p> <p>11 Q. And it didn't matter which client they</p> <p>12 worked for, correct?</p> <p>13 A. That's correct.</p> <p>14 Q. And it didn't matter which geographic</p> <p>15 region they worked in, correct?</p> <p>16 A. That's correct.</p> <p>17 Q. And it didn't matter where they were</p> <p>18 physically located, whether they were at home or at</p> <p>19 a hub or at a client.</p> <p>20 A. That's correct.</p> <p>21 Q. And how did the employees access this</p> <p>22 time system to input their time?</p> <p>23 A. Through their computer, unless they were</p> <p>24 at an account where they didn't have computer access</p> <p>25 for security reasons or whatever, and then there</p>
191	193
<p>1 Q. Okay. And that's the same time keeping</p> <p>2 system for all employees, correct?</p> <p>3 A. From 2007 forward.</p> <p>4 Q. 2007 forward?</p> <p>5 A. Yes.</p> <p>6 Q. And that's the same -- and it doesn't</p> <p>7 matter which client they -- an employee worked for,</p> <p>8 correct, during this time period?</p> <p>9 A. Everyone used that time system.</p> <p>10 Depending on the client, there may be -- it may have</p> <p>11 been additional time tracking requirements. For</p> <p>12 example, if we bill a customer for time worked,</p> <p>13 there might have been a side tracking component to</p> <p>14 track billable time in addition to work time.</p> <p>15 Q. Now, do you bill a client by -- by an</p> <p>16 employee's job code?</p> <p>17 A. You know, I don't know.</p> <p>18 Q. Who would know that?</p> <p>19 A. I think that's going to be based on each</p> <p>20 contract. So there's not a standard billing.</p> <p>21 Q. And who would know that?</p> <p>22 A. It depends on which contract you were</p> <p>23 interested in.</p> <p>24 Q. Is there a department in EDS that would</p> <p>25 have -- that would know this information or HP know?</p>	<p>1 were other -- which was not typically the case, but</p> <p>2 there were paper time cards kept, for example, in</p> <p>3 those cases.</p> <p>4 Q. That's kind of funny. EDS is a computer</p> <p>5 company and it wouldn't have access to computers.</p> <p>6 A. Well, some account like the government</p> <p>7 accounts don't want people to --</p> <p>8 Q. That makes sense.</p> <p>9 A. So for security reasons, we don't have</p> <p>10 total access.</p> <p>11 Q. Okay. Now, are all employees with the</p> <p>12 same job code entitled to participate in the same</p> <p>13 general health plans?</p> <p>14 A. Yes.</p> <p>15 Q. And are all employees with the same job</p> <p>16 family entitled to participate in the same general</p> <p>17 health plans?</p> <p>18 A. Yes.</p> <p>19 Q. And are all employees with the same job</p> <p>20 code entitled to participate in the same, let's say,</p> <p>21 401(k) program or retirement program? I'm just</p> <p>22 talking about job codes.</p> <p>23 A. Yes, for full-time employees.</p> <p>24 Q. Okay. So it's really a</p> <p>25 full-time/part-time distinction --</p>

49 (Pages 190 to 193)

<p style="text-align: right;">194</p> <p>1 A. Yes.</p> <p>2 Q. -- rather than a job code distinction?</p> <p>3 A. Yes, and I'm not a benefit expert.</p> <p>4 Q. I understand, just what you know.</p> <p>5 A. Yes.</p> <p>6 Q. Okay. Are all employees with the same</p> <p>7 job code entitled to -- entitled to the same</p> <p>8 vacation -- all full-time employees with the same</p> <p>9 job code entitled to the same vacation time or same</p> <p>10 vacation benefits?</p> <p>11 A. Yes, unless they negotiated some kind of</p> <p>12 deal or, you know, special circumstances, --</p> <p>13 Q. Generally?</p> <p>14 A. -- acquisition they came across with a</p> <p>15 higher rate, but yes, there is a standard vacation</p> <p>16 policy.</p> <p>17 Q. Okay. And what about, does EDS have a</p> <p>18 stock option program? Did EDS have a stock option</p> <p>19 program?</p> <p>20 A. There is a stock purchase program.</p> <p>21 Q. And --</p> <p>22 A. Stock options, I think, are really</p> <p>23 reserved more for leadership management.</p> <p>24 Q. And now, do -- now, do -- do all</p> <p>25 employees that have the same job code have the</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">196</p> <p>1 point.</p> <p>2 Q. Now, why did you pay the back pay</p> <p>3 fluctuating work week and the going forward not on a</p> <p>4 straight time and a half basis?</p> <p>5 A. Two reasons. We typically use a</p> <p>6 fluctuating work week when we resolve back wage</p> <p>7 issues with the Department of Labor. As far as the</p> <p>8 going forward answer, that's really based on system</p> <p>9 limitations. Our payroll system does -- is not --</p> <p>10 doesn't have the advanced capabilities to be able to</p> <p>11 calculate a fluctuating week, hourly rate based on</p> <p>12 fluctuating hours.</p> <p>13 Q. And how did you calculate it for back</p> <p>14 pay?</p> <p>15 A. We did it manually.</p> <p>16 Q. Okay. Now, when you say that you</p> <p>17 typically do that when it's a Department of Labor --</p> <p>18 I believe -- hold on one second. I believe you said</p> <p>19 it was the -- when you resolved back wage issues</p> <p>20 with the Department of Labor, did you resolve the</p> <p>21 back pay issues with this -- in connection with this</p> <p>22 audit that was done in 2007, 2008, with the</p> <p>23 Department of Labor?</p> <p>24 A. Yes.</p> <p>25 Q. And that was -- so this wasn't a</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">195</p> <p>1 opportunity to participate in the stock purchase</p> <p>2 program under the same terms?</p> <p>3 A. Yes.</p> <p>4 Q. Now, when you did the -- you talked</p> <p>5 about an audit that you did back in 2007, 2008, and</p> <p>6 did that audit lead to the reclassification of</p> <p>7 certain employees from exempt to nonexempt?</p> <p>8 A. Yes.</p> <p>9 Q. And did that reassignment lead to the</p> <p>10 payment of certain back or past overtime pay?</p> <p>11 A. Yes.</p> <p>12 Q. And what was -- how did you pay that?</p> <p>13 What -- did you pay it by what's called one and a</p> <p>14 half times or was it a fluctuating work week</p> <p>15 payment?</p> <p>16 A. We paid it according to the 778.114,</p> <p>17 fluctuating.</p> <p>18 Q. Fluctuating work week?</p> <p>19 A. Fluctuating work week.</p> <p>20 Q. And going forward, meaning that once</p> <p>21 they were reclassified and they were entitled to</p> <p>22 overtime, how was the -- how were these people paid</p> <p>23 overtime, on the fluctuating work week method or on</p> <p>24 a straight time and a half benefit?</p> <p>25 A. Straight time and a half benefit at that</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">197</p> <p>1 voluntary -- this was a Department of Labor</p> <p>2 sponsored audit?</p> <p>3 A. No. We -- prior to us even -- before we</p> <p>4 even began the audit, I had a meeting with the</p> <p>5 Dallas district office and met with Julie Gallegos,</p> <p>6 who is an investigator, and Glenda Smith, who is her</p> <p>7 supervisor, and we were scheduled to meet with</p> <p>8 Curtis Poer, P-o-e-r, who was a district director,</p> <p>9 he goes by Leroy, and he was unavailable, but the</p> <p>10 purpose of meeting was to go over what our intent</p> <p>11 was. I showed them the questionnaire. I showed</p> <p>12 them basically the whole -- the whole process of</p> <p>13 what we were going to do, how we were going to pay</p> <p>14 the -- pay the individuals back pay, and, in</p> <p>15 essence, got them to agree that what we were doing</p> <p>16 was -- was okay, and, in return for that, they --</p> <p>17 they had viewed this audit as being -- as</p> <p>18 supervising it.</p> <p>19 I met with them several times during</p> <p>20 the course of this investigation and gave them</p> <p>21 updates on the status. We presented all the back</p> <p>22 payments in a spreadsheet to them, so we identified</p> <p>23 everybody who -- who we -- who we paid back pay to</p> <p>24 to them.</p> <p>25 So this was something that we</p>

50 (Pages 194 to 197)

VERITEXT REPORTING COMPANY

(212) 279-9424

www.veritext.com

(212) 490-3430

<p style="text-align: right;">198</p> <p>1 initiated ourselves. It wasn't a result of any 2 complaints, any threatened lawsuits. We just 3 realized that there was a concern that we wanted to 4 proactively address, and we took it upon ourselves 5 to -- to basically put all the cards on the table 6 with the Department of Labor ahead of time. 7 Q. Now, why would you initiate this 8 yourself? 9 A. Why did we? 10 Q. Why did EDS initiate this themselves -- 11 itself? 12 A. For several reasons. The one being 13 that, you know, we were aware of -- of what was 14 going on regarding the IT technicians throughout the 15 industry, you know, Red Law Labor Updates regarding 16 IBM, CSC, some of the other companies on 17 computer-related occupations. That, in conjunction 18 with the Global Field Services organization who 19 brought their concerns forward, we realized that we 20 had inadvertently may had some people coded wrong, 21 and if we were going to do it -- if we were going to 22 fix it, we were going to fix it right and do it 23 under the supervision of DOL. So that's why we did 24 it. 25 Q. Now, let me ask you a question just so</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">200</p> <p>1 guess, auspices of the Department of Labor, you 2 resolved these issues, did you receive Department of 3 Labor releases from individuals? 4 A. Yes. 5 Q. And these were relating to FLSA claims? 6 A. To -- to overtime. 7 Q. Overtime claims. And it covered the -- 8 how far back did these releases go? 9 A. Two years back from the date that we 10 corrected their job code. 11 Q. And it did not cover liquidated damages 12 or double damages, correct? 13 A. That's correct. 14 Q. And it was only for under the 15 fluctuating work week, which is equal to -- and do 16 you -- and these releases were under the fluctuating 17 work week, correct? 18 A. That's correct. 19 Q. And the fluctuating work week -- can you 20 tell me how the fluctuating work week is 21 calculated -- how overtime on the fluctuating work 22 week is calculated on a... 23 A. Yeah, you can -- we take their pay on a 24 semimonthly basis, so they get one check 24 times a 25 year. We'll multiply that, basically get their</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">199</p> <p>1 I'm clear. Is it -- and maybe I -- I forgot, but 2 was this a -- was this a companywide audit of 3 everybody who had those -- those specific job codes, 4 or was it a sampling? 5 A. No, it was a -- it wasn't corporate wide 6 because it was limited only to U.S. based employees, 7 so we didn't look at system administrators in the 8 U.K., for example, but everybody within the U.S. 9 boundaries we did -- we did look at. 10 Q. Okay. And when you say you were aware 11 of what was going on in IT with IBM or CIT, was that 12 other lawsuits? 13 A. Lawsuits and challenges. Again, with my 14 continuous communication with the Department of 15 Labor, they were formulating their -- what turned 16 out to be their opinion letter that came out on IT 17 technicians just a few months after we started, so I 18 was aware of what their position was regarding these 19 folks, and, frankly, we were in agreement with it, 20 but that was the first guidance that was issued by 21 the Department of Labor that kind of shed some light 22 on it. 23 Q. Now, when you say that -- when you 24 gave -- when you say that, you know, you -- you 25 resolved this when the -- with the -- under the, I</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">201</p> <p>1 annual salary, divide it by 52 to get a weekly 2 salary, and then you, in essence, divide the number 3 of hours that they work into the weekly salary to 4 come up with an hourly rate, and then take half of 5 that rate times any hours that they have worked over 6 40. 7 Q. So you didn't look at it on a weekly 8 base -- on a pay period basis. You looked at it on 9 a yearly basis? 10 A. Well, no, we used -- you used the yearly 11 basis to come up with the weekly salary, but the 12 fluctuating work week was based on an hourly -- on a 13 weekly, excuse me, calculation. 14 Q. Okay. But doesn't the fluctuating work 15 week regulation -- doesn't the fluctuating work week 16 regulation contemplate doing that on a weekly basis? 17 A. Yes, I'm sorry, that's what I just said. 18 Q. So you mean to tell me they worked the 19 same number of weeks -- same number of hours every 20 week? 21 A. They didn't. What we did for the sake 22 of this audit, though, is ask them for a number of 23 average hours per week that they worked. 24 Q. So you did it on an average number? 25 A. We -- yeah, that's how we -- yes.</p>

51 (Pages 198 to 201)

<p style="text-align: right;">202</p> <p>1 Q. You didn't do it on the actual number?</p> <p>2 A. No. No. And we gathered that</p> <p>3 information -- the employees submitted that. I</p> <p>4 mean, the employees would tell us, on average, how</p> <p>5 many hours that they worked per week. Average</p> <p>6 being, of course, some weeks they worked more, some</p> <p>7 they worked less, but as far as for the calculation,</p> <p>8 we used the average rate.</p> <p>9 Q. And --</p> <p>10 A. And again, this was reviewed and</p> <p>11 approved by the Department of Labor.</p> <p>12 Q. And isn't it true that the fluctuating</p> <p>13 work week regulation states that an employee</p> <p>14 employed on a salary basis may have hours of work</p> <p>15 which fluctuate from week to week and that the</p> <p>16 salary paid to him pursuant to an understanding with</p> <p>17 his employer, that he will receive such fixed amount</p> <p>18 as straight time pay for whatever hours he is called</p> <p>19 upon to work in a work week, correct?</p> <p>20 A. Yeah, that's what --</p> <p>21 Q. So -- but you didn't have the</p> <p>22 information as to exactly how many hours in a week,</p> <p>23 per week or per pay period each of these people</p> <p>24 worked?</p> <p>25 A. Well, we used an average, and again, you</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">204</p> <p>1 that you would like to add to or that you didn't get</p> <p>2 a chance to say on any of the questions Mrs. Rudich</p> <p>3 asked you?</p> <p>4 A. The -- yeah, I guess there was one --</p> <p>5 just a point of clarification, and it came up a</p> <p>6 little earlier. I can't remember what -- what the</p> <p>7 context of the question was when we were talking</p> <p>8 about the back payments and the 58 form. I don't</p> <p>9 have a copy of it in front of me, so I'm not sure</p> <p>10 what -- what is covered in the release, so I'll</p> <p>11 defer to whatever -- whatever is --</p> <p>12 MS. RUDICH: Okay.</p> <p>13 A. The 58 says.</p> <p>14 MS. RUDICH: That's fine. Thank</p> <p>15 you.</p> <p>16 MR. WYMER: And I don't have</p> <p>17 anything else.</p> <p>18 (Deposition concluded at 4:26 p.m.)</p> <p>19</p> <p>20</p> <p>21</p> <p>22</p> <p>23</p> <p>24</p> <p>25</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">203</p> <p>1 know, the average is they didn't work exactly 45</p> <p>2 hours every week.</p> <p>3 Q. And just so I'm clear and the record is</p> <p>4 clear, which -- which job titles were covered by</p> <p>5 this audit that you were talking about that occurred</p> <p>6 between 2007 and 2008?</p> <p>7 A. System administrator, system</p> <p>8 administrator advanced.</p> <p>9 Q. Okay.</p> <p>10 MS. RUDICH: Let's take a break.</p> <p>11 I'm just going to go over --</p> <p>12 MR. WYMER: Sure.</p> <p>13 (Recess held.)</p> <p>14 MS. RUDICH: No further questions.</p> <p>15 MR. WYMER: You done?</p> <p>16 MS. RUDICH: (Nods head.)</p> <p>17 MR. WYMER: Okay.</p> <p>18 THE WITNESS: Really?</p> <p>19 MR. WYMER: I have just one</p> <p>20 question.</p> <p>21 EXAMINATION</p> <p>22 BY MR. WYMER:</p> <p>23 Q. Mike, I know there was a lot of</p> <p>24 exchanges, some calm, not so calm today. Is there</p> <p>25 anything from the beginning of the dep until now</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">205</p> <p>1 CERTIFICATE OF DEPONENT</p> <p>2</p> <p>3 I have read the foregoing transcript of</p> <p>4 my deposition and except for any corrections or</p> <p>5 changes noted on the errata sheet, I hereby</p> <p>6 subscribe to the transcript as an accurate record</p> <p>7 of the statements made by me.</p> <p>8</p> <p>9</p> <p>10 _____</p> <p>11 MICHAEL EVANS</p> <p>12</p> <p>13 SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN before and to me</p> <p>14 this ____ day of _____, 20__.</p> <p>15</p> <p>16 _____</p> <p>17 NOTARY PUBLIC</p> <p>18</p> <p>19</p> <p>20 My Commission expires:</p> <p>21</p> <p>22</p> <p>23</p> <p>24</p> <p>25</p>

52 (Pages 202 to 205)

<div style="text-align: right; font-weight: bold;">206</div> <p>1 ERRATA SHEET 2 VERITEXT REPORTING COMPANY 3 1350 BROADWAY 4 NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10018 5 800-362-2520 6 CASE: CUNNINGHAM VS. ELECTRONIC DATA SYSTEMS 7 DEPOSITION DATE: JANUARY 26, 2010 8 DEPONENT: MICHAEL EVANS 9 PAGE LINE(S) CHANGE REASON 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 MICHAEL EVANS 23 SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO BEFORE ME 24 THIS ____ DAY OF _____, 20____. 25 _____ (NOTARY PUBLIC) MY COMMISSION EXPIRES:</p>	<div style="text-align: right; font-weight: bold;">208</div> <p>1 INDEX 2 1. Appearances..... 2 3 2. The Witness: 4 MICHAEL EVANS 5 Examination by Ms. Fran L. Rudich..... 3 6 3. Signature Page..... 206 7 4. Reporter's Certificate..... 207 8 DEPOSITION EXHIBITS 9 MICHAEL EVANS 10 January 26th, 2010 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="width: 10%;">Number</th> <th style="width: 70%;">Description</th> <th style="width: 20%;">Page</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Exhibit 1</td> <td>PMP Annual Review Coaching Guide For Leaders</td> <td>22</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Exhibit 2</td> <td>Bates No. EDS (Plaintiffs Group) 0003799-0003903</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Exhibit 2</td> <td>Performance Management Program Tool Help 2006</td> <td>47</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Exhibit 3</td> <td>Bates No. EDS (Plaintiffs Group) 0003908 0003980-0003982</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Exhibit 3</td> <td>Job Code Training-US HR Team</td> <td>55</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Exhibit 4</td> <td>Bates No. EDS (Plaintiffs Group) 0007020-0007033</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Exhibit 4</td> <td>Module 2 Job Analysis Basics</td> <td>74</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Exhibit 5</td> <td>Bates No. EDS (Plaintiffs Group) 0007034-0007040</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Exhibit 5</td> <td>Module 3 Conducting Job Code Reviews</td> <td>93</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Exhibit 6</td> <td>Bates No. EDS (Plaintiffs Group) 0007041-0007048</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Exhibit 6</td> <td>Module 4 Selecting the Appropriate EDS Job Code</td> <td>105</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>Bates No. EDS (Plaintiffs Group) 0007049-0007058</td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Number	Description	Page	Exhibit 1	PMP Annual Review Coaching Guide For Leaders	22	Exhibit 2	Bates No. EDS (Plaintiffs Group) 0003799-0003903		Exhibit 2	Performance Management Program Tool Help 2006	47	Exhibit 3	Bates No. EDS (Plaintiffs Group) 0003908 0003980-0003982		Exhibit 3	Job Code Training-US HR Team	55	Exhibit 4	Bates No. EDS (Plaintiffs Group) 0007020-0007033		Exhibit 4	Module 2 Job Analysis Basics	74	Exhibit 5	Bates No. EDS (Plaintiffs Group) 0007034-0007040		Exhibit 5	Module 3 Conducting Job Code Reviews	93	Exhibit 6	Bates No. EDS (Plaintiffs Group) 0007041-0007048		Exhibit 6	Module 4 Selecting the Appropriate EDS Job Code	105		Bates No. EDS (Plaintiffs Group) 0007049-0007058													
Number	Description	Page																																																		
Exhibit 1	PMP Annual Review Coaching Guide For Leaders	22																																																		
Exhibit 2	Bates No. EDS (Plaintiffs Group) 0003799-0003903																																																			
Exhibit 2	Performance Management Program Tool Help 2006	47																																																		
Exhibit 3	Bates No. EDS (Plaintiffs Group) 0003908 0003980-0003982																																																			
Exhibit 3	Job Code Training-US HR Team	55																																																		
Exhibit 4	Bates No. EDS (Plaintiffs Group) 0007020-0007033																																																			
Exhibit 4	Module 2 Job Analysis Basics	74																																																		
Exhibit 5	Bates No. EDS (Plaintiffs Group) 0007034-0007040																																																			
Exhibit 5	Module 3 Conducting Job Code Reviews	93																																																		
Exhibit 6	Bates No. EDS (Plaintiffs Group) 0007041-0007048																																																			
Exhibit 6	Module 4 Selecting the Appropriate EDS Job Code	105																																																		
	Bates No. EDS (Plaintiffs Group) 0007049-0007058																																																			
<div style="text-align: right; font-weight: bold;">207</div> <p>1 COUNTY OF DALLAS) 2 STATE OF TEXAS) 3 I, Daniel J. Skur, Certified Shorthand 4 Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of 5 Texas, do hereby certify that the facts as stated by 6 me in the caption hereto are true; that there came 7 before me the aforementioned named person, who was 8 by me duly sworn to testify the truth concerning the 9 matters in controversy in this cause; and that the 10 examination was reduced to writing by computer 11 transcription under my supervision; that the 12 deposition is a true record of the testimony given 13 by the witness. 14 I further certify that I am neither 15 attorney or counsel for, nor related to or employed 16 by, any of the parties to the action in which this 17 deposition is taken, and further that I am not a 18 relative or employee of any attorney or counsel 19 employed by the parties hereto, or financially 20 interested in the action. 21 Given under my hand and seal of 22 office on this, the 29th day of January, A.D., 2010. 23 24 25</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Daniel J. Skur Notary Public, State of Texas My Commission Expires 7/7/2010</p>	<div style="text-align: right; font-weight: bold;">209</div> <p>1 DEPOSITION EXHIBITS 2 MICHAEL EVANS 3 January 26th, 2010 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="width: 10%;">Number</th> <th style="width: 70%;">Description</th> <th style="width: 20%;">Page</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Exhibit 7</td> <td>Service Delivery Job Family: Telecommunications</td> <td>110</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Exhibit 8</td> <td>Competency-Based Matrix Individual Performer</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>Bates No. EDS (Plaintiffs Group) 0001161-0001163, 0001170-001172</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Exhibit 8</td> <td>Service Delivery Job Family: Systems Administrator</td> <td>127</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Exhibit 9</td> <td>Competency-Based Matrix Individual Performer</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>Bates No. EDS (Plaintiffs Group) 0000883-0000885, 0000892-0000894</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Exhibit 9</td> <td>Service Delivery Job Family: Service Center Competency-Based Matrix Individual Performer</td> <td>130</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Exhibit 10</td> <td>Bates No. EDS (Plaintiffs Group) 0000757-0000758, 0000765-0000766</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Exhibit 10</td> <td>Corporate Job Family: Information Security Competency-Based Matrix Individual Performer</td> <td>133</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Exhibit 11</td> <td>Bates No. EDS (Plaintiffs Group) 0000262-0000264, 0000270-0000272</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Exhibit 11</td> <td>Technical Delivery Job Family: Infrastructure Competency-Based Matrix Individual Performer</td> <td>138</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Exhibit 12</td> <td>Bates No. EDS (Plaintiffs Group) 0000377-0000378, 0000380, 0000387-0000390</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Exhibit 12</td> <td>Technical Delivery Job Family: Information Competency-Based Matrix Individual Performer</td> <td>141</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Exhibit 13</td> <td>Bates No. EDS (Plaintiffs Group) 0000001-0000003, 0000009-0000013</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Exhibit 13</td> <td>2007 EDS Shared Competencies</td> <td>144</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>Bates No. EDS (Plaintiffs Group) 0004038-0004050</td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Number	Description	Page	Exhibit 7	Service Delivery Job Family: Telecommunications	110	Exhibit 8	Competency-Based Matrix Individual Performer			Bates No. EDS (Plaintiffs Group) 0001161-0001163, 0001170-001172		Exhibit 8	Service Delivery Job Family: Systems Administrator	127	Exhibit 9	Competency-Based Matrix Individual Performer			Bates No. EDS (Plaintiffs Group) 0000883-0000885, 0000892-0000894		Exhibit 9	Service Delivery Job Family: Service Center Competency-Based Matrix Individual Performer	130	Exhibit 10	Bates No. EDS (Plaintiffs Group) 0000757-0000758, 0000765-0000766		Exhibit 10	Corporate Job Family: Information Security Competency-Based Matrix Individual Performer	133	Exhibit 11	Bates No. EDS (Plaintiffs Group) 0000262-0000264, 0000270-0000272		Exhibit 11	Technical Delivery Job Family: Infrastructure Competency-Based Matrix Individual Performer	138	Exhibit 12	Bates No. EDS (Plaintiffs Group) 0000377-0000378, 0000380, 0000387-0000390		Exhibit 12	Technical Delivery Job Family: Information Competency-Based Matrix Individual Performer	141	Exhibit 13	Bates No. EDS (Plaintiffs Group) 0000001-0000003, 0000009-0000013		Exhibit 13	2007 EDS Shared Competencies	144		Bates No. EDS (Plaintiffs Group) 0004038-0004050	
Number	Description	Page																																																		
Exhibit 7	Service Delivery Job Family: Telecommunications	110																																																		
Exhibit 8	Competency-Based Matrix Individual Performer																																																			
	Bates No. EDS (Plaintiffs Group) 0001161-0001163, 0001170-001172																																																			
Exhibit 8	Service Delivery Job Family: Systems Administrator	127																																																		
Exhibit 9	Competency-Based Matrix Individual Performer																																																			
	Bates No. EDS (Plaintiffs Group) 0000883-0000885, 0000892-0000894																																																			
Exhibit 9	Service Delivery Job Family: Service Center Competency-Based Matrix Individual Performer	130																																																		
Exhibit 10	Bates No. EDS (Plaintiffs Group) 0000757-0000758, 0000765-0000766																																																			
Exhibit 10	Corporate Job Family: Information Security Competency-Based Matrix Individual Performer	133																																																		
Exhibit 11	Bates No. EDS (Plaintiffs Group) 0000262-0000264, 0000270-0000272																																																			
Exhibit 11	Technical Delivery Job Family: Infrastructure Competency-Based Matrix Individual Performer	138																																																		
Exhibit 12	Bates No. EDS (Plaintiffs Group) 0000377-0000378, 0000380, 0000387-0000390																																																			
Exhibit 12	Technical Delivery Job Family: Information Competency-Based Matrix Individual Performer	141																																																		
Exhibit 13	Bates No. EDS (Plaintiffs Group) 0000001-0000003, 0000009-0000013																																																			
Exhibit 13	2007 EDS Shared Competencies	144																																																		
	Bates No. EDS (Plaintiffs Group) 0004038-0004050																																																			

53 (Pages 206 to 209)

210

1	DEPOSITION EXHIBITS		
2	MICHAEL EVANS		
3	January 26th, 2010		
4	Number	Description	Page
5	Exhibit 14	Shared Competencies	144
6		Bates No. EDS (Plaintiffs Group)	
7		0005606-0005624	
8	Exhibit 15	Career Planning and Individual	148
9		Development: Job Matrices,	
10		InfoCentre Global	
11		Bates No. EDS (Plaintiffs Group)	
12		0005910-0005913	
13	Exhibit 16	Tools and Resources Job Family	151
14		Matrices Last Updated 20 February	
15		2008	
16		Bates No. EDS (Plaintiffs Group)	
17		0005836-0005837	
18	Exhibit 17	Job Matrices Job Codes	152
19		Bates No. EDS (Plaintiffs Group)	
20		0005599-0005603	
21	Exhibit 18	10/18/2006 Evans email to Mercer	153
22		Regarding Job Code Review	
23		Bates No. EDS (Plaintiffs Group)	
24		0015308	
25			
	Exhibit 19	1/17/2007 Job Survey email to	174
		Almandinger Regarding Job Code	
		Review Results	
		Bates No. EDS (Plaintiffs Group)	
		0009692, 0009685-0009691	
	Exhibit 20	Winter of 2007 email String	182
		Regarding Job Code Review Results	
		Bates No. EDS (Plaintiffs Group)	
		0009842-0009852	
	Exhibit 21	9/10/2007 Rosenfeld email to	185
		Juilfs Regarding Jason Rosenfeld	
		Overtime	
		Bates No. EDS (Plaintiffs Group)	
		0015873-0015874	

54 (Page 210)

A ability 5:15,18 able 4:18,19 33:20 34:10 90:25 149:9 150:17,18 158:1 187:25 196:10 above-styled 2:3 absent 110:5 absolute 157:10 absolutely 180:18 access 149:5,9 150:7 192:21,24 193:5 193:10 accessed 150:13 accessible 150:23 account 50:12 95:18 105:5 112:20,23 112:24 159:4,5 170:16,20 173:14 192:4,24 193:6 accounts 37:11 95:11,17 193:7 accurate 4:17,22 45:8 67:17 103:25 120:3 158:2 160:14,21 161:2 161:14 205:6 accurately 91:21 162:23,24 acquire 6:4 51:16 acquired 10:15 27:8 acquisition 6:6,18 7:8 14:20 15:6 16:24 194:14 act 179:15 action 8:10 11:2,9 55:25 207:10,12 activities 9:22 activity 154:16 actual 78:3,9,23 79:6 86:1 112:9,11 202:1 add 204:1 addition 18:3 191:14	additional 18:23 190:13 191:11 address 158:10 198:4 adequately 34:11 adjusted 72:2 adjustment 72:4 administration 8:9 21:17 administrator 100:21,22 101:21 101:22 102:18,19 127:9,20 129:7,14 129:19 139:9,10 155:11,12 157:5 158:15,15 159:2,3 159:10,15,15 160:3 165:2 167:23 168:25 169:19 187:6,6 188:12,13 192:4,5 203:7,8 209:8 administrators 127:22 157:5 158:20,20 160:11 160:22,23 199:7 admins 159:10 advanced 100:22 101:22 102:19 119:4 124:24 139:10 155:12 157:5 158:16,20 159:3,11,16 160:23 166:4,18 187:6 188:13 196:10 203:8 advantage 180:18 180:20,23 affect 123:22 166:21 affirmative 8:10 11:2,9 aforementioned 207:5 afternoon 109:2 agree 65:22,24	66:13 71:3 107:15 164:21 165:4 169:3 197:15 agreed 64:24 65:5 65:17,21 162:9 agreement 199:19 ahead 12:15 46:12 46:16 169:9 198:6 air 95:25 Alcoa 44:4 alleging 187:18,21 188:15,16,17 189:14 allowed 134:5 Almandinger 210:17 ambiguity 108:8 amount 202:17 analysis 70:3 73:23 74:14 75:24 76:7 76:11 77:5 78:3,10 78:24 79:6 85:19 86:5,7,15 91:2,10 104:22 112:25 163:19 168:23 169:11,16,25 170:9 208:17 analyst 16:13 37:5 42:9 50:17,19 57:25 62:16 63:18 118:2 119:3,4 120:2 124:24 167:21,24 168:2 168:10 170:18 analysts 37:6 120:4 120:16 170:13 171:17,19 analyze 75:1 76:1 analyzed 101:11 and/or 56:11,12 109:11 announced 6:16 announcement 24:1 24:8,11,12,13,14 annual 22:18 24:20	25:15 26:25 201:1 208:10 Anselmo 3:7 20:7 110:25 151:9 answer 4:21 5:9 19:14 29:3 30:1,3 32:4 34:4,6,15 47:25 60:13 65:2 66:1,2,3,10 84:18 105:3 111:19 120:7 158:10 159:7 175:5,21 176:18 178:20 179:7 184:18 189:1 196:8 answered 29:2 118:14 176:19 answering 58:25 122:13 answers 4:13 157:21 158:6 162:7,11 anticipate 4:18 anybody 20:9 54:7 59:23 94:11 95:18 99:23 121:10 145:4 anymore 40:25 apologize 14:19 57:11 73:24 appear 104:25 105:4 108:13 157:11 Appearances 208:2 appearing 17:25 54:24 56:4,15 109:3 110:2,4 appears 57:13,19 67:11 74:24 75:6 75:17,25 97:23,25 104:15 106:16 130:22 132:16 137:15 145:20 146:10,21 applicable 30:6,8 71:17 111:15,24
--	---	--	---

112:12 134:25 149:15 applies 129:18 137:17,18,23 138:6 147:17 apply 119:23 133:13 134:3,9,10,11,18 134:20 135:11,16 135:18 141:6,10 141:14 143:13,16 143:20 147:18,20 177:17 appraisal 25:9 appraisals 36:20 approached 100:25 appropriate 104:2 105:9 208:22 approval 39:5 95:3 95:4,6,13,16,21 approve 94:11 approved 202:11 approximately 7:6 7:17 16:22 17:3,6 area 25:20,21 31:22 42:22 46:13 49:15 54:1 99:21 126:16 160:6 170:24 argue 55:13 108:24 109:1 arguing 65:14 argument 159:23 argumentative 65:2 Ashley 13:11 14:2,7 14:10,13 asked 29:1 65:6,10 65:11,12 101:3 114:10 118:13 175:5,7 176:2,17 178:3,8 183:15 204:3 asking 4:9 28:24 29:4,6 59:1 65:10 74:9 84:12 99:10 114:4 122:9 175:14,15 176:16	181:14 aspect 117:11 aspects 115:21 146:13,19 assert 54:17 assess 149:9 assessment 82:20,22 82:23 87:18,22 89:17 90:9 118:7 158:2 184:21,22 assign 39:8,20 40:8 44:9 83:2 84:10 95:8,21 99:17 161:8 173:23 assigned 28:16 29:21 39:4,9,17 48:20 71:11 75:4 75:14 78:2,18 80:25 81:4 83:21 88:13,18 90:25 91:21 94:9,10,18 99:25 100:9 113:6 114:15 115:1,24 124:25 125:16 183:23,25 184:9 assigning 39:19 169:14 assignment 40:12 84:1 86:2 160:22 161:24 assignments 80:22 156:20 160:14 161:3,15 assist 76:4 77:20,21 assistance 48:2 78:14 101:4 assists 77:14,19 associate 13:15 associated 28:13 48:18 67:23,25 68:4 69:9,23,24 assume 27:8 30:11 93:10 97:10 118:4 171:8,10 173:2 assuming 19:6	48:11 185:1 Atlanta 3:13 attached 50:1 184:23 185:10 attend 20:20,22 21:2 attended 21:1,10 attention 24:16 172:24,25 attorney 4:8 207:9 207:11 attorneys 17:15 attributes 69:20 attuned 172:22 audit 100:20,23 138:23 139:1,5,15 139:22 154:23 155:2,6 158:14,23 161:14 162:2 163:9 167:24 170:4 173:6 180:1 183:8 195:5,6 196:22 197:2,4,17 199:2 201:22 203:5 audits 180:4 August 5:24 27:8 110:4 auspices 200:1 author 32:5 available 24:9 25:18 39:24 43:9 44:6 88:19 104:13 136:3 149:11,14 150:16 163:23,25 185:2 average 188:1 201:23,24 202:4,5 202:8,25 203:1 aware 31:15 33:11 88:4,5 198:13 199:10,18 A&M 20:25 A.D 207:13 a.m 2:5 4:4	B B 3:7 109:11 bachelor's 21:16 back 5:2 19:3 24:16 33:18 64:11 66:25 68:7 79:22 98:5 102:8 103:21 107:25 112:25 139:14 157:21,24 158:6,7 162:7 175:3,6,14 184:24 187:12,14 195:5 195:10 196:2,6,13 196:19,21 197:14 197:21,23 200:8,9 204:8 background 58:17 Baker 3:4,8 barely 108:14 BART 1:12 base 82:22 201:8 based 13:22 31:23 32:24 35:11 37:10 40:12,14 49:25 51:2 62:3 64:15 72:2,22 73:6 84:19 88:18 110:21 113:7 114:1,8 115:10 119:20 127:9 130:10 133:5 141:21 146:3 159:12 163:16,22 169:11 179:11,14,17 184:5,8,23 185:4 188:10 189:11 191:19 196:8,11 199:6 201:12 basic 190:14 basically 9:20 14:5 154:14 168:7 197:12 198:5 200:25 Basics 74:14 208:17 basing 183:16,19
---	--	---	---

basis 40:13 86:9,14 86:20 87:12 123:20 124:20 125:13 126:2 128:9 132:11 137:4 140:23 143:3 172:14 173:9 196:4 200:24 201:8,9,11 201:16 202:14 Bates 18:3 22:19 47:13 55:20 62:6 105:20 127:11 130:21 133:6 138:14 141:22 144:7,21 151:5 152:21 153:16 174:10 182:7 184:25 185:19 208:11,14,16,18 208:20,23 209:6,9 209:12,15,18,22 209:24 210:4,7,10 210:12,15,18,20 210:23 Baylor 21:1,2,5 bearing 144:7 began 12:3 100:16 197:4 beginning 147:21 203:25 begins 162:19 Behalf 1:6,13 behavioral 146:12 146:15,18 147:25 belief 188:10 189:11 believe 6:17 24:14 25:8 30:21 35:1,3 42:21 98:24 102:17 135:4,5,7 145:18 159:13 160:2 161:5 172:20 186:1,23 196:18,18 believes 109:15	belong 80:8 benefit 194:3 195:24 195:25 benefits 149:5 194:10 best 34:19,21 88:22 163:10 181:11 better 8:21 27:5 46:12,17 61:20 72:18 84:1 117:21 150:3 167:8 180:22 bigger 159:5 bill 191:12,15 billable 191:14 billing 191:20 bind 17:19 binder 17:14,16,20 17:23 18:9,18,24 blank 156:2 board 33:14 Bob 182:24 183:4 bonuses 157:20 book 174:18,19 bottom 26:2 75:9 137:14 182:24 bound 58:22 boundaries 199:9 box 93:3 147:4 brand 41:1 break 5:7,10 44:25 45:1 93:19 108:3,4 118:24 144:1 175:9 176:2 177:22 181:25 203:10 breaking 118:20 breaks 7:25 10:17 10:18 Brian 1:11 2:22 brief 154:15 bring 172:23,24 173:1 broaden 173:13 BROADWAY	206:2 broad-based 74:1 broken 146:21 147:1 Bromberg 2:22,23 10:13 Brook 2:16 brought 198:19 BROUSSEAU 1:5 BS 21:18 built 72:5 80:22 bullet 68:17 70:21 86:8 96:6 97:5 103:24 105:21 106:10 132:17 business 6:11,14 21:16 23:13 67:13 67:15 154:12 byproduct 76:23 160:17,23 C C 2:12 3:1 4:1 cables 164:23 calculate 196:11,13 calculated 200:21 200:22 calculation 201:13 202:7 California 13:22 156:1 call 30:10 69:12 88:8 173:5 178:20 183:14 called 4:11 6:11 11:20 22:17 23:16 26:16 47:11 70:2 101:3 144:6 151:6 154:21,24 156:9 195:13 202:18 calls 10:13 173:14 calm 203:24,24 canned 164:22 capabilities 196:10 capability 149:17	caption 207:4 cards 193:2 198:5 career 32:19 33:9 41:14 42:8,11,13 42:23 43:1,4,5 58:13 76:15,21 77:4 146:3,11 210:6 carry 107:23 case 1:6,14 4:10,11 81:5 163:9 164:18 173:11 183:20 184:19 193:1 206:4 cases 193:3 case-by-case 173:9 categories 30:15 categorize 33:5 category 62:25 146:5,16,20,23 147:4,10 cause 2:4 207:6 caused 162:11 caveat 5:8 11:1 caveats 64:7 center 2:8 3:4,8 30:10 69:12 130:10 209:11 centers 155:1,20,21 cert 55:25 certain 30:6 32:10 32:22,23 33:11,13 34:9,16 35:11,12 35:23 38:6 42:15 49:4,16 58:15 65:18 77:1 78:16 87:16,17 90:13 92:22 112:23 115:21 138:23,23 149:12 151:24 158:1 184:16 195:7,10 certainty 160:12 164:4,10 Certificate 205:1
---	---	---	---

208:6	claiming 186:24	173:6,7 175:12,18	81:11 82:7,8,15,16
certification 56:3,20	claims 200:5,7	176:4 190:2,5,11	82:19 83:2,16,21
56:25 109:11,11	clarification 204:5	191:7,10,15	83:22 84:1,5,9,10
109:22,24	clarify 114:8 122:23	192:11,19	84:16,24 86:2 87:3
Certified 2:6 207:3	class 55:25 109:10	clients 43:3 44:11	88:13,15,17,21
certify 207:4,9	165:6	172:19 190:12	89:2,9,13,24 90:17
CFR 54:20	classed 166:19	close 168:19,19,19	91:1,6,12,14,20,22
challenged 43:8	classification 77:2	181:12	92:8 93:15,25 94:9
challenges 199:13	79:24 162:3,14	closely 159:23	94:11,12,13,18,22
chance 90:5 93:18	164:16	180:12	94:24,25 95:4,5,7
96:22 121:20	classifications 42:20	closer 119:17	95:9,12,21 96:11
144:11 145:8	162:4	closest 106:8	97:15 99:6,6,15,16
148:13 204:2	classified 57:2 78:8	cluster 93:4	101:16 102:13,24
change 7:7 8:13	78:25 79:7,20	coaching 22:18	103:19,22 104:3
12:10,10 40:16,17	80:15,17 81:6,23	27:13 66:5 208:10	104:17 105:9
41:10 42:4 45:25	86:25 87:2 89:3,7	Coast 106:22	106:12 107:5,11
46:7 78:17 80:9	89:21,23 90:21	cocounsel 45:1	107:13,23 111:22
84:21,23 85:10	113:12 120:12	code 16:12 27:21,25	111:23,25 112:12
113:8 180:24	121:12 122:17,22	28:1,7,12,13 29:11	112:16 113:6,15
184:12 206:6	123:4,9 124:2,13	29:12,14,17 30:18	113:16,17,21
changed 7:19 9:16	125:5,20 128:2,21	31:1,6,13,17,24	114:5,11,12,14,25
9:16,25 10:3,6	159:16 162:19	32:15,16,21 33:4	115:1,2,8 116:3
12:11 41:2 183:1	164:10,11 166:20	33:12,23,25 34:10	118:19 120:6,11
183:15	168:12 170:12	34:12,24 35:8,11	120:13,17 121:4
changeover 41:9	171:3 186:16,22	35:17,21 36:1 37:1	122:17,21,21,25
changes 40:13 84:24	187:7 188:5	37:16,22,25 38:13	124:9,12,25 125:4
205:5	classify 55:12	39:4,8,17,20,20	125:16,19,23
changing 18:15	162:24	40:3,3,8,19 41:5	126:2,14,23 128:1
178:21 179:1,10	classifying 140:11	43:19,20,20,23,24	129:10 132:20
chart 132:14 137:7	clean 157:8 163:22	44:2,3,10,13,16,17	136:7,14 139:21
137:13 141:3	clear 22:21 55:24	45:12,19,25 46:4,5	142:11,11,18
165:24 167:19	56:8,24 108:11	46:13,16,22 47:5	146:5 147:2,5,21
check 149:4 200:24	164:20,24 169:7	48:17,18,25 49:7	152:1,7 153:16
checks 188:20	199:1 203:3,4	49:11,20,22 50:2,4	155:5 156:18
children 22:5,7,9,12	clerical 30:10	50:8,21,23,24	158:14 159:12,13
choice 108:15	146:23	51:11,23 52:10,14	159:15 160:1,14
choose 66:2,4 109:2	clerk 30:11,12 53:21	52:17,19 54:18,20	160:17,22 161:3
109:5 176:5	Cleveland 3:5,9	55:1,5,19 57:13,15	161:15 162:13,19
circumstances	client 42:2,5,5 43:12	57:22,24 58:2,5	163:4,7 165:3
35:13 173:15,17	43:13,22 44:19	59:24 63:8 70:19	166:6,8,20 167:22
173:20 194:12	50:10 52:25 53:1,2	71:19 72:8,9,16,24	169:12,17,22
CIT 199:11	105:1 114:21	73:25 74:6,6 75:5	170:6,11 171:2,13
City 3:4,8 50:19	126:12 129:22	75:15 76:3,9,17,20	172:6 173:8,19
156:1	130:4 137:25	78:17 79:14,23	174:4,5 176:13,13
Civil 2:11	141:12 143:14	80:8,9,18,22,25	176:14,25,25

177:10,11 180:7,8 180:12,24 181:1,8 181:12,19 182:6 183:23,25 184:7,9 184:16 185:2,6 189:24 191:16 193:12,20 194:2,7 194:9,25 200:10 208:15,19,22 210:14,17,20 coded 46:10 76:11 76:14 77:11 89:11 97:11 101:5 103:20 121:14,17 121:25 122:5 157:4 159:2,10,20 173:3 198:20 codes 32:8,17 33:11 36:7,17 38:6,10 41:13,15,22 42:7 44:11 45:4,8 46:7 46:21 50:1 58:24 59:10 65:18,19 68:1,4 71:25 77:1 77:16 78:2 79:12 79:16 81:4,19 83:11,13 86:13,19 87:11,17,20 88:6 88:18,19 92:3,15 92:22 95:11,24 96:1 99:17,25 100:9,13,19,24 101:6,25 102:10 102:15 103:16 107:18 111:15 112:13,18 113:3 115:17 117:7,15 118:21 126:21 127:4 128:16,20 128:24 131:6,11 131:13,24 132:1,2 132:23 136:10,15 137:1,19 138:7,23 139:6,8 140:4,10 140:18 142:12,15	142:24 143:3 147:14 149:21 150:15 151:24 152:10,21 155:7 155:16 156:19 161:23 162:15 164:8,17 165:7 169:14 171:21 172:11 177:14 179:22,22 182:25 183:15 187:5 189:12,20 190:13 193:22 199:3 210:12 coding 35:4 57:20 70:9 96:5 97:6 103:25 colleagues 38:21 collection 59:7,9 65:21,22 68:9,10 107:5 collective 55:25 Colleen 98:20 100:3 100:6,10 101:15 college 20:20,22 colloquy 178:11 come 30:19,24 33:10 150:22 201:4,11 comes 94:18 169:25 173:13 comfortable 87:14 164:6 comments 64:12 Commerce 21:1 Commission 205:20 206:25 207:19 common 118:23 119:13,18 commonality 118:24 communicate 27:17 158:3 communication 161:11 199:14	communications 19:15 149:3,3 companies 6:5 51:16 198:16 company 9:6 12:2 32:24,25 36:3,15 38:23 90:5 143:2 149:11 158:24 161:15 162:18 170:23 171:19 172:5 177:19 193:5 206:1 companywide 43:16 43:17,22 44:16,23 52:22 86:14,20 87:12 104:23 199:2 company's 9:21 10:5 comparable 27:14 compare 106:5 172:12,18 compared 105:24 184:9 comparing 184:20 compe 165:19 compensating 54:25 55:4,8 compensation 25:23 26:7,10 36:23 39:22 49:13 78:15 83:9 102:23 103:5 145:22 161:12 184:3 competencies 144:6 144:21 147:18 209:23 210:4 competency 62:3 110:21 115:10 127:9 130:10,18 133:5 141:21 146:3 147:3,18,19 165:20 Competency-Based 209:5,8,11,14,17	209:21 complaint 173:4,12 complaints 170:15 198:2 complete 130:20,25 completed 100:17 101:17 158:22 159:8,17 160:20 161:6 completion 93:5 complex 90:4 compliance 8:5,6,7 8:8,10,11,22,25,25 9:1,13,19,22 10:11 11:2,6,8,9,18,21 11:25 12:4,8,17 16:7 33:17 45:5,10 55:10,11 56:5 77:13,17 85:5 159:20,25 167:24 comply 109:25 component 191:13 comprise 42:8 68:12 comprised 23:15 155:19 computer 55:2 148:18,19 192:23 192:24 193:4 207:6 computers 193:5 computer-related 198:17 concentrated 146:12 concern 78:5 97:12 170:25 172:21 198:3 concerning 207:5 concerns 82:12 172:23 173:1 198:19 concluded 204:18 conclusion 117:1,3 conduct 155:2 178:24
--	---	--	---

conducted 46:9 85:19 139:1 157:14 170:4 Conducting 93:15 93:25 208:19 conducts 49:14 CONFAR 1:4 Conference 2:8,9 conjunction 198:17 connection 4:10 24:25 113:18 114:5,11,12 153:20 183:7 196:21 conservatively 188:1 considered 42:11 104:5 142:21 consistency 41:17 41:19,22 76:8 consistent 116:20 160:14,21 161:2 161:15 consolidated 4:11 116:3 constantly 35:5,6 consult 175:11,15 175:18 contact 39:21 103:10 184:2 contacted 139:24 contained 117:7,15 151:23 153:8 contains 18:1 126:20,21 contemplate 201:16 content 151:21,22 153:4 contents 47:20 76:1 130:20 153:3 context 109:12,12 109:19,22,23 204:7 continue 29:13 43:7 continued 3:1	continuous 7:24 8:1 10:11,15 199:14 contract 133:22 191:20,22 192:2,3 192:5 controversy 207:6 convenient 107:1 conversation 52:3 171:25 178:10 conversations 100:10 copied 182:17 copy 17:16 204:9 core 124:1,3 171:14 189:13 corner 26:2 137:14 CORP 1:9 corporate 13:22,24 17:25 18:6 25:23 26:7,10 29:24 36:23 38:10 39:6 39:11,21 40:18 49:13 67:5,8 70:4 70:7 72:23 78:15 83:8 92:2 94:11,15 95:23 96:1 102:23 103:4 133:4 134:4 137:2 145:21 149:1 150:4 161:11 167:9 169:12,15 184:3 190:15 199:5 209:14 corporation 1:17 23:21 161:12 corporation's 8:5 correct 8:15,16 15:20,21 18:9 20:17,18 23:12,19 23:22 28:20,22,25 29:5,7,10,24 31:2 31:20 34:19,22 36:7,11,13 37:17 38:3,16,23 39:15 39:16,18 40:5	41:17,23 42:3 43:14,15,17,22,23 44:5,14,21,23,24 46:6,18,23 48:6 50:6,11 51:6 52:15 52:19 53:4,5 55:25 59:21 60:1,10,22 61:6,12,23 63:9,18 63:23 64:2,21,25 67:6,10,19 68:4 69:18,23 70:5,6,9 70:10,23 71:2,8,12 71:15,20,21 72:1,2 72:16 75:15,19,22 77:17,18 79:14,24 81:7,24 82:9 83:3 83:18,21 84:5,11 84:16,24 85:13 86:20 87:6 88:13 88:14,17 89:24 90:17,21,22 92:5 92:17 96:1,2,19 97:16,18 100:12 102:11,12 104:9 104:14,24 107:12 107:13,14,18,20 107:22 111:24 113:21 114:20,23 115:4 116:8,23 117:17 118:11,12 119:15,19,24,25 120:13,17,18,22 121:8,9,12,17,18 121:21,22,25 122:1,3,4,18,19,22 123:4,9,13 124:4,5 124:6,7,11,13,14 124:16,17,18,19 124:21,22 125:5,6 125:8,9,11,12,14 125:25 126:3 127:17 128:5,6,7,8 128:10,11 129:3,4 129:7,8,15 130:2,5 130:6 131:8,9,14	132:3,6,9,12 134:5 134:20,21 135:12 135:23,24 136:1,4 136:5,8,9,23,24 137:4,5,20 138:1,2 138:4,5,9 140:13 140:17,22,24,25 141:17 142:25 143:1,4,5,15,24 147:2,15,16,22 151:1 152:18 156:19 158:17 160:16,17,24 161:18,19,23 162:16,22,22,25 163:1,4,5,8,13,18 164:1,12 165:3,11 165:25 166:1,4,5 166:12,22,25 167:1,5,16,17 168:3,4 172:6,7,9 172:11 174:5 176:14 177:1,20 181:2,10 184:7,16 185:7 186:3,4,16 186:18 187:2,7,8 188:14,18 189:4,8 189:9,13,17,21 190:3,6,8,9,11,12 190:15 191:2,8 192:9,10,12,13,15 192:16,20 200:12 200:13,17,18 202:19 corrected 200:10 correction 46:8 corrections 205:4 correctly 46:10 76:17,20 92:8 173:3 correlation 70:18 cost 72:22 157:18 counsel 12:25 13:1 13:16,17,20 18:3 19:15 20:1 207:9
--	---	--	--

207:11	customer 37:10	defined 67:3,21,22	173:20 177:2
counseling 19:25	40:14,24 63:13	68:3 116:6,16	191:22
counsel's 13:18	84:20 113:8 114:2	definition 28:20,25	DEPONENT 205:1
counted 178:12	155:23 191:12	29:5 31:1,4,8 32:2	206:5
counterparts	customers 32:25	48:25 59:8,12,12	deposition 1:21 2:1
172:13,18	155:25 159:6	degree 21:12,15,19	18:17 19:10,18
countries 133:14,24	customize 157:24,25	160:12	20:5 22:23 24:25
134:16	cut 78:2 164:21,24	degrees 21:8,19	31:16 47:15 54:5
country 50:25 51:3	169:7	165:7	55:22,24 56:2
51:6 52:15 72:16	D	Deitchman 12:21	74:16 93:22 96:21
72:21 111:16,21	D 2:9 4:1 208:1	13:5,10 14:8	105:11 108:9,12
111:22 112:14	Dallas 197:5 207:1	Delgado 16:5 17:4,9	108:16 110:24
114:19 129:15,20	damage 56:12	182:6	127:13 130:13,18
142:25	damages 56:18	Delgado's 16:17	133:8 138:17
COUNTY 207:1	200:11,12	delivery 59:18,19,23	141:24 144:8,23
couple 12:16 130:23	Daniel 1:12 2:5	59:24 62:2 69:6,14	148:9 151:12
139:17	207:3,18	110:20 115:9	152:23 153:17,21
course 19:1 20:1	Daproza 17:12	127:8,17 130:9	153:21 174:12
90:11 91:19,21	darn 106:25	131:8,21 138:14	175:24 178:6,7,18
100:12 101:13	DATA 1:9,17 206:4	141:21 165:19	179:16 182:9,19
197:20 202:6	database 39:11	209:4,7,11,17,20	185:21 204:18
court 1:1 4:14 5:2	date 23:24 24:2,6	DELUHERY 1:13	205:4 206:4 207:7
109:6,13,15 110:5	200:9 206:4	dep 55:16 203:25	207:10 208:7
175:4,14,15	dated 25:25,25 26:2	department 6:8 8:6	209:1 210:1
176:17 178:20	48:11 75:8 185:18	8:7,9,22,24 9:4,9	depositions 177:23
179:2,6	dates 9:15 14:2	9:10,12 11:11,13	describe 9:17 62:1
cover 22:21 29:14	15:10	11:15,17,20,21	70:12 83:13 94:17
29:18 74:6 108:16	David 12:21 13:6	12:4 13:3 15:4,4	94:23
200:11	14:5,6,6	15:12,23 16:7,20	described 122:24
covered 158:14	day 56:19 181:16	26:8,11,15 37:4	187:24
159:9 189:7 200:7	205:13 206:23	40:11 98:2 101:8	describes 70:18
203:4 204:10	207:13	103:5 139:2	describing 188:23
covers 51:5	deal 194:12	145:22 157:23	description 28:14
Crane 2:18 23:9	dealing 101:14	162:9 191:24	32:22 33:12 48:19
creating 33:24	debate 110:10	192:5 196:7,17,20	74:1 81:20 104:2,3
creation 165:1	December 137:16	196:23 197:1	104:18 105:25
criteria 114:1	decide 82:16 110:13	198:6 199:14,21	106:6,12 111:23
CSC 198:16	161:8	200:1,2 202:11	112:12,15,22
Cunningham 1:3,4	decided 94:22	departments 95:17	114:9,12,25 121:6
4:11 206:4	102:24 161:9	department's	152:2 184:16
current 39:8 98:1,3	defendants 1:10,18	179:25	208:9 209:3 210:3
103:11	3:2 54:17,18,24	depend 177:3	descriptions 39:23
currently 6:24	defer 204:11	depending 57:9 95:4	80:21 111:12,14
15:11 16:1 48:13	define 116:24 146:4	191:10 192:2	115:8 136:8 142:5
Curtis 197:8		depends 116:24	142:6 146:16,20

149:22 150:15 151:25 152:8,10 159:22 177:15 184:23 design 41:1 165:1 designated 56:16 108:13 designation 43:17 43:21 44:23 156:20 designed 68:18 designing 61:9 desk 69:11 desktop 157:6 164:20 details 61:15 determination 78:4 102:23 163:16,25 determine 32:19,19 49:14 50:2 79:2 156:19 160:15 determined 46:10 86:10 158:23 172:1,4 180:22 determining 86:10 developed 104:12 development 146:3 146:11 165:1 210:6 deviate 31:6,13 92:5 DFW 2:8 dictated 50:12,13,16 differ 117:25 difference 57:22 166:16 different 30:16 32:10,25 35:23 37:1,6,8,9,11,12 37:22 41:5 43:3,3 44:11,11 52:23,24 53:12 64:13 69:21 72:8 77:24 81:4 82:11 83:23 84:5 91:24 92:22 101:5 102:10,15 112:21	119:1 146:24 147:18 171:18,21 172:11 174:4 176:13,25 177:9 180:8 differently 147:7 177:6 differs 112:20 difficult 34:17 88:9 direct 13:16 15:19 19:13,23 direction 39:25 78:6 directly 5:25 12:19 13:4 62:25 director 15:3 197:8 disagreed 65:8 disconnect 77:22 discovery 56:17,18 56:25 57:5 109:14 109:15 discretion 38:25 95:7 discuss 109:2 154:7 discussed 19:20 186:8 discussion 179:18 discussions 19:24,25 distinction 56:22 57:7 193:25 194:2 distribute 93:18 district 1:1,2 197:5 197:8 divide 201:1,2 division 6:8,11,14 23:8,11,14 document 22:16,17 23:1,3 24:17,18,23 25:6,7,17,25 26:2 26:24 27:11,14,16 27:25 29:5 30:20 30:24 31:19,21 32:6 47:10,11,16 47:18,20,22,22 48:1 52:5 55:17,19 57:12 60:1,3,4,10	61:17,18,23 62:8 64:5,6,15,22,23 65:4,5,12,16 66:21 67:5,8,22 68:8 70:4,7,17 71:16 73:18 74:12,13,18 74:19,21 75:16,19 75:20 93:13,14,24 94:2,5 97:2,16,22 97:23 98:12 104:8 104:10,15,23 105:7,8,12,17,20 107:3 110:18,19 111:3,5 116:9,18 118:16 123:8 126:5 127:7,8,14 127:16 130:8,9 131:6 132:19,21 132:24 133:1,3,4,9 133:11,14 137:15 138:12,13,18 139:6 140:5,19 141:19,20 142:1,2 142:7,12 143:7 144:5,6,9,11,13,19 144:20 145:6,11 145:14,17,19,20 145:25 146:1 147:13 148:5,10 151:4,5,13,16,19 151:23 152:20,24 153:1,2,5,8,12,13 153:18 168:4 174:9,10,13,16,18 182:10,16 184:24 185:10,14,22,25 186:9 188:7 documents 18:1,16 18:22,23,25 19:9 24:3,5,24 31:10 40:17,18,20 54:10 56:10 64:20 65:9 67:14,16 75:11 92:10 116:21 130:20,24 153:20	174:19 182:18 doing 30:12,16 31:24 33:22 34:12 34:25 37:8,13,14 37:16 38:11 40:2 40:12 41:6 45:13 45:17,23 46:3,6,22 47:5 53:6 61:8 63:25,25 64:1 75:13 77:10 78:1 79:3,13 80:2,3,19 81:2,3,15,19,21 82:8,21 83:12,15 83:17 84:12,13,15 85:20 88:24 90:4 90:10,12,15,19,24 91:18 101:5,25 102:6,19 121:14 121:16,21,24 122:3,7,24 123:2,6 123:10,12,15,16 123:17,21 124:2 154:19 155:6 157:6 160:3,8,9 162:15,25 163:4,6 165:1 166:23,25 168:11,17 169:6 170:1 171:12,13 171:18 172:1,4 173:23 174:3 176:11,23 177:4,5 177:9 180:2,2,3,6 181:2,2,8,9 184:6 184:9,14,15 185:5 186:12 187:18,21 188:18 189:16,21 197:15 201:16 DOL 9:19 10:11 198:23 domestic 154:20 156:19 158:21 159:1 double 200:12 draft 156:14 drafted 48:7 97:24
---	---	---	--

draw 56:21 117:1,3 dress 190:13 Drive 2:15 driving 106:24 drugs 5:14 due 30:15 157:9 duly 2:3 4:3 207:5 duties 9:17 11:8 28:5,8,15 29:21 31:5,12,17 33:22 34:12 35:15,20,20 35:25 36:6,16 37:1 37:14,17 38:1,12 40:4 41:5 46:17,23 47:6 48:20 53:7 54:19 55:1 58:10 59:4 61:3 64:16 75:14 76:8 83:18 84:8,14 85:25,25 91:12 92:4,16 102:1 104:1,4,19 105:22 106:2,12 115:18 116:8 118:11 119:5,9 120:3 121:4 124:1 166:24,25 168:19 168:21 171:14 181:10,20 183:16 duty 10:20,24 dyslexic 130:14 D-a-p-r-o-z-a 17:12 D-e-i-t-c-h-m-a-n 12:23 <p style="text-align: center;">E</p> E 2:12,12 3:1,1 4:1,1 208:1 earlier 23:7 64:12 107:17 116:4,5 138:22 154:5,22 160:7 162:21 181:24 190:25 204:6 East 2:10 3:5,9 20:23,24 21:3,5	106:22 eastern 156:11 ED 13:2 38:10 EDP 14:17 23:7,7 EDS 4:12,12 6:2,4,8 6:10 9:4 11:19 12:2 15:4 17:15 22:3,10,20 23:6,9 23:11,13,15,16,16 23:20,21,22,24 25:6,17 27:2,17 29:8,8,16 30:25 31:11,14 32:9 33:4 33:4 45:5,9 47:13 53:24 55:20 59:12 65:4,19 67:13 70:4 70:7,21 71:4,7,15 71:16,17 73:17 74:14 75:12,19,20 76:20 77:12,12 78:8,16,20,23 79:5 82:14 86:12,23 87:21 91:10,17 92:2 93:15,25 97:15,18,19,22,23 104:8,10,13,15 105:9,9 110:19,22 111:21 116:6,16 117:14 118:8 120:16 121:3,24 125:23 127:11,23 128:17,25 129:2 130:11 131:11,19 132:7,10 136:16 136:21 137:3 138:15 140:6,11 140:14 141:23 142:13,16 144:6 146:3 147:4,11,13 148:7,24 149:2 150:7,16,22 153:5 157:18 160:20 161:13,18 162:1 170:10 172:19,22 179:22 190:17	191:24 193:4 194:17,18 198:10 208:11,14,16,18 208:20,22,23 209:6,9,12,15,18 209:22,23,24 210:4,7,10,12,15 210:18,20,23 EDS's 29:17,24 38:10 52:5 60:1,3 60:10 61:18,23 64:20 92:10,14 116:20 118:12 131:5 180:25 181:7,18,20 EDS-specific 28:13 48:18 EDS/HP 7:22 educate 54:10 education 21:20 EEO 41:16 EEOC 8:25 EE01 146:23 147:9 effect 27:25 48:10 48:12,13 effort 53:13 163:21 efforts 109:25 eight 7:6 eight-hour 178:5 either 22:9,12 35:14 60:16 78:14 85:9 159:24 164:11 ELECTRONIC 1:9 1:17 206:4 elements 151:24 eligible 128:24 email 24:12,14 110:4 153:14 156:15 182:5 185:15 186:21 188:10 189:11 210:14,16,19,22 emails 18:25 emphasis 112:23 employed 173:24	202:14 207:9,11 employee 7:13,15 8:3,14 9:10,14 39:3,13 40:9 41:23 42:3 43:14 50:8 78:10,12 79:6 80:14,17 83:24 84:11 94:8,10,14 94:18,23 103:11 106:4,4,8 111:21 111:21 113:5,16 113:20 114:3 115:1 150:5,10,19 170:22 171:2 173:18 189:24 190:2 191:7 202:13 207:11 employees 29:9 30:16 31:14 35:7 35:10,13 37:15 40:11 43:2 52:16 67:9,14,16 70:8 73:17 75:21 77:10 78:8 79:2 86:13,19 87:2,10 92:15 98:23 99:15,16 101:18 104:9,14 106:12 112:12,17 113:2,2 118:8,12 121:23 124:12,25 125:4,15,19,23 126:1,10,15 128:1 128:20,23 129:13 129:18 131:20,20 133:13 134:3 135:22,25 136:4,6 137:19,24 138:6 140:9 141:10,14 143:13,16,20 146:4 147:21 149:2,4,4,11,12 150:6,7,16,23 158:21 160:20 161:1,18 162:1,18 163:20 172:25
--	--	--	--

189:23 190:18,20 191:2 192:9,21 193:11,15,19,23 194:6,8,25 195:7 199:6 202:3,4 employee's 114:6 191:16 employer 5:20,23 5:25 202:17 employment 8:8 9:22 13:2 15:3 86:16 encompass 8:10 encompasses 147:10 ended 154:19 ends 73:4 enduring 93:5 engage 177:23 engineering 58:16 58:17,18 59:15 ensure 9:20 40:18 45:4,5 46:20 77:12 77:12 78:24 79:7 80:15 86:12 92:15 156:24 160:14 161:2,14 162:2 168:12 170:11 173:6 ensuring 10:5 36:15 92:3 entail 60:7 entailed 60:8 entails 45:21 enter 190:21 entering 130:22 enterprise 6:11,14 23:13 149:25 150:7,11 entire 8:13 15:23 173:14 entirety 134:13 entitled 35:9 55:19 74:13 93:14,24 105:8 110:19,20 127:8 130:9 133:4	138:13 141:20 142:25 144:20 193:12,16,20 194:7,7,9 195:21 entity 6:20 23:16 environment 69:12 equal 200:15 equally 120:19 ERISA 12:6 err 159:19 errata 205:5 206:1 erred 159:25 ESS 190:24 essence 154:25 197:15 201:2 establish 28:17 29:23 48:22 49:2,3 49:6,8,11,21,22 51:11,23 52:6 established 57:14 estimate 188:1 estimation 189:22 evaluated 90:13 evaluating 86:9 evaluation 48:4 113:18,25 114:1,6 136:7 Evans 1:22 2:1 4:2,7 5:14 17:14,25 18:4 18:11,15 20:19 21:23 22:5,16,24 22:25 23:3 24:16 45:3 47:10,13,17 55:18,18 56:11 57:10 65:24 67:1,6 74:12,17,21 82:24 93:13,24 94:3,7 96:4 98:25 105:7 110:18 111:4 127:7 130:8 133:3 138:12,20 139:13 141:19,25,25 143:9 144:5,10,19 144:25 145:7 148:5,12,13	149:21 151:4,15 152:20,25 153:14 153:15,19 156:15 174:9,15 177:24 179:1 182:6,12 185:14,24 205:10 206:5,21 208:3,8 209:1 210:1,14 everybody 4:16 46:21 47:4 53:3 79:11,12 83:15 86:25 87:1 91:11 91:11 101:17,23 101:24 121:3,11 121:16 122:16,20 123:2,6,12,17 124:8,8 142:24 143:2 159:14,14 160:2 164:10 168:6,9,17 173:7 181:1,6,7,19 197:23 199:3,8 everybody's 4:17 evolved 12:13 exact 15:10 24:2,23 47:19 160:9 exactly 34:21 38:9 92:20 103:18 171:6 202:22 203:1 examination 4:5 203:21 207:6 208:4 example 30:10 37:5 40:23 42:9 46:11 50:13 53:19 58:16 70:4 72:25 79:22 84:2 95:10,18,20 112:20 116:25 117:22 118:1 147:9 149:5 151:25 155:25 159:5 168:24 169:7 191:12 193:2 199:8	exceptions 51:22 Exchange 2:23 exchanges 203:24 excuse 13:2 33:7 87:16 141:4 201:13 Executive 2:8 exempt 32:18 46:11 57:3,8 60:8,17,20 60:21 62:20,22 63:5 77:1 78:9 82:17 85:8,8,9,10 85:11 89:12 90:6 90:21 97:11 113:13 121:12,15 122:17,22,25 123:4,9,11 124:13 125:5,20 128:2,21 131:17 136:19 140:12 142:21 159:24 160:5,24 161:10 162:3,20 164:1,11 165:3,4 166:17 167:15 168:12,21 170:1 170:12 186:22 187:7,11,16 188:23 189:4,5 190:20 195:7 exemption 46:8 79:24 81:1,12 107:7,14,19,24 123:22,23 166:21 180:5 exemptions 80:22 exempt/nonexempt 36:12 46:14 76:22 77:3 78:5 99:7 102:22 103:14 158:5 159:18 160:16 161:9,22 161:22 exercise 57:21 exhibit 22:16,23,25 24:17 47:10,15
--	---	---	---

55:18,18,22 74:12 74:16 93:13,22,24 96:9,19,21 98:25 103:22 105:7,11 110:18,24 116:4,5 127:7,13,16 129:6 130:8,13,19 132:15 133:3,8 138:12,17 141:19 141:24 143:9 144:8,19,20,23,25 145:2,7 148:5,9,14 149:8,21 151:4,12 152:20,23 153:14 153:17 167:9 174:9,12 182:5,9 185:14,21 208:10 208:13,15,17,19 208:22 209:4,7,11 209:14,17,20,23 210:4,6,9,12,14,16 210:19,22 EXHIBITS 208:7 209:1 210:1 existence 6:9 existing 23:24 expand 158:25 expected 112:18,19 113:3 experience 30:5,13 41:11 90:12,14 99:24 169:1 expert 194:3 expires 205:20 206:25 207:19 explain 72:18 116:1 146:14 Explained 167:13 extent 19:14 32:20 50:13 92:8 163:15 181:11 eye 164:3 F F 2:21 3:6,10,14	facility 30:11,13 fact 32:24 46:3 52:9 56:11 100:7,11 108:18 171:11 179:10 185:4 facts 207:4 failed 86:23 fair 76:7 faith 56:4 109:24 fall 11:5 35:13 102:25 falls 26:14 37:12 false 61:18 familiar 24:22 47:20 67:11 75:16 104:11 145:12 153:2,3 186:7 familiarize 19:2 families 43:11,13 44:22 53:24 54:4,8 54:11 55:5,14 58:8 58:9,12 59:4,13 60:7 61:1 65:20 67:23 68:4,18 70:23 71:8 80:21 92:16 118:21 129:14,19 138:23 146:25 153:5 family 16:13 39:25 42:6,12,19 53:9,22 58:10,12,14,21,23 58:23,23 59:5,10 59:23,25 61:5 62:2 62:13 65:22 68:9 68:12,25 69:2,8 70:19,21 71:1,11 73:20,20 88:11 89:14 92:4 110:20 115:9,25 116:1,6 116:22,25 117:8 117:10,16,17 118:6,6,9,19 126:11,16,22 127:9,17 129:7 130:10 131:8,22	133:5 134:4 137:2 137:10,20,25 138:8,14 141:5,7 141:11,15,21 143:11,14,17,21 151:7 152:5 165:19,20 167:10 167:15 169:15 193:16 209:4,7,11 209:14,17,20 210:9 far 64:23 190:14 196:7 200:8 202:7 fear 157:22 February 210:9 federal 2:10 9:23 178:8 feeling 37:4 40:11 fell 25:22,24 Ferraro 100:3 field 38:25 39:5,15 40:8 42:15,17,18 53:19 77:23 100:8 101:3 154:21 155:3,15 169:13 172:24 183:6,8 198:18 fight 176:9 file 115:3 files 115:5 financially 207:11 find 45:22 46:12,16 46:16 80:9 167:13 173:18 finding 100:7 fine 55:15 56:22 108:23 110:8,9 175:9 204:14 finish 4:20,22 21:13 first 10:15 33:13 57:11 63:7,11 67:20 68:8 70:21 78:2 93:3 96:5,8 97:4 105:21 107:8 107:13 155:14	182:12 199:20 fit 180:23 fitting 106:8 five 115:11 127:3 133:21 154:25 155:19,20,20 156:19 158:21 177:25 fix 198:22,22 fixed 202:17 fixing 35:5,6 flag 184:1 FLSA 45:5,9 55:10 55:11 77:13,17 78:25 79:8,20 80:16,19 81:1,7,23 82:18 85:5,12 89:3 89:7,21,23 122:18 164:16 170:12 186:16 200:5 fluctuate 202:15 fluctuating 109:23 195:14,17,18,19 195:23 196:3,6,11 196:12 200:15,16 200:19,20,21 201:12,14,15 202:12 focus 12:7 46:13 82:12 146:12 186:17 focused 8:22 9:11 9:12,18 186:15 focusing 33:16 folks 88:10,23 199:19 follow 39:1 148:23 163:19 follows 4:3 148:20 forced 5:5 foregoing 205:3 forgot 199:1 form 29:25 32:3 34:3,14 47:24 60:12 65:1 84:17
--	---	---	---

105:2 107:21 111:17 116:10 136:2 172:8 184:17 188:25 204:8 Formal 21:20 formally 6:16 format 148:21,23 151:20 formulate 34:10 formulating 199:15 forth 29:5 69:10 92:10 113:4 121:5 123:7 132:14 136:8 139:6 140:5 140:18 142:7 180:6 forward 8:23 139:17 157:1 182:6 183:1 184:10 191:3,4 195:20 196:3,8 198:19 fought 108:17 found 45:12,17 102:5 four 14:20 115:11 133:21 137:1,1 Fourth 2:19 fraction 78:6 frame 5:24 Fran 2:14 4:8 91:7 93:17 108:7 208:4 frankly 199:19 frequently 28:10 front 17:14 18:11,18 174:20 204:9 frudich@klaftero... 2:17 fulfill 18:5,5 fulfilling 91:17 full-time 193:23 194:8 full-time/part-time 193:25	function 7:3,19 8:6 8:23,25 9:12 10:25 11:3 36:12 49:5 82:25 83:2 85:11 85:11 112:24 117:23,24 173:24 186:25 functional 39:23 68:14,15,21,24 117:3,9 118:25 146:13 functions 8:2,13,18 8:19,20 10:2,4,8 10:10 30:17 32:18 33:6 37:8 41:2 45:23 60:8 69:2,3 69:12 78:16 83:14 83:16,17,22,23 84:21 85:10 90:5 101:5 102:20 112:9,22 113:7 121:14,17,21,24 122:24 123:3,7,10 123:13,15,16,17 124:3 157:7 159:22 169:6 177:4,5 180:17,22 187:2,5,18 188:11 188:12,23 189:4,6 189:13,21 funny 193:4 further 134:7 161:7 203:14 207:9,10 future 101:12 G G 4:1 Gallegos 197:5 gather 58:14 gathered 202:2 general 11:12 13:15 13:16,18,20 25:8 37:4 40:10 44:4 49:16 57:19 83:13 83:17 90:14 95:17	95:19 117:18 119:21,24,25 159:4 193:13,16 generalists 98:21 generalities 177:12 179:23 generalized 33:5 49:16 64:3 84:8,14 generally 12:18 51:23 70:18 112:19 113:3 119:16,19 166:5 194:13 generated 145:21 generic 177:15,16 177:17 gentleman 17:10 geographic 105:1 126:16 143:18 192:14 geographically 130:1 138:4 Georgia 3:13 156:4 156:7,8 getting 76:10,13 107:7,11,13 give 38:24 51:8 70:13 75:18 84:4 86:4 91:23 98:17 99:17 145:8 165:14 185:7 given 28:15 29:20 30:25 31:14 48:19 49:15 50:2 67:9 70:8 73:16 75:21 76:2 77:16 80:18 80:24 82:7,15,19 87:2 88:15 101:24 102:14 104:8 122:21 125:23 126:1 136:7 142:24 161:18 162:14 163:3,7 166:20 171:21 173:19 174:4	176:13,25 178:21 180:7,16 190:1 207:7,13 gives 31:1 giving 29:9 67:14,16 94:12 99:15 Glenda 197:6 Global 101:3 148:7 148:7,25 154:21 155:3,15 198:18 210:7 globally 74:2 133:13 134:10,11,19,20 go 12:15 30:14 41:10 46:12,16 56:4 79:22 91:5 95:2,7 103:21 107:25 110:10 139:14 157:1,7 169:9 174:22 175:3 176:3 178:14 192:5 197:10 200:8 203:11 goal 38:16,22 46:25 goes 22:22 55:9 56:25 57:4 64:12 77:23 95:13 112:25 197:9 going 4:9 19:3 22:15 25:3,3 26:20,20 35:4 40:2 42:10 47:9 49:15 52:13 52:15 55:16,17 59:2 61:25 62:1,5 62:7,23 64:11 66:19 68:7 70:1 74:11 91:4 92:24 93:12 96:3,18 100:8 105:6 106:19 110:6,17 111:7 117:4 120:1 127:6 129:5 130:7 130:16 132:13 133:2,10 136:11
---	--	---	---

136:13 137:6,13 138:11 139:11,22 140:3 141:1,18 144:4,18 145:24 146:16 148:4 149:19 151:3 152:19 153:11,12 153:13 154:7,15 155:6 156:22 157:18 158:7,24 169:13 174:8 175:23 176:22 177:21,22 178:12 178:13 181:14 182:11 183:1 184:10 185:13 191:19 195:20 196:3,8 197:13,13 198:14,21,21,22 199:11 203:11 GOLDBERF 1:12 Golden 2:19 good 4:7 35:4 43:6 56:4 109:24 164:14 good-faith 163:21 gosh 156:5 190:19 gotcha 44:15 government 41:15 95:10 193:6 graduate 21:8 graduated 20:24 Grapevine 2:10 gravitates 83:24 gray 160:6 greater 165:10 greatly 117:25 GREGORY 1:5 group 11:3,19,22 13:2 16:21,23 17:2 17:5,8 22:20 26:16 42:7 47:14 49:13 53:11,16 55:12,12 55:21 68:11 71:10 74:14 78:14 83:9	93:16 94:1 99:8 101:4 102:21,23 102:24 103:5,8 105:10 110:22 116:6 118:9 127:11 130:12 138:15 145:23 154:6,11,13,17,21 161:11,12 184:3,3 187:13 208:11,14 208:16,18,20,23 209:6,9,12,15,18 209:22,24 210:4,7 210:10,12,15,18 210:20,23 grouping 147:13 groups 36:8,14,19 36:22 44:7 70:22 71:4,6,7 87:16 90:13 148:21 group's 36:10 95:6 guess 57:6 68:6 133:25 138:25 148:18 156:5 165:9 166:2 184:24 200:1 204:4 guidance 38:24 39:22 51:15 74:25 83:8 86:4 91:23 101:9 103:17 199:20 guide 22:18 24:21 25:9 27:13 49:17 208:10 guideline 25:8 30:20 48:2 49:18 92:12 97:18,21 133:24 134:8,15,17 guidelines 77:25 92:14 133:13 134:1,2,9,13,20,24 134:25 135:4,8,11 135:16,18,20 guy 187:16,21	188:17,19 guys 109:17 H half 147:4 169:5 195:14,24,25 196:4 201:4 hand 179:20 207:13 handbook 190:15 handbooks 189:24 190:2 handle 88:3,10 173:22 handled 99:6,12,14 187:9,13 handling 186:19 hands 178:14 happen 94:20 179:8 179:9 183:24 happened 157:17 173:21 happens 41:9 94:17 hard 36:4 38:20,22 42:25 head 203:16 health 193:13,17 hear 5:1 171:9 173:2 heard 139:23 186:5 HEIN 1:12 held 7:1 8:14 45:2 93:21 108:5 144:3 169:16 170:10,22 182:3 187:16 203:13 help 18:5 32:18,19 47:12 48:2 49:3,7 49:11,14,18,20,24 51:23 52:11 57:20 69:11 70:8 75:1,3 75:3 76:18 105:16 146:11 174:20 208:13 helpful 86:16 helps 51:11 182:14	Henry 16:5 182:6 hereto 207:4,11 hesitating 7:18 Hewlett-Packard 5:21,22 6:1,3,4,12 6:15,22,24 7:8 11:4 13:3 21:25 23:14 high 21:19 90:2,3 95:11 164:25 166:7 168:17 higher 30:14 51:18 61:4,4 72:22 85:8 88:7 118:23 146:22 165:8,10 166:7 173:22 194:15 highest 50:22 60:20 60:20 61:9 71:25 72:12 highlighted 104:2 Highway 2:9 Hilton 2:8 hired 39:13 40:9,23 94:23 105:23 106:4 hiring 49:18 86:9 histrionics 177:24 179:13 hold 7:10,14 10:13 55:16 196:18 holding 9:13 home 130:3 138:8 141:16 143:22,23 190:10 192:18 homogenous 93:4,8 honest 157:21 158:6 158:10 162:7 honesty 159:20 hope 30:21 96:25 113:5 host 84:20 hostage 5:6 Hostetler 3:4,8 hot 172:25 173:5
--	--	---	--

hotel 106:25 hourly 196:11 201:4 201:12 hours 20:15 158:8,9 177:25 178:2,4 188:2 190:17 196:12 201:3,5,19 201:23 202:5,14 202:18,22 203:2 HP 9:4 13:24 14:18 16:7,12 22:13 23:12 26:9,15,25 27:8,12,15,16,19 94:19 103:11 191:25 192:1 HP's 23:6 HR 7:11 8:18 9:13 55:20 57:14 74:25 95:3,15,21 97:25 98:1,2,8,10,14,23 99:5,11,19,23 101:1,3,15 150:3,4 154:3 172:24 208:15 hub 155:25 156:1,11 156:11 183:8 192:19 hubs 154:24,25,25 155:3,19,22,23 156:2,9,10,19 158:21 159:4,7 Huff 100:3,7 human 4:20 6:25 7:12,15,15 14:21 14:25 16:11,13 26:14 36:18,19 42:9,10 53:19,20 53:21,21 57:25 59:16 78:15 83:9 98:21 hundred 37:6,8,9 164:9 I IBM 198:16 199:11	idea 51:9 52:13 147:19 identified 82:13 170:25 197:22 identify 28:15 37:23 38:4 48:19 82:11 120:8 157:16 158:4 164:19 IDS's 92:14 illegal 135:5 illnesses 5:17 imagine 38:21 immediately 7:11 13:9 impact 85:12,13 113:12 impair 5:15,18 implement 38:22 implemented 133:15,24 137:13 implications 96:5 97:6 important 77:15 106:5 107:8 167:14 168:5,9,16 improper 89:24 173:19 improperly 81:6,23 89:3,7,20,23 122:5 inadvertently 198:20 incident 168:2 include 53:22 55:4 139:15 159:1,4 included 155:3 159:3 including 86:18,18 incorporate 161:23 incorrect 60:23 77:1 89:25 96:5 97:6 107:23,24 118:6 121:13 incorrectly 89:11 index 18:4 indicate 24:6 110:3	150:14 indicates 31:7 149:8 indications 156:25 indicative 58:13 indirect 15:20 individual 33:6 43:22 49:4 58:16 62:4 78:3,3,9,24 78:24 79:6 80:5,6 80:11,13,14 82:20 84:2,11 87:18,18 87:19,21,22 91:2 91:14 95:1,23 98:7 104:25 105:23 110:22 112:25 120:11 123:20 127:10 130:11 131:4 133:6 141:22 149:6 159:1 163:19 168:23 169:11,15 169:22,25 170:18 170:19,22 172:14 186:24 209:5,8,12 209:15,18,21 210:6 individualized 89:17 90:9 169:22 170:9 individually 1:5,13 82:1,2 individuals 28:16 29:21 32:21 38:9 48:20 51:17 54:17 54:25 55:4 76:17 76:20 89:10 91:20 91:22 92:8 97:11 98:8,10,14 101:11 120:8 141:7 156:23 157:15 164:24 171:22 184:20 197:14 200:3 individual's 104:4 104:19	industries 74:2 industry 42:15,17 59:15 60:15 61:7 71:14 74:7 198:15 ineligible 124:15 125:7,24 128:4,24 132:5 140:15 InfoCentre 148:7,24 149:16 150:24 210:7 inform 186:11 information 18:4 27:19 29:9 50:17 50:18 70:3 133:5 137:9,10,19,24 138:7 141:21 143:11,13,17,21 145:2 149:6,14 150:5 167:10,21 167:22 168:10,25 170:13,18 171:17 171:19 191:25 192:2 202:3,22 209:14,21 informed 24:7,11 infrastructure 37:5 37:6 88:9,11 101:19 141:5,7,11 141:15 165:18,20 209:17 initiate 198:7,10 initiated 154:5 198:1 input 192:22 installing 55:2 instance 2:2 28:6 50:9 147:19 165:7 institutes 21:11 instruct 176:1 instructed 134:24 instructing 175:20 instructions 74:24 intend 76:16 intended 28:9 29:11 29:14 30:4 33:8
--	--	---	--

37:2,9 51:15 57:20 59:14 66:18 67:12 77:25 88:22 92:23 134:7 146:10 154:13 160:15 intends 91:18 intent 32:16,20 36:2 38:15 41:8,20,24 42:4 46:24 47:3,4 58:13 61:21,22 75:7,17 76:10,12 76:13 84:19 91:13 91:15,16,18,22 92:18 106:7 139:15 155:2 157:7 180:14,14 181:5,7,18,21,22 197:10 intention 77:25 86:21,24 154:8 interest 4:17 interested 191:23 192:3 207:12 interfering 130:17 interim 14:23 internal 74:1 78:1 100:12 101:9 139:15 155:2 International 2:15 interpret 68:2 interpretation 65:9 65:11 interruption 45:15 interviewed 46:9 intranet 149:1 150:22 introduced 130:19 introducing 56:10 introduction 130:24 invalid 27:9 investigate 173:12 173:13 investigation 101:14 159:8 162:10 163:10 197:20	investigator 197:6 involve 59:25 60:9 61:17 65:23 139:5 139:8 involved 11:9 involves 103:25 Isolated 87:6 issue 55:15 77:2 109:10 110:13 187:12,14 issued 109:17 199:20 issues 33:1 37:24 49:19 55:7 56:3 57:1 88:3 99:6,14 147:25 196:7,19 196:21 200:2 J J 2:5 207:3,18 January 1:23 2:4 206:4 207:13 208:8 209:2 210:2 Jason 185:15 186:6 210:22 Jefferson 17:11 182:5 Jennifer 103:6 138:25 145:3,15 145:16,18 job 7:19 16:12 27:21 27:25 28:1,3,12,14 28:18 29:11,12,14 29:17,23 30:12,17 30:22 31:1,6,11,13 31:17,23,24 32:8 32:14,16,17,21,22 32:23,23 33:3,4,11 33:12,12,13,15,23 33:25 34:9,10,12 34:16,24 35:3,8,11 35:16,21,23 36:1,4 36:5,7,17 37:1,1 37:16,22,25 38:6,9 38:13 39:4,8,17,20	39:20,23,25 40:3,3 40:8,12,19 41:3,5 41:10,13,15,21,22 42:6,7,19 43:6,7 43:11,12,19,20,20 43:22,24 44:1,2,2 44:3,10,11,13,16 44:17,22 45:4,8,12 45:18,21,25 46:4,5 46:7,13,16,21,21 47:5 48:17,18,22 48:25 49:2,7,11,20 49:22 50:1,2,4,8 50:21,23,24 51:11 51:23,24 52:6,14 52:17,19 53:7,8,22 53:24 54:4,8,11,18 54:20 55:1,4,14,19 57:13,15,20,22,23 57:24,25 58:1,2,3 58:4,5,8,9,10,12 58:12,14,15,18,20 58:21,22,23,23,24 59:4,5,9,10,10,12 59:24 60:7 61:1,5 62:2,11 63:8,12,13 63:22 65:18,19,20 65:22 68:1,4,9,12 68:18 69:1,1,1 70:8,19,19,21,22 70:25 71:1,4,5,8 71:10,11,19,25 72:7,9,11,14,15,16 72:17,24 73:20,20 73:23,25 74:5,6,8 74:13 75:4,15,24 76:1,2,3,6,7,9,11 76:17,20 77:1,11 77:12,16 78:2,16 78:17 79:12,14,16 79:23 80:8,9,18,20 80:21,22,25 81:4 81:11,19,20,20 82:7,8,15,16 83:2 83:10,13,16,21,22	83:25 84:1,5,8,9 84:10,16,24 85:18 85:19,20 86:2,5,7 86:13,15,19 87:3 87:11,16,17,20 88:6,11,13,15,17 88:18,19,21,23 89:2,8,13,14,24 90:10,17 91:1,6,10 91:12,14,20 92:3,3 92:8,15,16,22 93:1 93:15,25 94:9,10 94:12,13,18,22,24 94:24 95:4,7,9,11 95:21,24 96:1,5,11 97:6,15 99:6,6,14 99:15,15,16,17,17 99:25 100:9,13,19 100:24 101:6,16 101:18,25 102:4 102:10,13,15,18 102:24 103:16,18 103:22,25 104:2,3 104:17,22 105:9 105:24 106:6,9,11 107:5,11,13,18,23 110:20 111:11,14 111:15,22,23,23 111:25 112:11,13 112:16,18,22 113:3,11,15,16,17 113:21 114:5,8,9 114:11,12,13,14 114:25 115:1,2,8,9 115:17,21,24 116:1,3,6,22,25 117:7,8,10,15,16 117:17,24 118:6,6 118:9,19,21,21 120:6,11,13,17 121:4,5 122:17,21 122:21,25 123:7 124:3,3,9,12,25 125:4,16,19,22,23 126:1,7,9,14,20,21
--	---	---	--

126:22 127:3,3,8 127:10,17 128:1 128:16,20,24 129:6,7,9,12,14,16 129:19 130:9 131:6,8,10,13,21 131:21 132:16,18 132:19,22,23 133:4 134:4 136:7 136:9,10,13,15 137:1,2,8,10,10,12 137:17,18,19,20 137:21,24 138:6,7 138:7,14,23,23 139:6,8,16,21,22 140:4,10,10,18 141:4,5,6,7,11,15 141:21 142:5,6,11 142:11,12,15,18 142:24 143:3,10 143:11,12,13,17 143:21 146:5,15 146:19,24 147:2,4 147:4,5,13,21 148:8 149:21 150:15 151:7,24 152:1,4,5,7,10,21 152:21 153:5,16 155:5,7,16 156:18 156:19,23 157:25 158:14 159:12,13 159:14,22,22 160:1,2,13,14,17 160:21 161:3,15 161:23 162:13,15 162:19 163:4,7 164:7,17 165:2,7 165:19,19 166:4,6 166:8,20 167:6,10 167:15,19,20,21 167:23,24 168:8 168:18,19,21 169:4,8,12,14,15 169:16,22 170:5 170:11 171:2,13	171:13,15,21 172:6,11 173:8,19 174:4,4 176:13,13 176:14,25,25 177:10,10,14,14 179:21,22 180:2,4 180:6,8,12,17,21 180:23,24 181:1,8 181:12,19 182:6 182:25 183:15,23 183:25,25 184:7,9 184:16,23 185:2,6 187:1,4,5,24 188:9 188:11,11,18,18 188:22 189:3,5,6,7 189:12,12,13,17 189:20,24 191:16 193:12,15,19,22 194:2,7,9,25 199:3 200:10 203:4 208:15,17,19,22 209:4,7,11,14,17 209:20 210:6,9,12 210:12,14,16,17 210:20 jobs 28:5,7 29:18 30:6,8,9,10,10 35:15,19,25 36:5 36:16 53:11,16 54:18,25 59:7,10 65:22 67:3,21,21 67:22 68:3,10,10 68:11 69:8,15 70:22 71:6,7,10 74:7 76:8 83:11 88:9 116:6,21,21 117:1,22 118:9,10 147:10 166:18,24 167:4 168:20 173:22 187:15,15 187:19 John 1:4 184:13 joke 37:3,3 judge 110:11,13 Juilfs 16:4,14 17:1,9	185:16,17,18 186:2 210:22 Julie 100:3,11 101:15 197:5 jumbled 56:21 J-u-i-l-f-s 16:5 K Kathy 16:4 17:1 185:15 186:2,7 187:25 keep 32:17 91:15 157:17 173:24 177:10 181:14 190:17 keeping 191:1 KELLEY 1:3 Kelmer 17:11 kept 193:2 key 63:8,11,22 126:22 127:3 129:9 132:19,22 167:20,23 168:8 kind 12:6,13 27:21 58:14 139:19 193:4 194:11 199:21 Klafter 2:14 know 4:25 5:2,8 13:21,21 14:19 19:8 23:23,25,25 25:5,7,20 27:4,5 32:5 35:8,19 36:22 39:20 40:8 48:7 51:22 52:14 54:1 55:14 64:8 75:18 78:20 79:25 80:1,4 81:13,14,14,16,17 81:25 82:4,23 83:1 83:2,7 84:4,10 89:16,18 90:8,16 92:6 98:6,10,12,13 98:23 99:5,8,11,20 104:11,16,20 106:16,18,22,23	113:7 119:22 145:1,1,13,14,16 145:19 147:23 148:18 149:13,13 149:18 150:12,12 150:17,18 153:8,9 158:8 160:12 162:24 163:2 164:5,7 165:6,12 167:7 169:10 171:1,4,5,7 173:25 174:21 175:4 176:7 179:24 181:17 183:12,13 186:7,10 189:20 191:17,17,18,21 191:25,25 194:4 194:12 198:13,15 199:24 203:1,23 known 23:21 KUHLMAN 1:12 L L 1:11 2:14,22 208:4 labeled 18:3 labor 8:6,7,9,22,24 9:12 11:11,13,15 11:17,21 12:4 15:4 96:7 97:7 101:8 139:2 157:23 162:9 196:7,17,20 196:23 197:1 198:6,15 199:15 199:21 200:1,3 202:11 Labuda 184:13 lack 27:4 150:3 ladder 61:2 Lakes 2:8 large 89:1,1 90:3 larger 154:23 late 33:18,19 law 2:23 133:15,25 134:4,15 135:1,7,9 198:15
---	--	--	---

<p>laws 81:24 82:18 96:7 97:7 lawsuits 198:2 199:12,13 lead 195:6,9 leader 95:3 154:6,11 154:12 leaders 22:19 24:21 161:13 208:11 leadership 58:18 147:20 194:23 learn 54:3 learning 21:11 Lee 3:11 left 62:25 left-hand 26:2 legal 13:3 15:4 55:15 59:17 legs 5:7 LENKNER 1:12 Leroy 197:9 Lesser 2:14 letter 147:14 187:17 187:20 199:16 let's 43:3 85:16 86:6 94:19 96:3 97:14 98:20 103:21 108:4 110:11 115:1 127:19 128:12 143:6 158:24,25 165:15 165:17 166:3 167:8,8 178:19 179:15 182:2 193:20 203:10 level 28:17 29:22 30:9 32:10 48:21 53:21 61:4,8 79:1 95:11 146:22 149:12 165:9,9 166:7 173:24 levels 53:13 147:2,5 liability 56:12,20 light 199:21 limitations 196:9</p>	<p>limited 82:10 199:6 limiting 149:17 line 40:15 42:24 107:16 133:21 173:5 lines 36:21 53:23 68:14,15,16,21,25 73:22 133:21 172:25 LINE(S) 206:6 linkage 70:2 Linn 14:14 15:5,8 Linn's 15:2 liquidated 200:11 Lisa 3:11 list 108:19 listed 60:25 63:17 115:8 listing 63:8 lists 129:9 litigation 19:11 little 7:23 12:7 77:21,24 108:9 204:6 Littler 3:12 living 72:22 LLP 2:14 loading 164:22 local 133:15,25 134:4 locales 52:18,22 72:21 locality 72:3 located 74:8 114:17 130:1,4 138:4 159:7 192:18 location 44:20 50:13 50:16 53:2,3 74:8 105:1 141:16 143:18 190:7 locations 158:18 locked 129:17 long 7:1,5,21,21 13:4 14:1,2 15:8 16:19 17:1,4 20:13</p>	<p>39:7 90:11,16,20 90:24 172:22 181:16 look 39:22 40:1 41:4 48:15 50:2 54:10 57:17 63:16 66:20 73:19 82:1 85:16 85:24 86:6 92:24 93:18 96:3 97:14 105:14 111:8,8 116:9 123:19 127:19 132:13 133:11 134:12 136:12 137:6 139:12 140:3 141:1 143:6 145:8 145:12 147:2 149:9,19 153:2 156:22 164:3 165:15,17 167:8 167:18 168:25 170:19 172:16,17 183:24,25 199:7,9 201:7 looked 81:15 82:2 155:14 159:21 171:17 172:14 201:8 looking 80:20 101:7 102:21,22 106:25 115:12,13 127:16 152:3 155:17 175:11 looks 84:10 96:12 96:14 146:11 148:6,19 151:19 152:12 lost 90:18 133:19 lot 4:18 30:16 82:11 107:18 155:23 157:18,19 164:2 169:1 203:23 lower 30:9 50:18 53:20 61:7 166:2 167:2 168:16</p>	<p>173:24 lowest 50:23 60:19 61:2,2,8 72:1,12 lschreter@littler.... 3:14 lunch 108:3,4,5 L-i-n-n 14:16 L-y-n-n 14:15 M M 2:18 main 8:23 161:21 162:2 maintain 40:24,25 maintaining 55:2 major 77:22 majority 87:1,5 155:16 making 51:18 75:3 77:6 164:22 managed 103:8 management 22:18 24:20 25:13,14 26:17 27:13,18 36:24 47:12 194:23 208:13 manager 6:25 7:11 7:12,13,16 8:3,15 8:18 9:14,14 39:4 41:9 50:2 51:15 52:12 53:22 78:13 78:20,23 79:5,9 82:19 84:3,9 94:21 95:2 113:25 145:22 146:24 149:3 150:19 154:4,24 173:23 183:5,24 managers 25:9,19 30:25 38:24 40:10 44:6 45:20 48:2 49:18 51:8 57:20 74:25 80:12,13,19 95:6,23 101:1 103:18 149:15,17</p>
---	--	--	---

161:10 163:20 172:22 173:3 manager's 45:22 managing 82:25 Manhattan 2:24 manner 54:24 55:3 manselmo@bake... 3:10 manually 196:15 Marcella 14:24 98:20 march 5:5 75:8 98:4 98:5,8,15 99:5,12 Maria 153:15 154:3 154:7 mark 61:25 62:4 66:23 182:4 marked 22:16,23,25 24:16 47:10,15 55:18,22 62:24 66:25 74:12,16 91:4 93:13,22 96:4 98:25 105:7,11 110:18,24 127:7 127:13 130:8,13 133:3,8 138:12,17 139:12 141:19,24 144:5,8,19,23 148:5,9,13 149:20 151:4,12 152:20 152:23 153:14,17 165:15,18 167:8 174:9,12 182:9 185:14,21 market 28:17 29:23 48:22 49:2,7,8,12 49:15 51:24 52:6 marking 93:23 married 21:23 Martin 3:3 Marty 175:8 176:3 match 33:12 91:13 104:3,5,18 106:8,8 106:11 181:12 184:2	matched 184:14 matching 91:6 103:25 material 73:16 matrices 73:20 130:18 148:8 151:7 152:21 210:6,9,12 matrix 62:3 73:20 110:21 115:10 127:10 130:10 133:6 141:22 146:3,10 152:5 165:20 209:5,8,12 209:14,18,21 matter 41:22 42:2 44:3 50:10 100:11 114:16,21 129:22 129:25 130:3 137:23,25,25 138:3 188:24 190:2,4,7 191:7 192:11,14,17 matters 207:6 Maureen 2:18 ma'am 5:4,16,19 6:19 7:9 8:1 10:9 11:10 13:25 14:11 15:7 16:2,9,25 18:21 20:10,21 21:4,7,9,14,22,24 22:1 23:17 27:23 32:11 62:9 86:11 97:8 107:6,10 111:6 127:18 McGehee 2:19 mcrane@pittlaw... 2:21 mean 9:4 15:15,19 24:7,12 32:1 37:18 39:12 40:21 42:13 43:1,5 44:10 48:24 49:1 53:16 55:13 56:24 59:11 60:24 67:25 68:15,21	71:3 74:5 76:12,14 77:20,21 79:19 80:23 81:9 85:4,6 85:9,22,24 86:12 88:25 91:9 93:8 95:16 97:9 104:17 104:21 105:4 106:15 107:11 108:17 115:6 117:20 123:15 131:18 133:18 134:11,13,19,19 135:10 146:9,18 148:17 154:10 156:10,18,21 164:21 165:13 169:2 177:8 180:19 192:1 201:18 202:4 meaning 43:1,20 57:1 71:25 111:20 142:23 155:7 195:20 meaningless 32:9,9 means 2:7 55:8 59:8 63:20 68:25 69:15 80:23 85:23 86:3 86:15 91:16 97:10 107:19 121:6 123:1 132:4 134:2 134:6,23 136:20 136:25 measures 157:19 meet 20:4 154:7 197:7 meeting 20:13,17 197:4,10 meetings 20:11 memo 155:1 memory 19:2 Mendelson 3:12 Mercer 153:15,15 154:3 210:14 merged 154:23 merits 108:11	109:13 message 187:25 met 19:19 20:1,3 30:21 154:12 197:5,19 method 195:23 MICHAEL 1:4,22 2:1 4:2 205:10 206:5,21 208:3,8 209:1 210:1 Michelle 3:7 20:6 Michigan 2:20 mid 7:18 middle 6:17 11:1 152:4 Mike 54:24 56:15 66:1 108:13,17,20 109:3 110:2 153:15 182:6 203:23 Mike's 56:4 96:9 mind 32:17 157:17 mine 96:20 110:12 167:11 Miner 103:6 138:25 145:3,15,16,18 minute 18:15 57:17 144:2 176:3 179:19 184:1 misclassifications 158:4 misclassified 90:6 121:8 misleading 12:5 missing 96:10,13,15 misspoke 152:11 Mitchell 16:4,19,23 17:8 Mitchell's 16:10,16 modified 51:2 module 47:11,11 74:13 93:14,25 96:7 105:8 208:17 208:19,22 moment 70:13
--	--	---	---

money 157:24 158:7 months 14:5,20 199:17 morning 4:7 108:15 Motors 44:4 95:17 95:19 159:4 move 6:5 14:5 43:8 139:14 moved 85:7,8 moving 78:18 88:2 multipage 22:17 55:19 74:13 93:14 93:24 105:8 110:19 127:8 130:9 133:4 138:13 141:20 174:10 multiple 72:23,25 144:20 155:24 multiply 200:25 mwymmer@bakerl... 3:6 N N 2:12 3:1 4:1 208:1 name 4:7 12:9,10 98:7 100:5 147:3 186:5 190:22 named 17:10 207:5 names 16:3 36:22 98:14,17 99:20 National 3:4,8 nature 4:20 28:17 29:22 32:1 48:21 53:12,17 69:16,18 69:25 70:22 71:1,7 71:7,12,13 116:7 116:23,24 118:10 157:10,13 161:10 162:10 Navy 159:5 170:16 172:12,18 NE 3:12 necessarily 45:11 60:2 83:19	necessary 46:1 78:14 necessity 157:11 need 49:6 116:9 123:19 needed 46:8 needs 45:1 78:7 negotiated 194:11 neither 207:9 never 7:19 12:11,12 150:13 new 1:2 2:16,24 4:8 4:10 16:11,12 41:1 49:18 50:19 72:9 72:24 94:18,23 164:7 183:22,24 185:2 206:2,2 newly 40:9 Nick 14:14 100:4 NMCI 159:5 170:16 170:19 171:18,23 Nods 203:16 non 97:5 noncompliance 96:6 97:7 nonexempt 32:18 57:3 60:8,17,20 62:21 63:5,6,13 78:9 82:17 90:21 97:12 102:6 113:13 122:3 159:21 160:24 162:3 164:1,11,21 164:24 167:16 168:13,20 169:4,8 170:2 172:2 187:18,22 188:5 190:20 195:7 nonoverlap 169:6 North 106:25 Notary 2:5 205:17 206:25 207:3,19 note 130:25 notebook 18:11 noted 205:5	notice 56:16 108:12 108:14,21 109:4,9 109:18 112:21 November 149:22 150:15 number 39:3 47:13 54:15,22,23 55:20 59:9 62:6 68:11 105:20 115:8 119:17 122:11 127:11 133:7 138:15 141:23 144:7,21 147:15 147:21 151:5 152:22 153:16 165:16,18 166:2 167:10 174:10 182:7 184:25 185:19 201:2,19 201:19,22,24 202:1 208:9 209:3 210:3 numbered 2:4 numbers 22:19 58:2 95:25 130:15,21 165:8 168:18 numeric 28:13 48:18 57:24 numerical 28:3 58:3 112:16 122:25 NY 2:24 O O 4:1 Oak 2:20 object 84:17 130:23 188:25 objected 56:17 Objection 29:1,25 32:3 34:1,3,14 47:24 60:12 64:18 65:1,13 73:5 105:2 107:21 111:17 116:10 118:13 136:2 172:8	176:20 177:18 184:17 objective 86:8 obtained 10:25 obviously 51:25 56:3 77:3 108:15 110:12 occasions 139:16 occupations 198:17 occur 12:10 occurred 203:5 offered 108:12 office 2:23 13:19,23 13:24 37:7 197:5 207:13 offices 155:24 official 13:1 officially 12:11,12 13:7 27:7 58:6 oh 14:8 19:8,12 30:4 33:13 38:8 96:10 98:4 108:3 115:16 116:18 135:15 152:3,15 167:12 183:19 190:19 Ohio 3:5,9 okay 5:5,11,12,13 5:14,20,22 6:23 7:10,21 8:2,17 9:17,20,25 11:7,19 12:19,24 15:1,22 16:19 17:13 18:13 19:16,19 20:2,13 20:19 21:15,23 22:9 23:2,5,23 24:10,15 25:11 26:7,18,22 27:20 28:11,19 29:16 30:4 32:7 35:7 41:25 44:2 46:15 46:20 47:4,8,8 48:16 53:6 59:7,22 60:6 64:10 66:18 67:13 68:13,17 69:13,17,22 70:1
--	--	--	---

70:11,20 71:19 72:20 73:9,15,19 73:19 76:24 79:18 81:17 86:6 88:25 92:13,24 93:11,23 94:6 97:3 103:21 104:17 105:15,18 105:19 106:17,19 107:25 108:4,6 109:21 110:8,15 111:4,7,10,14,18 112:3,17 114:24 115:16 116:11,18 116:18 119:2 120:1 126:9 131:1 133:20,23 134:8 134:22 136:11 137:11,17 138:10 139:11,11 140:2,3 142:6,19 143:12 143:25 144:10,13 144:15 145:6,24 145:24 147:8 148:2,3,11,24 149:19,25 150:10 150:14 151:14 152:15,25 153:10 154:2 155:4,18 156:12,14 158:12 158:12 170:3,7 174:7,14,25 176:10 181:23 182:13,15,21 185:23,24 186:2 189:10,15,23 191:1 193:11,24 194:6,17 196:16 197:16 199:10 201:14 203:9,17 204:12 Oklahoma 156:1 old 22:7 23:15 Olsen 2:14 once 91:2 158:22 161:9 166:15	195:20 ones 171:22 one-page 153:14 ongoing 34:20 onward 192:8 open 43:9 operating 155:1,19 155:21 operations 159:1 opinion 199:16 opportunity 180:16 195:1 option 194:18,18 options 194:22 ORAL 1:21 2:1 order 28:17 29:22 35:8 48:21 56:24 84:10 86:1,2 109:14 110:5 162:23,23 169:25 organization 6:10 9:1,3,5 14:21,25 25:23 26:8 36:18 36:20 45:23 93:6 95:19 99:19 101:2 101:12,12 154:23 154:24 155:15,17 170:16 173:6 183:6 198:18 organizations 74:2 95:2,15,16 159:6 172:13 OSCCP 11:18 OSHA 12:6 Otten 182:24 183:4 183:11,12,14,14 184:12 outside 9:5 35:13 134:16,25 143:22 159:4 overall 72:17 78:7 86:16 overlap 115:22 123:20,21 127:2 166:25 168:20,24	169:4 overlapping 119:7 119:11 overtime 55:8,9 81:24 82:18 97:13 102:9 124:10,13 124:16,18 125:2,5 125:7,10,17,20,24 127:24 128:2,4,7 128:18,21,25 129:3 131:12,16 131:17,23 132:5,8 136:16,19,23 140:7,12,16 142:13,17,22,25 156:20 186:25 188:1,20 195:10 195:22,23 200:6,7 200:21 210:23 overview 45:21 96:12 97:15 103:22 118:25 146:2 overviews 39:23 owed 186:25 o'clock 106:22 P P 2:12,12,16,21 3:1 3:1,6,10,14 4:1 page 22:21,22,22 25:4 26:21 27:22 48:15 57:11 58:7 62:8 66:20 67:3 68:7 69:5,10 70:2 70:11 73:19 75:9 85:16 86:6 91:5,7 91:8 92:25 96:6,8 96:13,15,16 97:14 103:21 105:14,20 106:20,20 107:5 111:8 115:12,13 120:2 126:4 127:19 128:14 129:5 132:13,14	133:11,12 136:12 137:6 139:12 140:4 141:2 142:7 143:6,8 144:20 145:25 146:1 147:3 148:7 149:10,20 150:11 150:14 151:18 152:16 165:24 167:19 182:12 183:22 184:24 206:6 208:5,9 209:3 210:3 pages 24:19 130:23 131:4 paid 102:8 124:20 125:13 126:2 128:9 132:11 137:4 140:21,23 143:3 195:16,22 197:23 202:16 painstakingly 178:3 178:8 Palmer 2:19 paper 52:24,25 60:25 64:13 193:2 paragraph 27:21 133:12 134:7,12 Parker 98:21 part 6:10,13 14:24 54:21 72:4 76:10 76:13 87:10,13 100:16,17,25 101:11 103:1 104:21 131:6 146:2 178:9 partial 130:24 participate 193:12 193:16,20 195:1 particular 48:12 50:1 64:2 68:14,21 68:24 69:1 94:13 95:4 100:24 105:5 114:2 137:10 147:12 148:22
---	---	--	--

149:8 150:21 152:16 167:15 170:5,16 particularly 30:14 39:7 159:18 parties 207:10,11 pass 41:2 password 169:2,6 path 42:8,14 43:1,5 paths 32:19 41:15 42:11,23 43:4 PATRICK 1:4 Pauleen 17:11 pay 9:21 10:5 43:10 49:3,15,19,21,23 50:3,4,6,7,11,11 50:18,20,21 51:5 51:11,12,18 52:10 52:21,22,24,25 53:1,4,5 91:20 187:12,14 195:10 195:12,13 196:2,2 196:14,21 197:13 197:14,14,23 200:23 201:8 202:18,23 paychecks 6:21 payment 140:7 142:14 195:10,15 payments 197:22 204:8 payroll 51:17 196:9 PC 2:23 3:12 Peachtree 3:12 peers 188:19 pending 4:10 5:9 175:18,19 people 15:11,22,24 16:6,8 17:8 32:10 33:21,21 39:6,11 45:13,17,22 49:16 49:19 55:12 56:19 57:1,8,8 58:22 61:1,3 71:24 76:10 76:13 77:7,8,16	79:16 81:19 82:6,7 88:12 89:2,10,22 90:2,13 91:3 99:20 99:24,24 100:8 101:4,5 102:14,17 103:15,19,19 129:17 139:17 155:16 157:19 159:21 160:17,20 162:11,14 163:3,6 164:19,25 165:5 169:1 172:5,12,18 172:24 173:3 174:3 176:12,24 177:9,9 180:12 181:12 183:16,17 184:6,12 185:5,7 186:11,15 193:7 195:22 198:20 202:23 people's 75:1 180:5 percent 64:8,9 104:4,18 106:11 108:1 164:5,9 percentage 64:7 89:2 123:21 perfect 29:12 85:2 perform 82:23 100:23 performance 22:17 24:20 25:13,14,15 27:13,18 47:12 113:18,25 114:6,8 114:13 136:7 208:13 performed 10:1 63:8 76:7 103:13 104:1 126:23 129:10 132:19 138:24 performer 62:4 110:22 127:10 130:11 133:6 141:22 209:5,9,12 209:15,18,21	performing 10:23 49:4,16 86:1 103:15 112:10 113:6,10 160:3,5 166:7 168:6,10 performs 69:2,2 period 7:24 14:23 19:3 133:22 157:18 190:19 191:8 201:8 202:23 person 39:19,20 40:2 41:6 46:10 50:22,23 51:2 61:7 61:9 71:24 80:24 82:17 84:15 85:19 85:25 86:1 95:14 101:2 169:16 170:10 171:12 173:14,18,21 174:2,2 176:11,23 187:16 207:5 personally 183:13 personnel 115:3,5 Persons 1:6,13 person's 40:18 perspective 77:3 90:6 97:13 99:7 158:5 159:19 pertaining 65:18,19 65:20 phase 55:24 56:17 56:25 57:4 109:15 158:22 Phone 45:15 physically 6:5 13:21 192:18 pick 95:7,23,25 155:13 piece 52:23,24 60:25 Pitt 2:19 place 2:23 78:7 168:23 plaintiffs 1:7,15 2:2 2:13 22:20 47:14	55:21 74:14 93:15 94:1 105:10 110:22 127:11 130:11 138:15 143:8 208:11,14 208:16,18,20,23 209:6,9,12,15,18 209:22,24 210:4,7 210:10,12,15,18 210:20,23 planned 68:18,22 154:16 planning 42:23 210:6 Plano 50:17 156:3 plans 193:13,17 plausible 120:19 play 35:12 plays 57:9 please 4:12,25 12:22 17:19 22:24 30:1 32:4 34:4,6,7,15 47:25 48:15 60:13 65:2 66:15 73:19 74:17 84:17 94:2 105:3 111:19 184:18 188:25 plugged 164:23 PMP 22:18 25:12 26:25 48:3,4 208:10 pockets 87:5,6 Poer 197:8 point 39:24 68:17 70:1,21 72:10 75:22 86:8 94:21 96:6 97:5 102:16 103:24 105:21 106:10 130:16 161:12,23 184:4 196:1 204:5 points 78:5 132:17 154:6,10,14 policy 29:17,24 38:11,14 92:2,5,6
--	---	---	---

92:9,11 97:19,20 124:9 125:1,17 127:23 128:17,17 128:25 129:2 131:11,15,19,22 132:7,10 135:14 135:15 136:15,16 136:18,21,21 137:3 140:6,11,15 140:20 142:13,16 142:19 180:25 181:3,6 194:16 political 68:14 portion 142:2,4 144:15 146:6 portions 65:6 Pose 65:14 posing 122:7 position 7:2,3,10,14 8:14 9:13 44:1 56:1,15,19 57:4,7 58:19 62:21,21 63:5 75:4 82:14 105:23 106:3 108:11,20 109:4,8 110:5,12 168:10 170:10,22 189:19 199:18 positions 35:23 36:25 75:1,2 167:16 168:16 possibilities 121:1 122:12 possibility 79:19,21 81:8,10,22,25 89:1 89:20,22 120:14 122:6,8,10,11,14 122:15 possible 32:21 108:8 120:15,24 121:6 122:2 possibly 184:19 post 21:19 148:21 posted 149:14 postgrad 21:18	postgraduate 21:11 21:12 practice 10:5 29:17 92:5,7 94:15 124:10 125:1,17 127:23 128:17,25 129:2 131:11,16 131:19,22 132:8 132:11 136:15,16 136:18,21 137:3 140:6,11,15,20 142:13,17,20 practices 9:21 prefix 54:19 55:1 prepare 18:16 19:9 19:18 20:5 54:4 present 8:23 10:16 20:16 33:19 presented 19:1,10 197:21 presently 11:23 presumably 88:15 pretty 30:11 164:13 164:20,23 166:18 169:7 prevalent 38:7 previous 186:25 previously 18:2 165:15 183:9 primarily 11:18 88:10 186:14,14 primary 10:20,24 11:5 54:19 55:1 103:10 prior 5:25 11:7 13:11 14:5,13 153:21 187:19 197:3 proactively 198:4 probably 159:20 188:20 problems 82:11 Procedure 2:11 proceeding 19:2,5 process 25:10 34:20	48:3,5 49:18 75:25 86:16 95:13 197:12 processes 27:9 produce 110:6 produced 2:1 19:5 production 98:11 professional 30:15 146:23 professionalism 165:10,12 professionally 178:24 179:15 professionals 88:8 147:9 program 22:18 24:20,22 25:14 27:13 47:12 190:23 193:21,21 194:18,19,20 195:2 208:13 progressed 154:22 progression 58:13 58:15,18,20 60:19 60:19,21 62:11 68:16,19,22 73:22 77:4 126:7,9,14 129:6,13,16 132:16 137:8,13 137:17,18,22 141:4,6 143:10,12 165:24 167:19 168:18 progressions 53:23 167:6 prohibit 109:6 135:8,9 prohibited 133:25 134:15 135:1 promoted 105:24 106:5 promoting 86:9 promotional 49:19 prompted 156:25 pronounce 183:12	proper 75:4,15 76:3 77:16 80:8,18 87:2 89:8 90:17 91:1 99:15,15,25 100:9 103:16 162:3,13 properly 34:11 55:11 57:2 76:11 76:13 77:7,11 78:25 79:7,20 80:15,17 86:25 87:2 90:20 101:24 113:12 121:11 124:2 159:16 164:11 166:19 168:12 170:11 171:3 173:7 186:15,22 provide 17:16 51:15 103:17 provided 18:2,8,11 95:24 96:1 provides 86:8 Public 2:6 205:17 206:25 207:3,19 published 135:20,22 pulled 139:19 purchase 194:20 195:1 purpose 25:6,7 32:12,14 33:3,4,7 33:8 38:23 41:13 41:21 42:19 58:11 67:12 77:17,18 86:7 93:5 146:2 158:4 160:13 161:1,21 162:2 197:10 purposes 41:16 45:4 45:7 52:5 58:8 59:3 77:6,9 91:10 pursuant 2:10 109:3 202:16 put 14:6 37:7,21,25 40:1 64:7 74:25 96:19 114:25
--	--	--	---

115:2 117:17 158:9 164:7 198:5 putting 178:25 P-o-e-r 197:8 p.m 2:5 204:18	50:20,21 51:1,2,4 51:5,7,12,18 52:25 53:1,4,5 71:20,23 72:5,6,8,11,14,15 72:17,23,24 73:1,3 73:7,10,12 ranges 32:20 35:11 35:14 36:21 41:14 49:25 51:11 52:10 52:21,22 72:15 rate 49:15,23 50:11 50:18 52:13,15,24 194:15 196:11 201:4,5 202:8 rates 49:3,21 reached 101:7 read 5:2 28:11,11 54:23 55:3 66:15 66:17 67:20 68:23 70:13 86:17 96:23 116:14 133:23 175:6,14 177:14 205:3 reading 131:2,3,4 real 37:20 64:12 65:17 145:9 reality 28:9,21,24 29:4,7 30:6,22 31:9,23 32:23 33:2 33:11 36:3 37:19 41:11 51:16 91:23 92:21 180:14 realization 33:17 realized 198:3,19 really 7:19 12:5,11 12:12 42:21 99:21 112:25 130:17 147:23 156:10 159:21 163:18 166:17 170:1 180:22 188:24 193:24 194:22 196:8 203:18 reappear 109:7 reason 131:3 164:18	172:20 206:6 reasons 30:16 33:16 76:15,16,19,21,21 183:4 192:25 193:9 196:5 198:12 reassign 45:24 80:7 reassignment 195:9 recall 9:15 16:12 24:23 27:7 47:19 56:11 57:18 99:18 99:19 182:20 receive 25:16 125:10 128:7 136:22,23 200:2 202:17 received 25:17 124:18 129:3 132:8 188:20 receives 143:3 recess 45:2 93:21 108:5 144:3 182:3 203:13 recitation 120:3 reclassification 195:6 reclassified 102:8 102:15 171:20 172:10 195:21 recommend 180:7 recommendation 46:12 180:17 record 4:17 17:13 17:17,19 22:21 26:1 55:23 62:2 66:17 116:14 130:17,25 131:2 137:12 139:25 175:2,8,10 176:3 176:21 178:22 179:1,3,5,18 203:3 205:6 207:7 red 184:1 198:15 reduced 207:6 reduction 157:19	refer 24:15 25:3 26:21 57:10 58:7 62:7 68:7 129:5 136:11,13 145:25 146:22 157:6 referenced 154:22 155:22 156:23 160:7 referred 11:24 12:2 12:3,18 23:22 183:8 referring 17:15 20:4 62:11 170:4,5 refers 26:15 reflect 41:10 46:2,3 62:1,1 169:13 179:3,6 reflected 64:13 131:14 reflection 4:22 reflective 180:11 reflects 30:18 155:1 176:21 refresh 19:2 regard 77:14 115:22 regarding 10:11 85:5 103:14 124:10 125:1,17 127:23 128:18 131:12,16,23 136:16 140:7,11 140:20 142:13,17 145:2 198:14,15 199:18 210:14,17 210:20,22 regardless 50:8,22 51:5 71:23 74:7 81:1 115:3 126:11 126:12,16 141:8 141:11,15 143:14 143:17,21 region 192:15 regional 155:1,19 155:20,24 regulation 201:15
---	---	--	--

201:16 202:13	reporting 14:24	resources 6:25	39:2,10,11,12
regulations 96:7	15:24 41:15 206:1	26:14 36:18,19	40:17 46:9 74:18
97:7	reports 13:20 14:10	53:20 59:16 78:15	78:1,7 79:2 80:5,6
relate 116:21,22	15:20	82:10,12 151:6	80:11,13,14 87:19
117:18 146:19	represent 88:23	163:17,22,24	87:21 94:2 96:11
related 9:21 10:6	representation	210:9	97:15 100:12,15
11:6 18:1 43:11,13	57:24	response 168:2	101:9,16 102:4,13
56:18 69:4,11,18	representative	responsibilities 9:18	103:2,14,15,22
99:21 108:10	17:25 18:6 63:14	11:5 18:5,6 28:6,8	139:1 144:11
109:10 117:2,12	112:4,9	28:15 29:21 31:5	148:13 153:16
117:22 118:5,22	represented 143:8	31:12,18 33:23	156:18 157:10,11
152:13 207:9	155:16	34:13 35:16,20	157:12,13 158:23
relates 10:5 17:24	representing 58:2	36:1,6,11,16 37:15	159:9,12,13 160:1
54:20	reproduce 108:20	37:17 38:2,12 40:4	160:13,20 161:2
relating 42:17 53:11	reps 101:15	41:6 46:18,23 47:6	180:5,16 182:7
53:17 99:14 116:7	request 182:25	48:20 58:10 59:5	183:22 208:10
118:10 146:19	requested 184:12	61:3 64:17 76:9	210:14,17,20
200:5	require 32:25 76:11	84:9,15 91:12 92:4	reviewed 18:16,19
relations 7:13,15,15	95:3,3,11,15	92:17 102:1 104:1	18:22,23 19:9 23:3
8:3,15 9:10,14	112:23	104:5,19 105:22	24:25 27:18 74:20
relationship 23:6	required 95:14	106:3,13 113:11	138:19 153:20
relative 207:11	190:21	115:19 116:8	180:21 182:19
release 204:10	requirement 11:21	117:9,24 118:11	185:8,9 202:10
releases 200:3,8,16	requirements 9:23	119:6,10 120:4	reviews 23:1 39:3
relevant 19:7 27:19	9:24 10:6 11:14,16	121:5 124:1,4	47:16 70:17 74:19
rely 35:7	11:17 37:11,11	171:15 181:10,20	80:20 93:15,25
remember 26:15	40:14 84:20,21	183:17,25	94:5 97:2 99:6,16
204:6	113:8 134:14	responsibility 8:4	105:12,17 111:3
remove 108:8	146:5 155:23	8:24 10:16,20,25	127:14 133:9
reorganized 101:13	190:13 191:11	26:12 36:9,15	138:18 144:9
repeat 34:7 49:9	requires 95:5,21	42:22 53:13 114:2	145:11 148:10
116:12	requiring 53:12	117:4,13 179:25	151:13 152:24
rephrase 5:1 135:6	resent 179:10,12	responsible 10:7	153:18 174:13
162:17	reserve 57:6 108:10	restricted 95:5,9	182:10 185:22
report 12:20,21	reserved 194:23	result 101:10,10	208:20
13:9,16 14:2,6,12	resets 169:2,6	198:1	reword 66:15
14:23 15:8,13,16	resided 99:19	results 182:7 183:22	re-marked 96:21
157:25	resolve 196:6,20	210:17,20	right 4:15 13:12
reported 2:7 13:4,6	resolved 196:19	retained 150:5	18:8 28:4 38:5
14:20 15:5	199:25 200:2	retirement 193:21	39:25 40:19 41:4
reporter 2:6 4:14	resource 7:12 14:21	return 197:16	44:18 46:19 49:1
5:2 175:4,14,15	14:25 16:11,13	reveal 19:14	50:7 52:4 55:12
176:18 179:2,6	42:9,10 53:19,21	reveals 130:21	56:9 59:2 61:16
207:3	53:22 57:25 83:9	review 22:18,25	62:5 63:11 72:4,13
Reporter's 208:6	98:21	24:20 25:15 27:1	75:8 76:6 78:6

85:1,16 88:20 91:8 92:21 99:3 107:7 108:2 110:1 111:8 114:18 117:14 123:18 134:18 147:1,5 158:25 161:20 162:21 173:8 178:18 182:23 184:11 188:8 189:6 198:22 rights 108:10 right-hand 137:14 risk 96:6 97:5,6 Rivers 2:19 Road 3:12 ROBERT 1:5 ROD 1:13 role 63:12 117:19 132:19 161:8 184:21 189:6 roles 63:8,22 126:22 127:3 129:9 132:19,22 157:16 167:20,24 168:8 room 2:9 5:6 Rosenfeld 185:15 186:6 188:4 189:15,16 210:22 210:22 Rosenfeld's 188:10 189:10 routine 157:11 Royal 2:20 Rudich 2:14 4:6,8 10:14 17:18,22 18:7,13,14 19:16 19:17,24 20:3 22:15,24 23:2,10 23:11 29:3,8 30:3 32:7 34:2,8,18 44:25 45:3,16 47:9 47:17 48:4 52:4 54:15,21 55:6,23 56:6,8,23 57:10	60:18 64:19 65:3 65:15 66:5,8,11,13 66:19,24 67:2 70:20 73:6 74:11 74:17,20 84:23 91:8,9 93:12,20,23 94:7 96:10,13,17 96:24 97:4 105:6 105:13,19 106:21 107:2,4,22 108:2,6 108:23 109:8,19 110:1,8,15,16,17 111:2,4,18,20 115:15 116:16 118:17 127:6,15 130:7,14 131:1,5 133:2,10 136:3 138:11,19 141:18 141:25 144:1,4,10 144:18,24 145:13 148:4,12 151:3,10 151:15 152:19,25 153:11,19 165:16 165:17 172:3,9 174:8,15 175:6,13 175:20,23 176:1,7 176:10,22 177:19 178:1,5,9,11,16,19 178:25 179:5,9,14 179:17,21 181:25 182:4,11 184:22 185:13,18,24 189:3 203:10,14 203:16 204:2,12 204:14 208:4 rules 2:11 178:8 rung 61:2,7,9 rungs 61:4 Rye 2:16 S S 2:12 3:1 4:1 sake 201:21 salary 32:19 35:8,11 35:14 36:20 41:14	49:14,25 71:20,22 72:5,6,8,23,24 73:2,7,12 76:15,21 77:4 86:10 124:20 125:13 126:2 128:9 132:11 137:4 140:23 143:3 201:1,2,3,11 202:14,16 Sally 16:4,19,21 sampling 199:4 SAP 190:23,23 192:8 saw 180:2,5 saying 29:16,19 31:17 32:8 41:8 55:13 61:18,20 64:14 68:5 73:21 78:19 79:10 81:18 84:13 86:23,23 87:4,12,14 90:12 91:15,16,19 92:13 95:19 110:9,9 115:19,20 118:18 135:16,19 147:6,7 166:15 187:23 189:7 says 27:21 29:7 31:19 40:25 48:17 52:2,4,25 53:8 58:8 60:5,10 61:16 61:23,24 62:16,25 67:3,22 68:9,18 69:5 70:21 71:6,9 71:19 73:25 74:9 81:20 85:18 86:8 91:5 92:25 93:4 96:5 97:5,15 103:25 104:20 105:21 106:20 107:5 109:14 127:20 133:12 134:7,9 146:2 147:3,5 149:21 152:5,13 157:9	181:6 182:24,24 204:13 scenario 183:21 scheduled 197:7 school 21:19 Schreter 3:11 SCHWAB 1:5 scope 155:7 screen 148:6,18,19 148:22 149:10 150:21 se 27:16 92:7 94:15 135:14 seal 207:13 second 27:21 57:4 75:9 108:3 176:3 183:21 196:18 security 95:12,14 133:5 137:9,10,20 137:24 138:7 167:10,21,22 168:10,25 170:13 170:18 171:17,19 192:25 193:9 209:14 see 14:8 26:5 27:20 41:5 52:6 53:8,14 62:8,15,24 63:4,7 63:11,14 67:2 68:8 68:19 69:6 74:3 75:9 85:18 86:7 92:25 93:3 96:4 97:4 98:20 103:24 104:6 105:19 106:1 107:4,8 115:7,11,16 126:4 126:19,25 128:13 128:15 133:11,15 146:1,6 147:12,12 149:23,24 152:1 152:15 165:20 167:9 169:25 175:2 182:23 seeing 24:23 47:19 57:18 182:22
--	---	--	---

seek 83:8	services 6:11,14	59:25 60:9 61:3,11	164:6 168:11
seen 24:5,17,20 25:2	23:14 32:24 101:3	61:16,17 64:1	situation 118:19
27:11,14 31:11,19	154:21 155:3,15	65:23 69:3 70:22	157:8 163:22
31:21 47:18 97:21	183:6 198:18	70:22 71:1,6,7,11	164:5 186:8
99:1 111:5,11	set 28:15 29:5,20	71:13 75:13,14	six 14:4 133:21
142:1,2,3,4,5	37:3 48:19 51:1,4	76:8 77:11 84:14	skew 162:11
144:14,15 145:6	69:10 83:11,11,11	86:19 87:11 88:16	skill 37:12 53:13
148:16,17 151:16	84:8,14 92:9 113:4	88:20 91:11 92:4	skills 165:9
151:18,22,25	121:5 123:7	92:16 93:10	skipped 130:21
152:7,15,16 153:1	132:14 136:8	101:25 102:1,20	Skur 2:5 207:3,18
153:23,25 174:16	139:6 140:4,18	115:18,18,20	slam 178:14
182:16 185:25	142:7 169:11	116:2,7 117:8,11	slammed 179:20
186:9	171:14 180:6	117:16 118:11	small 123:21
Selecting 105:9	sets 37:12	119:5,9,9 123:2,3	Smith 100:5 197:6
208:22	seven 7:6 14:4	123:3,7,7 124:3	SNYDER 1:4
selection 107:12,13	Seven-hour 178:7	127:3,15 132:23	software 55:3 61:10
self-audit 154:4,14	share 96:20	160:4,10 162:15	164:22
154:18	shared 144:6,21	163:4,7 166:24,25	sole 158:3
self-service 150:11	157:23 209:23	168:17 171:12,13	solely 11:6 55:24
semimonthly	210:4	171:14 174:3	somebody 31:24,25
200:24	shed 199:21	176:12,24 181:2	39:6 40:7,8 43:2
send 108:21 157:15	sheet 205:5 206:1	181:10,20 184:6	53:6 92:19 139:18
158:2	Shelly 100:5	184:15 185:5	139:22 164:1
senior 12:25 13:1	Shorthand 2:6	similarities 117:5	180:2 186:2
63:1,2,3,13 95:3	207:3	similarly 1:6,14	187:11
118:2 154:6,10	show 26:20 62:5,23	33:20,22 38:2	somebody's 180:17
187:7 188:12	66:19 91:4 116:5	45:13,18 46:22	180:21
sense 158:13 193:8	137:14 153:5	55:10 57:1,9 74:7	someone's 186:22
sensitive 157:10,12	181:6	77:8 79:13,13,17	somewhat 12:5
157:13	showed 60:25 64:24	79:18 118:5	sorry 7:12 12:15
sent 25:19	65:4,5 197:11,11	123:13,17,24	18:21 19:22 20:24
sentence 67:21 68:5	showing 64:19	164:6 168:11	22:20 23:10 26:24
68:9,14 93:9	shown 65:16	Simultaneous 52:3	30:2 34:5 35:18
133:16 146:8	shy 173:1	171:25 178:10	40:16 44:21 49:9
September 26:3	side 139:18,18	single 169:16	50:15 55:3 63:3
185:19	159:19,25 191:13	sitting 4:15 31:16,25	66:25 73:23 77:8
series 58:1	Signature 208:5	32:8 81:18 86:22	91:5 98:4 110:19
served 109:10	similar 27:12 28:5,8	139:18 171:1	112:19 113:24
serves 93:5	34:12,25 35:15,20	177:24	116:12 126:20
service 59:18,23,24	35:25 36:5,16	situated 1:6,14	131:7,21 142:9
62:2 63:13 69:5	37:13,14,16 38:11	33:21,22 38:2	143:7,9 145:10
110:20 115:9	38:12 40:4 41:7	45:13,18 57:2,9	146:1 147:14
127:8,17 130:9,10	42:8,13,18 43:4	74:7 77:8 79:13,13	151:10 152:3
131:7,7,7,21 209:4	46:22,22 47:5,6	79:17,19 118:5	167:12 169:9
209:7,11,11	48:1 59:11,15,22	123:13,18,25	170:3 172:9 175:2

181:17 183:19 190:4 201:17 sorting 14:22 sounds 186:7 SOUTHERN 1:2 southwestern 156:11 speak 35:10 54:7 100:2,6 174:23 speaking 108:18 119:16 166:5 speaks 131:2 special 194:12 specialist 42:10 specific 9:15 27:2 30:25 31:1 43:20 43:21,21 44:17,19 44:19 50:4 52:21 52:23 71:1,11 80:24 82:7,15,16 83:10 104:24,25 105:5 114:2 115:1 117:24 130:4 146:5,15,19 155:5 159:6 199:3 specifically 149:15 specifics 69:20 119:23 specified 112:22 speed 128:12 136:13 spell 12:22 spending 64:8 169:5 spent 164:2 spoke 154:5 190:24 sponsored 197:2 spreadsheet 197:22 stamp 22:19 47:13 55:20 62:6 133:6 138:14 141:23 144:7,21 151:5 152:21 153:16 174:10 182:7 184:25 185:19 stamped 105:20 standard 72:24 73:1	84:8 191:20 194:15 standards 8:8 standpoint 69:24 71:14,15 118:23 158:11 start 21:12 23:5 108:8 147:11 176:4 started 33:16 101:7 154:20 199:17 starting 167:6 starts 133:22 184:25 state 2:7 9:23 17:19 20:23,25 21:3 68:11 81:23 82:18 122:16,20 137:12 207:2,3,19 stated 29:24 31:3 38:22 118:15 207:4 statement 64:3 65:25 66:14 statements 205:7 states 1:1 11:17 31:5 31:11 34:11 70:25 71:4 126:19 135:12 148:7 158:19 202:13 stating 188:4 status 46:9 85:7 107:7,14,19,24 123:22,23 161:9 180:5 197:21 Steavens 1:11 4:12 stenographic 2:7 step 43:6 107:8,13 STEPHEN 1:12 sticker 96:19 stock 194:18,18,20 194:22 195:1 stop 66:5 177:21 178:17,19 179:16 stopped 23:24 27:5 27:6	straight 195:24,25 196:4 202:18 Street 2:19 3:5,9 stretch 5:7 strictly 114:9 strike 33:7 58:24 String 210:19 strive 106:11 stuff 178:15 subject 54:19 56:4 124:9 125:1,16 127:22 128:16 131:11,22 133:15 136:15,20 137:2 139:21 140:6,10 140:14,20 142:12 142:16,20 153:15 subjects 17:24 18:2 18:15 54:14 56:15 108:13,14,19,21 109:3,22,24 110:3 110:7 subjob 72:15 submitted 202:3 subscribe 205:6 SUBSCRIBED 205:12 206:22 substance 19:24 successful 33:24 successfully 133:14 succession 42:23 suffering 5:17 suggested 49:25 50:3,6,11 51:7,12 51:12,18 52:10 53:5 73:10,12 75:25 77:24 suggesting 91:25 Suite 2:15,20,24 3:13 suited 46:17 180:23 summaries 18:7,8 summary 18:4 169:12 supervising 197:18	supervision 198:23 207:7 supervisor 197:7 support 155:24 157:7 159:6 164:20 supporting 55:2 supports 91:6 supposed 37:13,14 37:16 46:5 74:6 80:2 81:21 83:12 sure 4:13,18,19 12:25 17:21 24:8 36:5 43:4 44:12 58:25 70:15 75:4 75:12 76:2 77:7,9 77:15 85:23 86:3 91:11 93:20 95:13 103:15,18 107:2 145:10 149:16 164:22 168:5,9,16 181:18 182:17 203:12 204:9 survey 159:17 210:16 surveys 49:14 suspect 130:19 Swami 184:13 switch 96:17 sworn 2:3 4:3 205:12 206:22 207:5 system 40:24,25 100:21,21 101:21 101:21 139:9,9 149:16 150:1,3,4,8 150:11 155:11,11 157:4,5 159:2,3,10 159:10 160:11 165:2 169:19 190:22,24 191:2,9 192:8,22 196:8,9 199:7 203:7,7 systems 1:9,17 101:19 102:18,18
--	---	---	--

127:9,20,22 129:7 129:14,19 158:13 158:14,15,19,20 159:15,15 160:3 160:22,23 187:5,6 188:12,13 206:4 209:8	154:8 task 64:2 83:11 tasks 45:14,18 49:17 77:11 85:25 93:4 113:4,11 122:3 123:2,3,7 160:4,5 160:10 167:20 170:2 Tate 2:9 teaching 67:9 team 12:18 55:20 57:14 74:25 97:25 98:1,8,15 99:11 103:5 138:24 186:3,13,14,14,17 186:19 187:9 208:15 technical 30:15 59:19 63:1 69:13 69:15,18,19,20,25 88:8 90:4 138:13 141:20 150:3 165:19 209:17,20 technician 63:2,3,12 118:1 technicians 164:20 198:14 199:17 tele 117:22 telecommunication 116:25 117:23 118:1,2 telecommunicatio... 62:3,16,24 63:1,12 63:17 110:21 115:9 117:2,8,12 117:16,19 118:19 119:3,4 120:2,4,16 124:23 126:11,15 126:22 209:4 teleconference 2:22 tell 19:23 20:1 25:24 30:5 31:22,23 41:11 60:24 90:20 90:25 99:23 131:24 133:20	161:1,25 162:1,1 169:1 177:8 187:17 200:20 201:18 202:4 telling 28:19,21 31:9 66:9 162:9 ten 15:9 17:3 terminology 12:7 150:4 187:24 terms 123:25 195:2 testified 4:3 8:12 23:7 107:17 121:20 138:22 162:20 165:6,23 testify 5:15,18 66:2 108:18 207:5 testimony 34:8 78:22 80:12 81:21 92:2 169:23,24 174:20 178:21 179:1,10 207:7 Texas 2:7,10 20:23 20:24,25 21:3,6 50:17 72:8 106:25 156:3 207:2,4,19 Thank 23:23 27:20 145:4 148:2 151:2 153:10 174:7 204:14 Thanks 70:1 145:24 thing 82:8 88:3 118:22 147:7 180:16 things 12:6 32:10 33:1 36:21 37:9,14 40:14 42:24 46:4,5 69:9 84:20 86:14 86:19 87:11 88:16 108:10 146:25 160:9 162:15 163:4,7 168:11,17 172:5 174:3 176:12,24 177:9 181:2 184:6 185:6 think 8:12 13:21	18:25 19:4,7 23:7 26:16 45:1 52:1 56:2,9,24 62:18 65:8 68:1 77:22 79:15,15 117:21 118:20 131:1 138:24 147:6 156:3,8 162:20 164:21 165:4 167:12 179:19 184:13 190:24 191:19 194:22 thinking 107:16 third 86:7 103:24 183:21 thorough 163:18 thought 60:18 117:14 162:8 170:3 threatened 198:2 three 13:7,7 15:24 16:8 115:10 131:13,13,24 132:1,2,23 133:21 137:1 178:1 ties 190:24 time 5:7,24 7:8,17 7:25 8:14 10:1,7 10:15 19:3 25:22 25:24 27:10,24 33:9 35:1 42:25 60:8 64:7 84:22,24 88:19,21 90:11,11 90:16,20,24 103:13 106:22 110:6 113:9 153:25 156:25 157:17 159:8,17 161:6 163:17,20 163:24 164:2 169:5 172:22 173:4 178:12 185:3 190:21 191:1,8,9,11,12,14 191:14 192:22,22
--	---	--	---

193:2 194:9	total 193:10	35:19,25 36:5 43:2	61:22 64:4,14
195:24,25 196:4	totally 139:20 140:1	64:7 101:23,24	72:10 73:2 78:19
198:6 202:18	171:18	115:10 119:12	84:3 89:6 91:16
times 118:14 180:15	touched 108:14	133:20 155:9,13	110:11,12 112:5
195:14 197:19	track 190:17 191:14	155:15 156:6,23	112:11 114:4,7,10
200:24 201:5	tracking 41:18	166:16,24 167:23	118:3 119:21
title 6:24 7:4,5,7,7	191:11,13	168:7 178:4	120:21 133:18
7:20 8:5,25 9:16	train 67:9 70:8	182:25 183:15,17	134:10,18 135:15
11:23 12:12,24	92:19	184:12 187:1	139:20 146:9
13:1,14 15:2 16:10	training 55:19 57:13	189:12,12,20	147:24 148:2
16:15,17 28:3,14	57:16 67:16 73:16	196:5 200:9	160:8 166:14
33:15 41:3,10	86:9 99:16	two-page 151:5	173:10 177:16
57:23,25 58:1,3,4	Training-US 208:15	185:15	188:22 194:4
101:18 102:18	transcript 205:3,6	type 30:9,17 32:25	understanding 6:7
106:9 160:2	transcription 207:7	49:4 59:15,16,17	43:1 58:11 161:17
titles 32:23,23 33:13	transferred 11:3	59:19,20 60:14,15	202:16
34:9,16 78:16	21:5	60:16,22 61:8,11	unfamiliar 146:14
101:20,23,24	treated 142:15	69:9,12 82:21	uniform 33:25
136:10 139:16	Trey 182:5	117:13,19,23	72:15
156:23 189:5,8	tried 56:21 76:20	146:13 147:25	uniformity 58:9
203:4	159:19	148:20 157:7	59:4
today 4:9,16,23 5:15	trouble 77:21	165:1	United 1:1 11:16
5:18 8:20 10:21	Troy 17:10	types 45:23 59:11	135:12 158:19
12:21 17:16 18:1	true 61:19 67:15,21	59:25 60:10 61:17	University 20:24,25
18:17 19:18 23:18	80:7,16 90:23 94:8	65:23 67:23,25	21:1,3,3
23:19 25:1,24 27:3	161:20,24 162:10	68:1 69:23 114:1	updated 137:16
31:12,16 32:8 34:9	202:12 207:4,7	typical 58:15,17	149:22 210:9
35:1 47:18 54:5	truly 160:15	129:16 137:21	updates 197:21
56:23 64:24 65:4,5	truth 207:5	143:10	198:15
65:16 78:22 80:12	truthfully 66:2	typically 33:2 42:22	upset 178:20,23
86:23 153:22	try 4:21,25,25 32:21	86:4 183:20,23	use 38:25 41:15
154:22 171:1	33:5 36:4 37:19	193:1 196:5,17	53:18 74:1 104:12
174:20 181:24	38:4,22 39:24	T-o-d-d 17:11	135:8 168:24
203:24	45:21 76:16 88:22		196:5
today's 182:19	91:13,21 92:18	U	uses 27:16 52:14
Todd 17:11	136:12 157:8	Uh-huh 83:4 94:4	U.K 199:8
told 118:8 161:13	160:16 161:23	103:23 168:1	U.S 134:16,25 135:2
Tom 154:24	163:21 180:11,12	ultimately 47:2	135:3,5,8,19,21,21
tool 47:12 51:8	181:11,12 186:11	53:21	135:23 136:1,4,6
57:13,16,19 76:4	trying 26:15 51:10	unavailable 197:9	154:20 159:2,9
76:17 146:4	89:6 117:21	underneath 147:5	199:6,8
208:13	164:19 173:23	understand 4:24 5:3	
Tools 151:6 210:9	turned 199:15	28:24 30:19,22	V
top 96:6 152:13	tweaked 12:7	38:8 44:12 51:10	vacation 194:8,9,10
topics 152:14	two 2:15 13:7 35:15	51:21,21,25 60:9	194:15

vaguely 153:3	198:3	161:13 172:4	22:2,12 28:16
value 28:3,17 29:23	warrant 173:15,17	180:6	29:22 34:25 38:20
48:22 49:2,7,8,12	warranted 80:10	we'll 4:25 55:15	38:21 43:2 44:4
51:24 52:6	82:5,6	178:17 183:14	48:21 53:12,17
variety 30:15 32:17	wasn't 29:9 38:10	200:25	54:17,25 59:11,16
33:1 35:22 83:13	54:2 67:13,17	we're 11:24 32:24	59:17,19,20,25
various 18:25 36:19	139:20 185:2	35:6 56:1,9 77:22	60:10,15,16,22
39:23 80:20	196:25 198:1	78:1 88:3,4 89:11	61:8,11,17 64:1,1
Vendetelli 100:4	199:5	90:3 91:24,24,24	65:23 67:23,25
verbal 4:14	Watson 13:11 14:3	106:22 110:5	68:1,1,19,22 69:23
VERITEXT 206:1	14:10,13	147:6,7 158:24	71:25 79:12,13
version 48:12 58:3	Watson's 13:14	175:10 176:2	82:21 88:20 93:4
versus 4:12,12	way 9:25 10:3,6	177:21,22 178:13	102:6 109:23
viable 26:8	27:5 37:10,12,20	we've 12:18 106:24	114:22 115:3
view 148:6	61:20 68:5 72:18	146:25 177:22,23	116:7,23,25
viewed 197:17	73:21 79:12 86:17	wide 173:6 199:5	118:10 129:23
VII 8:5,25	147:19,20 157:14	wife 21:25 22:2,2	130:3 138:1,8
voluntarily 101:8	158:1 159:25	willfulness 56:5	141:8,12,16
voluntary 139:1	163:18,18 174:22	William 2:9	143:14,18,22,22
197:1	187:24 192:1	Winter 210:19	158:21 165:1
Vs 1:8,16 206:4	ways 82:11 108:9	wise 39:25	190:2,5,7,10,11,18
W	web 149:10 151:18	withdraw 145:17	191:14 195:14,18
W 2:19	152:16	146:17	195:19,23 196:3,6
wage 81:23 196:6,19	website 27:18 149:2	withdrawn 24:4	200:15,17,19,20
wage-and-hour	149:6 151:19	30:23 35:2 53:7	200:21 201:3,12
8:11 9:23 11:6,8	websites 27:16	77:8 78:21 85:15	201:14,15 202:13
11:20,24 12:8,17	week 40:24 78:17	94:9 169:23 180:3	202:14,19,19
16:7 33:16	158:8,9 188:2,2	witness 2:2 23:1	203:1
wait 4:21 108:3,3	195:14,18,19,23	34:5 47:16 56:11	worked 7:22 16:20
184:1	196:3,6,11 200:15	56:12 65:14 66:6	16:23 22:10 41:23
waiving 56:9	200:17,19,20,22	70:17 74:19 94:5	42:3 54:18 126:12
want 5:6 17:13	201:12,15,15,20	96:22 97:1,2	126:12,17 158:8,9
24:15 25:4 38:18	201:23 202:5,13	105:12,17 108:19	161:10 191:7,12
38:19 40:25 41:1	202:15,15,19,22	110:3 111:3	192:12,15 201:5
41:21 43:4,7,8	202:23 203:2	127:14 133:9	201:18,23 202:5,6
51:13 56:9 94:22	weekly 201:1,3,7,11	138:18 144:9	202:7,24
95:7 108:8,16,25	201:13,16	145:11 148:10	working 31:22
130:24 149:4	weeks 13:7,8 109:23	151:13 152:24	works 37:20 43:2,14
156:5 158:6,7	201:19 202:6	153:18 174:13	50:9,9,10 65:17
175:8,19 181:25	week-to-week 40:13	182:10 185:17,22	world 29:12 37:20
182:4 193:7	went 9:1 101:1	203:18 207:8	64:12 65:17 85:2
wanted 86:12 114:7	148:22 156:2	208:3	wouldn't 37:21
139:23,25 157:21	165:8,10	word 157:23	38:14 42:4 52:12
158:5 162:7 185:7	weren't 33:20 45:8	words 66:2 118:12	61:2 64:16 83:20
	103:18 160:8	work 15:11 21:25	89:4 99:20 123:22

168:8 173:17 193:5 write 179:24 writing 207:6 written 24:12 179:22 wrong 79:23 81:11 198:20 Wymer 3:3 17:18 17:21,23 18:10 19:13,21,23 20:6 29:1,6,25 32:3 34:1,3,6,14 47:24 54:13,16,23 56:2,7 56:14 57:6 60:12 64:18 65:1,13 66:1 66:7,9,22 73:5 84:17 91:7 93:17 96:8,11,14,18 105:2 106:24 107:21 108:7,25 109:17,21 110:2 110:14 111:17,19 115:14 116:10 118:13 130:16 136:2 151:8 172:8 175:10,17,22,25 176:5,8,20 177:18 177:21 178:3,7,13 178:17,23 179:3,8 179:12,15 182:2 184:17 188:25 203:12,15,17,19 203:22 204:16	185:1 200:23 201:25 202:20 204:4 year 6:17 11:2 200:25 yearly 201:9,10 years 7:6,14,23 12:13,17 15:9 16:22 17:3,6 30:14 31:22 200:9 yesterday 20:12 154:1 yes-or-no 65:24 66:11 York 1:2 2:16,24 4:8,10 50:19 72:9 72:25 206:2,2	0000892-0000894 209:10 0001161 62:6 110:23 0001161-0001163 209:6 0001162 62:6 0001163 62:6 0001170 62:7 0001170-001172 209:6 0001171 62:7 0003799 22:20 0003799-0003903 208:12 0003908 47:14 208:14 0003980-0003982 208:14 0004038 144:7 0004038-0004050 209:24 0005599-0005603 210:13 0005606-0005624 210:5 0005836-0005837 210:11 0005910-0005913 210:8 0007020 55:21 0007020-0007033 208:16 0007033 55:21 0007034 74:14 0007034-0007040 208:18 0007041 93:16 94:1 0007041-0007048 208:21 0007049 105:10 0007049-0007058 208:23 0007050 105:21 000757 130:12	000883 127:11 0009685-0009691 210:18 0009692 210:18 0009842-0009852 210:21 0015308 210:15 0015873-0015874 210:24 07 98:5 09 5:24 1 1 22:16,23,25 24:17 41:16 55:24 64:8 116:4,5 141:23 208:2,10 1/17/2007 210:16 1:06-cv-03530-RJH 1:7 1:08-cv-10409-RJH 1:15 10 66:20 69:5,10 108:13 111:9 115:14,15 120:2 127:19 132:13,14 133:3,8 136:12,12 141:23 142:7,9 167:9,10 209:14 10th 185:19 10/18/2006 210:14 100 164:5 10005 2:24 10018 206:2 105 208:22 10573 2:16 11 115:14,15 128:14 137:6 138:12,17 139:12,13 140:4 141:23 142:9,9 165:18 167:19 188:2 209:17 110 209:4 1100 3:13 1162 110:23
---	--	---	--

1163 110:23	210:14	208:8 209:2 210:2	170:11 171:2,13
117 2:19	1800 2:9	206 208:5	33710 136:14
1170 110:23	182 210:19	207 208:6	33720 136:14
1171 110:23	185 210:22	21 185:13,14,21	33730 136:14
1172 110:23	19 8:21 174:9,12	210:22	34 62:18 119:14
12 70:2 115:12	210:16	212 2:25	3400 112:13 165:7
126:4 129:6	19th 75:8	216 3:6,6,10,10	3402070 44:13
139:12 140:4	1900 3:5,9	109:11	34060 127:19
141:20,23,24	1984 7:23	22 22:8 208:10	34070 44:16 128:13
143:6,7,8,9 165:16	1988 7:17	22nd 149:22 150:15	128:21
209:20	1990s 34:2,9	233-0330 3:14	34080 128:13,21
12:00 106:21	1998 8:21,23	24 103:21 200:24	3410 165:7
127 209:7		248 2:21,21	3420 165:8
13 73:19 141:2,23	2	248-7906 2:25	34200 70:3 142:18
143:7,8 144:5,8	2 6:25 7:11 8:18	25 7:23	34210 142:18
145:2 165:24	9:14 47:10,13,15	26 2:9 206:4	34220 142:18
209:23	74:13 116:4,6	26th 1:23 2:4 208:8	34260 140:5,19
130 209:11	141:23 208:2,3,13	209:2 210:2	34270 140:5,19
133 209:14	208:17	263 133:7	166:16,20
1350 206:2	2nd 137:16	264 133:7	34280 140:6,19
138 209:17	20 16:22 22:8	270 133:7	166:6,16,21
14 118:14 144:20,23	177:23 182:5,9	271 133:7	34330 142:18
144:25 145:7	205:13 206:23	272 133:7	34350 140:5,19
165:25 210:4	210:9,19	29 54:20	166:8 167:3
14th 26:3	200 2:20	29th 207:13	345 121:11
141 209:20	2000 17:7 94:19		34500 62:18,20
144 209:23 210:4	2005 149:22 150:16	3	111:22 112:13
148 210:6	2006 17:7 26:3	3 44:13 54:19 55:1	113:16 115:2
15 148:5,9,14	47:12 48:11	55:18,22 58:1 67:1	119:2,14 120:2,11
149:21 185:20	100:16 101:1	93:15,25 96:7	121:4,11,14,17,25
210:6	208:13	133:11,12 141:23	122:17 124:9
151 210:9	2007 75:8 98:9,15	147:11,15,21	34510 112:13
152 210:12	99:5,12 144:6	162:19 169:17	113:17 119:3,8,14
153 210:14	185:19 190:19	208:4,5,15,19	122:21 123:8
15308 153:16	191:3,4 192:8	30(b)(6) 17:24 54:3	124:2,24,25
15873 185:20	195:5 196:22	56:11,16 108:12	34520 112:14
15874 185:20	203:6 209:23	108:21 109:4,9,18	113:17 119:8,15
16 92:25 151:8,9,11	210:19	110:3	120:20 124:6
151:12 210:9	2008 100:18 137:16	30326-1008 3:13	125:15,16,23
17 17:6 54:15,16,16	190:19 195:5	31 105:14,20	126:2
54:19 85:17 151:4	196:22 203:6	32 105:14 106:20	34530 131:6
151:11 152:20,23	210:10	3200 3:4,8	34540 131:6
210:12	2009 24:1 190:20	3348 3:12	34550 131:6
174 210:16	2010 1:23 2:4,24	3370 167:21	350 2:15
18 91:7,8 153:14,17	206:4 207:13	33700 136:14	37000 169:17

378 138:15	6	9	
380 138:15	6 105:7,11 208:22	9 57:11 58:7 68:8	
387 138:16	60 104:3,18	130:8,13,19	
388 138:16	64 22:22	132:15 136:12,12	
389 138:16	66 25:4 26:21 27:22	142:7,7,9 209:11	
390 138:16	68 22:22	9th 3:5,9	
398-9800 2:21	696-0740 3:6,10	9/10/2007 210:22	
398-9804 2:21		9:13 2:5 4:4	
4	7	90s 7:18 33:18,19	
4 54:22,23 74:12,16	7 110:18,24 127:16	914 2:16	
105:8 146:1 208:6	130:12,19 147:3	93 208:19	
208:17,22	209:4	934-9200 2:16	
4:26 2:5 204:18	7/7/2010 207:19	9685 174:11	
40 2:23 201:6	7040 74:15	9686 174:11	
401(k) 193:21	7042 94:1	9687 174:11	
404 3:14,14	7043 93:16 94:1	9688 174:11	
4050 144:7	7044 94:1	9689 174:11	
44114-3485 3:5,9	7045 94:1	9690 174:11	
45 203:1	7046 94:2	9691 174:11	
47 208:13	7047 94:2	9692 174:10	
48067 2:20	7048 94:2	9842 182:7	
5	734 74:15	9846 184:25	
5 93:13,22,24 96:4	74 48:15 208:17	9852 182:8	
96:21 98:25	758 130:12		
103:22 145:25	759-0758 3:14		
167:4 208:19	765 130:12		
50 64:9	766 130:12		
5100 119:15	77 48:15		
51000 112:13	778.113 54:20		
115:11	778.114 195:16		
5101 115:2	8		
51010 63:1 112:13	8 127:7,13 129:6		
115:11 120:17,22	130:19 209:7		
51150 167:23	80 104:3,18 106:11		
52 201:1	107:25 158:8,9		
55 208:15	800-362-2520 206:3		
5599 152:22	861-6021 3:6		
5603 152:22	861-6168 3:10		
5606 144:21	884 127:11		
5624 144:22	885 127:12		
58 204:8,13	892 127:12		
5836 151:6	893 127:12		
5837 151:6	894 127:12		